

PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT DATED SEPTEMBER 7, 2017

NEW ISSUE

TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES

In the opinion of Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, Bond Counsel to the District, under existing statutes and court decisions and assuming continuing compliance with certain tax certifications described herein, (i) interest on the Notes is excluded from gross income for Federal income tax purposes pursuant to Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and (ii) interest on the Notes is not treated as a preference item in calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations under the Code; such interest, however, is included in the adjusted current earnings of certain corporations for purposes of calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed on such corporations. In addition, in the opinion of Bond Counsel to the District, under existing statutes, interest on the Notes is exempt from personal income taxes of New York State and its political subdivisions, including The City of New York. See "Tax Matters" herein.

The District WILL NOT designate the Notes as "qualified tax-exempt obligations" pursuant to Section 265(b)(3) of the Code.

**MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT
NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK**

\$7,500,000*

**TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES FOR 2017-2018 TAXES
(the "Notes")**

Date of Issue: September 28, 2017

Maturity Date: June 29, 2018

The Notes are general obligations of the Manhasset Union Free School District, in Nassau County, New York (the "District"), and will contain a pledge of the faith and credit of the District for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Notes and, unless paid from other sources, the Notes are payable from ad valorem taxes which may be levied upon all the taxable real property within the District, subject to certain statutory limitations. (See "*The Tax Levy Limit Law*" herein).

The Notes are dated their Date of Issue and bear interest from that date until the Maturity Date, at the annual rate(s) as specified by the purchaser(s) of the Notes. The Notes will not be subject to redemption prior to maturity.

At the option of the purchaser, the Notes will be issued in (i) registered form registered in the name of the successful bidder(s) or (ii) registered book-entry form registered to Cede & Co., as the partnership nominee for The Depository Trust Company, Jersey City, New Jersey ("DTC").

If the Notes are issued registered in the name of the successful bidder(s), a single note certificate will be issued for those Notes bearing the same rate of interest in the aggregate principal amount awarded to such purchaser at such interest rate. Principal of and interest on such Notes will be payable in Federal Funds by the District, at such bank or trust company located and authorized to do business in the State of New York as selected by the successful bidder(s).

If the Notes are issued in book-entry form, such notes will be delivered to DTC, which will act as securities depository for the Notes. Beneficial owners will not receive certificates representing their interest in the Notes. Individual purchases may be made in denominations of \$5,000 or integral multiples thereof. A single note certificate will be issued for those Notes bearing the same rate of interest and CUSIP number in the aggregate principal amount awarded to such purchaser(s) at such interest rate. Principal of and interest on said Notes will be paid in Federal Funds by the District to Cede & Co., as nominee for DTC, which will in turn remit such principal and interest to its participants for subsequent distribution to the beneficial owners of the Notes as described herein. Transfer of principal and interest payments to beneficial owners by participants of DTC will be the responsibility of such participants and other nominees of beneficial owners. The District will not be responsible or liable for payments by DTC to its participants or by DTC participants to beneficial owners or for maintaining, supervising or reviewing the records maintained by DTC, its participants or persons acting through such participants. (See "*BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM*" herein).

The Notes are offered when, as and if issued and received by the purchaser(s) and subject to the receipt of the final approving opinion of Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, New York, New York, Bond Counsel. It is anticipated that the Notes will be available for delivery through the offices of DTC in Jersey City, New Jersey on the Date of Issue listed above.

THIS PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT IS IN A FORM DEEMED FINAL BY THE DISTRICT FOR PURPOSES OF SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION RULE 15c2-12 (THE "RULE"). FOR A DESCRIPTION OF THE DISTRICT'S AGREEMENT TO PROVIDE CONTINUING DISCLOSURE FOR THE NOTES AS DESCRIBED IN THE RULE, SEE "*DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING*" HEREIN.

Dated: September __, 2017

* Preliminary, subject to change.

This Preliminary Official Statement and the information contained herein are subject to completion or amendment without notice. Under no circumstances shall this Preliminary Official Statement constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy, nor shall there be any sale of these securities, in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation, or sale would be unlawful prior to the registration or qualification under the securities laws of such jurisdiction.

**MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT
NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK**

2017-18 BOARD OF EDUCATION

**Regina Rule
President**

Ann Marie Curd..... Vice President

Pat Aitken Trustee

Carlo Prinzo..... Trustee

Christine Monterosso Trustee

Dr. Vincent Butera Superintendent of Schools

Rosemary Johnson.....Deputy Superintendent for Business and Finance

Christine Michelen District Clerk

Brian Lonegan District Treasurer

Frazer & Feldman LLP.....District Counsel

BOND COUNSEL

**HAWKINS DELAFIELD & WOOD LLP
New York, New York**

MUNICIPAL ADVISOR

**CAPITAL MARKETS ADVISORS, LLC
Great Neck, New York
(516) 487-9818**

No dealer, broker, salesman or other person has been authorized by the District to give any information or to make any representations, other than those contained in this Official Statement and if given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon as having been authorized by the foregoing. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy, nor shall there be any sale of the Notes by any person in any jurisdiction in which it is unlawful for such person to make such offer, solicitation or sale. The information set forth herein has been obtained by the District from sources which are believed to be reliable but it is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness. The information and expressions of opinion herein are subject to change without notice and neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the District since the date hereon.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

THE NOTES	1	Certain Ongoing Federal Tax Requirements and	
Description.....	1	Certifications	8
Authority for and Purpose of Issue	1	Certain Collateral Federal Tax Consequences.....	8
Nature of Obligation	2	Original Issue Discount	8
REMEDIES UPON DEFAULT	2	Note Premium.....	8
SECTION 99-B OF THE STATE FINANCE LAW	3	Information Reporting and Backup Withholding	9
No Past Due Debt	4	Miscellaneous	9
Bankruptcy.....	4	LEGAL MATTERS	9
BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM.....	4	DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING	10
MARKET MATTERS AFFECTING FINANCINGS		Compliance History	10
OF MUNICIPALITIES OF THE STATE.....	6	RATING	10
LITIGATION.....	7	MUNICIPAL ADVISOR	10
TAX MATTERS	7	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.....	11
Opinion of Bond Counsel	7		

APPENDIX A

THE DISTRICT.....	A-1	STAR - School Tax Exemption	A-12
General Information.....	A-1	Tax Collection Procedure	A-12
District Organization.....	A-1	Long Island Power Authority PILOT Payments.....	A-13
Financial Organization.....	A-1	Real Property Tax Rebate.....	A-14
Financial Statements and Accounting Procedures	A-2	Tax Collection Record.....	A-14
Budgetary Procedure.....	A-2	Ten of the Largest Taxpayers	A-15
School Enrollment Trends	A-2	DISTRICT INDEBTEDNESS	A-15
District Facilities.....	A-2	Constitutional Requirements	A-15
Employees	A-3	Statutory Procedure	A-16
Employee Pension Benefits	A-3	Statutory Debt Limit and Net Indebtedness.....	A-17
Other Post Employment Benefits.....	A-5	Tax Anticipation Notes.....	A-17
Investment Policy Permitted Investments.....	A-6	Revenue Anticipation Notes	A-17
FINANCIAL FACTORS.....	A-6	Bond Anticipation Notes	A-17
Real Property Taxes.....	A-6	Trend of Capital Indebtedness.....	A-18
State Aid	A-7	Overlapping and Underlying Debt.....	A-18
Events Affecting New York School Districts.....	A-9	Debt Ratios	A-19
Other Revenues.....	A-10	Authorized and Unissued Indebtedness.....	A-19
Independent Audits.....	A-10	Debt Service Schedule	A-20
Cash Flow Projections	A-10	Energy Performance Contract.....	A-21
The State Comptroller's Fiscal Stress Monitoring		ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC DATA	A-21
System and Compliance Reviews.....	A-10	Population.....	A-21
TAX INFORMATION	A-11	Income	A-22
Real Property Tax Assessments and Rates	A-11	Employment and Unemployment.....	A-22
Tax Limit	A-11		
The Tax Levy Limit Law.....	A-11		

APPENDIX B – FINANCIAL STATEMENT SUMMARIES AND CASH FLOW STATEMENTS
APPENDIX C – FORM OF APPROVING LEGAL OPINION OF BOND COUNSEL FOR THE NOTES
APPENDIX D – FORM OF UNDERTAKING TO PROVIDE NOTICES OF EVENTS FOR THE NOTES

(THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

OFFICIAL STATEMENT

MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK

Relating To

\$7,500,000* TAX ANTICIPATION NOTES FOR 2017-2018 TAXES (the "Notes")

This Official Statement, including the cover page and appendix hereto, presents certain information relating to the Manhasset Union Free School District in the County of Nassau, State of New York (the "District," "County" and "State," respectively) in connection with the sale of \$7,500,000* Tax Anticipation Notes for 2017-2018 Taxes (the "Notes").

All quotations from and summaries and explanations of provisions of the Constitution and laws of the State and acts and proceedings of the District contained herein do not purport to be complete and are qualified in their entirety by reference to the official compilations thereof and all references to the Notes and the proceedings of the District relating thereto are qualified in their entirety by reference to the definitive form of the Notes and such proceedings.

THE NOTES

Description

The Notes will be dated and will mature, without the option of prior redemption, as reflected on the cover page hereof.

The District will act as Paying Agent for any Notes issued in book-entry form. Paying agent fees, if any, will be paid by the purchaser(s). The District's contact information is Ms. Rosemary Johnson, Deputy Superintendent for Business and Finance, telephone number (516) 267-7724, email: rosemary_johnson@manhassetsschools.org

Authority for and Purpose of Issue

The Notes are issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State, including Sections 24.00 and 39.00 of the Local Finance Law, constituting Chapter 33-a of the Consolidated Laws of New York, and a tax anticipation note resolution adopted by the Board of Education of the District to finance cash flow requirements in anticipation of the collection of 2017-2018 real property taxes levied for school purposes on all taxable real property in the District. The proceeds of the Notes may be used only for the purposes for which such taxes were or are to be levied, as specified in 2017-2018 annual budget of the District, unless all of said purposes have been paid and satisfied, in which case the proceeds of the notes may be used for any lawful school purpose. The proceeds of the Notes will not be used for the redemption or renewal of any outstanding tax or revenue anticipation notes.

Pursuant to Section 24.00(e) of the Local Finance Law, generally, whenever the amount of the Notes and any additional tax anticipation notes issued by the District in anticipation of the receipt of 2017-2018 real property taxes equals the amount of such taxes remaining uncollected, the District is required to set aside in a special bank account all of such uncollected taxes as thereafter collected, and to use the amounts so set aside only for the purpose of paying such Notes. Interest on the Notes will be provided from budget appropriations.

* Preliminary, subject to change.

Nature of Obligation

Each Note when duly issued and paid for will constitute a contract between the District and the holder thereof.

The Notes are general obligations of the District and will contain a pledge of the faith and credit of the District for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon. For the payment of such principal and interest the District has the power and statutory authorization to levy ad valorem taxes on all taxable real property in the District, subject to certain statutory limitations imposed by Chapter 97 of the New York Laws of 2011, as amended (the "Tax Levy Limit Law"). (See "*The Tax Levy Limit Law*" herein).

Under the Constitution of the State, the District is required to pledge its faith and credit for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Notes, and the State is specifically precluded from restricting the power of the District to levy taxes on real estate therefore. However, Tax Levy Limit Law imposes a limitation on the power of local governments and school districts, including the District, to increase their annual tax levy, with the amount of such incurrence limited by the formulas set forth in the Tax Levy Limit Law. The Tax Levy Limit Law also provides the procedural method to overcome that limitation. In addition, the Tax Levy Limit Law expressly provides an exclusion from the annual tax levy limitation for any taxes levied to pay the local share of debt service on bonds or notes issued to finance voter approved capital expenditures, or the refinancing or refunding of such bonds or notes. The exclusion does NOT apply to taxes to pay debt service on tax anticipation notes, such as the Notes, revenue anticipation notes, budget notes and deficiency notes; and any obligations issued to finance deficits and certain judgments, including tax certiorari refund payments. (See "*The Tax Levy Limit Law*" herein.)

REMEDIES UPON DEFAULT

Neither the Notes, nor the proceedings with respect thereto, specifically provide any remedies which would be available to owners of the Notes should the District default in the payment of principal of or interest on the Notes, nor do they contain any provisions for the appointment of a trustee to enforce the interests of the owners of the Notes upon the occurrence of any such default. The Notes are general obligation contracts between the District and the owners for which the faith and credit of the District are pledged and while remedies for enforcement of payment are not expressly included in the District's contract with such owners, any permanent repeal by statute or constitutional amendment of a bondholder's and/or noteholder's remedial right to judicial enforcement of the contract should, in the opinion of Bond Counsel, be held unconstitutional.

Upon default in the payment of principal of or interest on the Notes at the suit of the owner, a Court has the power, in proper and appropriate proceedings, to render judgment against the District. The present statute limits interest on the amount adjudged due to contract creditors to nine per centum per annum from the date due to the date of payment. As a general rule, property and funds of a municipal corporation serving the public welfare and interest have not been judicially subjected to execution or attachment to satisfy a judgment. A Court also has the power, in proper and appropriate proceedings, to order payment of a judgment on such bonds or notes from funds lawfully available therefor or, in the absence thereof, to order the District to take all lawful action to obtain the same, including the raising of the required amount in the next annual tax levy. In exercising its discretion as to whether to issue such an order, the Court may take into account all relevant factors, including the current operating needs of the District and the availability and adequacy of other remedies. Upon any default in the payment of the principal of or interest on the Notes, the owners of such Notes could, among other remedies, seek to obtain a writ of mandamus from a Court ordering the governing body of the District to assess, levy and collect an ad valorem tax, upon all taxable property of the District subject to taxation by the District sufficient to pay the principal of and interest on the Notes as the same shall come due and payable (and interest from the due date to date of payment) and otherwise to observe the covenants contained in the Notes and the proceedings with respect thereto all of which are included in the contract with the owners of the Notes. The mandamus remedy, however, may be impracticable and difficult to enforce. Further, the right to enforce payment of the principal of or interest on the Notes may be limited by bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium and similar laws and equitable principles, which may limit the specific enforcement of certain remedies.

In 1976, the New York Court of Appeals, the State's highest court, held in *Flushing National Bank v. Municipal Assistance Corporation for the City of New York*, 40 N.Y.2d 731 (1976), that the New York State legislation purporting to postpone the payment of debt service on New York City obligations was an unconstitutional moratorium in violation of the New York State constitutional faith and credit mandate included in all municipal debt obligations. While that

case can be viewed as a precedent for protecting the remedies of Noteholders, there can be no assurance as to what a Court may determine with respect to future events, including financial crises as they may occur in the State and in municipalities of the State, that require the exercise by the State of its emergency and police powers to assure the continuation of essential public services. (See also, *Flushing National Bank v. Municipal Assistance Corporation for the City of New York*, 41 N.Y.2d 644 (1977), where the Court of Appeals described the pledge as a direct Constitutional mandate.)

As a result of the Court of Appeals decision, the constitutionality of that portion of Title 6-A of Article 2 of the Local Finance Law enacted at the 1975 Extraordinary Session of the State legislature authorizing any county, city, town or village with respect to which the State has declared a financial emergency to petition the State Supreme Court to stay the enforcement against such municipality of any claim for payment relating to any contract, debt or obligation of the municipality during the emergency period, is subject to doubt. In any event, no such emergency has been declared with respect to the District.

Pursuant to Article VIII, Section 2 of the State Constitution, the District is required to provide an annual appropriation of monies for the payment of due and payable principal of and interest on indebtedness. Specifically this constitutional provision states: "If at any time the respective appropriating authorities shall fail to make such appropriations, a sufficient sum shall be set apart from the first revenues thereafter received and shall be applied to such purposes. The fiscal officer of any county, city, town, village or school district may be required to set aside and apply such revenues as aforesaid at the suit of any holder of obligations issued for any such indebtedness." This constitutes a specific non-exclusive constitutional remedy against a defaulting municipality or school district; however, it does not apply in a context in which monies have been appropriated for debt service but the appropriating authorities decline to use such monies to pay debt service. However, Article VIII, Section 2 of the Constitution of the State also provides that the fiscal officer of any county, city, town, village or school district may be required to set apart and apply such revenues at the suit of any holder of any obligations of indebtedness issued with the pledge of the faith of the credit of such political subdivision. In *Quirk v. Municipal Assistance Corp.*, 41 N.Y.2d 644 (1977), the Court of Appeals described this as a "first lien" on revenues, but one that does not give holders a right to any particular revenues. It should thus be noted that the pledge of the faith and credit of a political subdivision in the State is a pledge of an issuer of a general obligation bond or note to use its general revenue powers, including, but not limited to, its property tax levy, to pay debt service on such obligations, but that such pledge may or may not be interpreted by a court of competent jurisdiction to include a constitutional or statutory lien upon any particular revenues. The Constitutional provision providing for first revenue set asides does not apply to tax anticipation notes, including the Notes, revenue anticipation notes or bond anticipation notes.

While the courts in the State have historically been protective of the rights of holders of general obligation debt of political subdivisions, it is not possible to predict what a future court might hold.

In prior years, certain events and legislation affecting a holder's remedies upon default have resulted in litigation. While courts of final jurisdiction have generally upheld and sustained the rights of bondholders and/or noteholders, such courts might hold that future events, including a financial crisis as such may occur in the State or in political subdivisions of the State, may require the exercise by the State or its political subdivisions of emergency and police powers to assure the continuation of essential public services prior to the payment of debt service.

SECTION 99-B OF THE STATE FINANCE LAW

Section 99-b of the State Finance Law (the "SFL") provides for a covenant between the State and the purchasers and the holders and owners from time to time of the bonds and notes issued by school districts in the State for school purposes that it will not repeal, revoke or rescind the provisions of Section 99-b of the SFL, or amend or modify the same so as to limit, impair or impede the rights and remedies granted thereby.

Said section provides that in the event a holder or owner of any bond or note issued by a school district for school purposes shall file with the State Comptroller, a verified statement describing such bond or note and alleging default in the payment thereof or the interest thereon or both, it shall be the duty of the State Comptroller to immediately investigate the circumstances of the alleged default and prepare and file in his office a certificate setting forth his determinations with respect thereto and to serve a copy thereof by registered mail upon the chief fiscal officer of the school district which issued the bond or note. Such investigation by the State Comptroller shall set forth a description

of all such bonds and notes of the school district found to be in default and the amount of principal and interest thereon past due.

Upon the filing of such a certificate in the office of the State Comptroller, he shall thereafter deduct and withhold from the next succeeding allotment, apportionment or payment of such State aid or assistance due to such school district such amount thereof as may be required to pay (a) the school district's contribution to the State Teachers' Retirement System, and (b) the principal of and interest on such bonds and notes of such school district then in default. In the event such State aid or assistance initially so withheld shall be insufficient to pay said amounts in full, the State Comptroller shall similarly deduct and withhold from each succeeding allotment, apportionment or payment of such State aid or assistance due such school district such amount or amounts thereof as may be required to cure such default. Allotments, apportionments and payments of such State aid so deducted or withheld by the State Comptroller for the payment of principal and interest on the bonds and notes shall be forwarded promptly to the paying agent or agents for the bonds and notes in default of such school district for the sole purpose of the payment of defaulted principal of and interest on such bonds or notes. If any such successive allotments, apportionments or payment of such State aid so deducted or withheld shall be less than the amount of all principal and interest on the bonds and notes in default with respect to which the same was so deducted or withheld, then the State Comptroller shall promptly forward to each paying agent an amount in the proportion that the amount of such bonds and notes in default payable to such paying agent bears to the total amount of the principal and interest then in default on such bonds and notes of such school district. The State Comptroller shall promptly notify the chief fiscal officer of such school district of any payment or payments made to any paying agent or agents of defaulted bonds or notes pursuant to said section of the SFL.

No Past Due Debt

No principal or interest payment on District indebtedness is past due. The District has never defaulted in the payment of the principal of and/or interest on any indebtedness.

Bankruptcy

The Federal Bankruptcy Code (Chapter IX) allows public bodies, such as municipalities, recourse to the protection of a Federal Court for the purpose of adjusting outstanding indebtedness. Title 6-A of the Local Finance Law specifically authorizes any municipality in the State or its emergency control board to file a petition under any provision of Federal bankruptcy law for the composition or adjustment of municipal indebtedness. While this Local Finance Law provision does not apply to school districts, there can be no assurance that it will not become applicable in the future. As such, the undertakings of the District should be considered with reference, specifically, to Chapter IX, and, in general, to other bankruptcy laws affecting creditors' rights and municipalities. Bankruptcy proceedings by the District if authorized by the State in the future could have adverse effects on bondholders and/or noteholders including (a) delay in the enforcement of their remedies, (b) subordination of their claims to those supplying goods and services to the District after the initiation of bankruptcy proceedings and to the administrative expenses of bankruptcy proceedings and (c) imposition without their consent of a reorganization plan reducing or delaying payment of the Notes.

The above references to said Chapter IX are not to be construed as an indication that the State will consent in the future to the right of the District to file a petition with any United States district court or court of bankruptcy under any provision of the laws of the United States, now or hereafter in effect for the composition or adjustment of municipal indebtedness or that the District is currently considering or expects to resort to the provisions of Chapter IX if authorized to do so in the future.

BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM

In the event the Notes are issued in book-entry form, the Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), New York, New York, will act as securities depository for the Notes. The Notes will be issued as fully-registered notes registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC's partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered note certificate will be issued for each Note which bears the same rate of interest and CUSIP number, in the aggregate principal amount of such issue, and will be deposited with DTC.

DTC, the world's largest depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a "banking organization" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC's participants ("Direct Participants") deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants' accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation ("DTCC"). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly ("Indirect Participants"). The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com and www.dtc.org.

Purchases of the Notes under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Notes on DTC's records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each bond ("Beneficial Owner") is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants' records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Notes are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in the Notes, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Notes is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Notes deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC's partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of the Notes with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Notes; DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Notes are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time.

Redemption notices shall be sent to DTC. If less than all of the Securities within an issue are being redeemed, DTC's practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such issue to be redeemed.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to the Notes unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC's MMI Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the District as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts the Notes are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

Principal and interest payments on the Notes will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from the District, on payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC or the District, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of redemption proceeds,

distributions, and dividend payments to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of the District, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as depository with respect to the Notes at any time by giving reasonable notice to the District. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, bond certificates are required to be printed and delivered.

The District may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry-only transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, bond certificates will be printed and delivered to DTC.

The information in this section concerning DTC and DTC's book-entry system has been obtained from sources that the District believes to be reliable, but the District takes no responsibility for the accuracy thereof.

Source: The Depository Trust Company

MARKET MATTERS AFFECTING FINANCINGS OF THE MUNICIPALITIES OF THE STATE

The District's credit rating could be affected by circumstances beyond the District's control. Economic conditions such as the rate of unemployment and inflation, termination of commercial operations by corporate taxpayers and employers, as well as natural catastrophes, could adversely affect the assessed valuation of District property and its ability to maintain fund balances and other statistical indices commensurate with its current credit rating. As a consequence, a decline in the District's credit rating could adversely affect the market value of the Notes.

If and when an owner of any of the Notes should elect to sell all or a part of the Notes prior to maturity, there can be no assurance that a market will have been established, maintained and continue in existence for the purchase and sale of any of those Notes. The market value of the Notes is dependent upon the ability of holder to potentially incur a capital loss if such Notes are sold prior to its maturity.

There can be no assurance that adverse events including, for example, the seeking by another municipality in the State or elsewhere of remedies pursuant to the Federal Bankruptcy Act or otherwise, will not occur which might affect the market price of and the market for the Notes. In particular, if a significant default or other financial crisis should occur in the affairs of the State or any of its municipalities, public authorities or other political subdivisions thereby possibly further impairing the acceptability of obligations issued by those entities, both the ability of the District to arrange for additional borrowing(s) as well as the market for and market value of outstanding debt obligations, including the Notes, could be adversely affected.

The District is dependent in part upon financial assistance from the State in the form of State aid as well as grants and loans to be received ("State Aid"). The District's receipt of State aid may be delayed as a result of the State's failure to adopt its budget timely and/or to appropriate State Aid to municipalities and school districts. Should the District fail to receive all or a portion of the amounts of State Aid expected to be received from the State in the amounts and at the times anticipated, occasioned by a delay in the payment of such moneys or by a reduction in State Aid or its elimination, the District is authorized pursuant to the Local Finance Law to provide operating funds by borrowing in anticipation of the receipt of such uncollected State Aid, however, there can be no assurance that, in such event, the District will have market access for any such borrowing on a cost effective basis. The elimination of or any substantial reduction in State Aid would likely have a materially adverse effect upon the District requiring either a counterbalancing increase in revenues from other sources to the extent available or a curtailment of expenditures. (See also "*State Aid*" herein.)

Future amendments to applicable statutes whether enacted by the State or the United States of America affecting the treatment of interest paid on municipal obligations, including the Notes, for income taxation purposes could have an adverse effect on the market value of the Notes (see "*Tax Matters*" herein).

The enactment of the Tax Levy Limit Law, which imposes a tax levy limitation upon municipalities, school districts and fire districts in the State, including the District, without providing exclusion for debt service on obligations issued by municipalities and fire districts, may affect the market price and/or marketability for the Notes. (See “*The Tax Levy Limit Law*” herein.)

Federal or State legislation imposing new or increased mandatory expenditures by municipalities, school districts and fire districts in the State, including the District could impair the financial condition of such entities, including the District and the ability of such entities, including the District to pay debt service on their respective obligations.

LITIGATION

The District has received notices of claim and is involved in lawsuits arising from the normal conduct of its affairs. These matters are in various stages of the litigation process or are being appealed. Certain of the lawsuits and claims may seek damages in excess of insurance coverage in place at the time or may not be covered by insurance. The District has established accrued liabilities for use in the event of an adverse outcome for certain of these matters not covered by insurance, in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. In the opinion of the District, there are no claims or lawsuits which, if ultimately determined against the District, would have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the District, after application of such accrued liabilities.

See also “*Long Island Power Authority PILOT Payments*” herein.

TAX MATTERS

Opinion of Bond Counsel

In the opinion of Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, Bond Counsel to the District, under existing statutes and court decisions and assuming continuing compliance with certain tax certifications described herein, (i) interest on the Notes is excluded from gross income for Federal income tax purposes pursuant to Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), and (ii) interest on the Notes is not treated as a preference item in calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations under the Code; such interest, however, is included in the adjusted current earnings of certain corporations for purposes of calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed on such corporations. The Tax Certificates of the District (collectively, the “Tax Certificate”), which will be delivered concurrently with the delivery of each issue of the Notes, will contain provisions and procedures relating to compliance with applicable requirements of the Code. In rendering its opinion, Bond Counsel has relied on certain representations, certifications of fact, and statements of reasonable expectations made by the District in connection with the Notes, and Bond Counsel has assumed compliance by the District with certain ongoing provisions and procedures set forth in the Tax Certificate relating to compliance with applicable requirements of the Code to assure the exclusion of interest on the Notes from gross income under Section 103 of the Code.

In addition, in the opinion of Bond Counsel to the District, under existing statutes, interest on the Notes is exempt from personal income taxes of New York State and its political subdivisions, including The City of New York.

Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding any other Federal or state tax consequences with respect to the Notes. Bond Counsel renders its opinion under existing statutes and court decisions as of the issue date, and assumes no obligation to update, revise or supplement its opinion after the issue date to reflect any action hereafter taken or not taken, or any facts or circumstances that may hereafter come to its attention, or changes in law or in interpretations thereof that may hereafter occur, or for any other reason. Bond Counsel expresses no opinion on the effect of any action hereafter taken or not taken in reliance upon an opinion of other counsel on the exclusion from gross income for Federal income tax purposes of interest on the Notes, or under state and local tax law.

Certain Ongoing Federal Tax Requirements and Certifications

The Code establishes certain ongoing requirements that must be met subsequent to the issuance and delivery of the Notes in order that interest on such Notes be and remain excluded from gross income under Section 103 of the Code. These requirements include, but are not limited to, requirements relating to use and expenditure of gross proceeds of the Notes, yield and other restrictions on investments of gross proceeds, and the arbitrage rebate requirement that certain excess earnings on gross proceeds be rebated to the Federal government. Noncompliance with such requirements may cause interest on the Notes to become included in gross income for Federal income tax purposes retroactive to their issue date, irrespective of the date on which such noncompliance occurs or is discovered. The District, in executing the Tax Certificate, will certify to the effect that the District will comply with the provisions and procedures set forth therein and that it will do and perform all acts and things necessary or desirable to assure the exclusion of interest on the Notes from gross income under Section 103 of the Code.

Certain Collateral Federal Tax Consequences

The following is a brief discussion of certain collateral Federal income tax matters with respect to the Notes. It does not purport to address all aspects of Federal taxation that may be relevant to a particular owner of a Note. Prospective investors, particularly those who may be subject to special rules, are advised to consult their own tax advisors regarding the Federal tax consequences of owning and disposing of the Notes.

Prospective owners of the Notes should be aware that the ownership of such obligations may result in collateral Federal income tax consequences to various categories of persons, such as corporations (including S corporations and foreign corporations), financial institutions, property and casualty and life insurance companies, individual recipients of Social Security and railroad retirement benefits, individuals otherwise eligible for the earned income tax credit, and taxpayers deemed to have incurred or continued indebtedness to purchase or carry obligations the interest on which is excluded from gross income for Federal income tax purposes. Interest on the Notes may be taken into account in determining the tax liability of foreign corporations subject to the branch profits tax imposed by Section 884 of the Code.

Original Issue Discount

“Original issue discount” (“OID”) is the excess of the sum of all amounts payable at the stated maturity of a Note (excluding certain “qualified stated interest” that is unconditionally payable at least annually at prescribed rates) over the issue price of that maturity. In general, the “issue price” of a maturity means the first price at which a substantial amount of the Notes of that maturity was sold (excluding sales to bond houses, brokers, or similar persons acting in the capacity as underwriters, placement agents, or wholesalers). In general, the issue price for each maturity of the Notes is expected to be the initial public offering price set forth in this Official Statement. Bond Counsel further is of the opinion that, for any Note having OID (a “Discount Obligation”), OID that has accrued and is properly allocable to the owners of the Discount Obligation under Section 1288 of the Code is excludable from gross income for Federal income tax purposes to the same extent as other interest on the Notes.

In general, under Section 1288 of the Code, OID on a Discount Obligation accrues under a constant yield method, based on periodic compounding of interest over prescribed accrual periods using a compounding rate determined by reference to the yield on that Discount Obligation. An owner’s adjusted basis in a Discount Obligation is increased by accrued OID for purposes of determining gain or loss on sale, exchange, or other disposition of such Discount Obligation. Accrued OID may be taken into account as an increase in the amount of tax-exempt income received or deemed to have been received for purposes of determining various other tax consequences of owning a Discount Obligation even though there will not be a corresponding cash payment.

Owners of Discount Obligations should consult their own tax advisors with respect to the treatment of original issue discount for Federal income tax purposes, including various special rules relating thereto, and the state and local tax consequences of acquiring, holding, and disposing of Discount Obligations.

Note Premium

In general, if an owner acquires a Note for a purchase price (excluding accrued interest) or otherwise at a tax basis that reflects a premium over the sum of all amounts payable on the Note after the acquisition date (excluding certain “qualified stated interest” that is unconditionally payable at least annually at prescribed rates), that premium

constitutes “note premium” on that Note (a “Premium Note”). In general, under Section 171 of the Code, an owner of a Premium Note must amortize the note premium over the remaining term of the Premium Note, based on the owner’s yield over the remaining term of the Premium Note, determined based on constant yield principles (in certain cases involving a Premium Note callable prior to its stated maturity date, the amortization period and yield may be required to be determined on the basis of an earlier call date that results in the lowest yield on such Premium Note). An owner of a Premium Note must amortize the note premium by offsetting the qualified stated interest allocable to each interest accrual period under the owner’s regular method of accounting against the note premium allocable to that period. In the case of a tax-exempt Premium Note, if the note premium allocable to an accrual period exceeds the qualified stated interest allocable to that accrual period, the excess is a nondeductible loss. Under certain circumstances, the owner of a Premium Note may realize a taxable gain upon disposition of the Premium Note even though it is sold or redeemed for an amount less than or equal to the owner’s original acquisition cost.

Owners of any Premium Notes should consult their own tax advisors regarding the treatment of note premium for Federal income tax purposes, including various special rules relating thereto, and state and local tax consequences, in connection with the acquisition, ownership, amortization of note premium on, sale, exchange, or other disposition of Premium Notes.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

Information reporting requirements will apply to interest on tax-exempt obligations, including the Notes. In general, such requirements are satisfied if the interest recipient completes, and provides the payor with, a Form W-9, “Request for Taxpayer Identification Number and Certification,” or if the recipient is one of a limited class of exempt recipients. A recipient not otherwise exempt from information reporting who fails to satisfy the information reporting requirements will be subject to “backup withholding,” which means that the payor is required to deduct and withhold a tax from the interest payment, calculated in the manner set forth in the Code. For the foregoing purpose, a “payor” generally refers to the person or entity from whom a recipient receives its payments of interest or who collects such payments on behalf of the recipient.

If an owner purchasing a Note through a brokerage account has executed a Form W-9 in connection with the establishment of such account, as generally can be expected, no backup withholding should occur. In any event, backup withholding does not affect the excludability of the interest on the Notes from gross income for Federal income tax purposes. Any amounts withheld pursuant to backup withholding would be allowed as a refund or a credit against the owner’s Federal income tax once the required information is furnished to the Internal Revenue Service.

Miscellaneous

Tax legislation, administrative actions taken by tax authorities, or court decisions, whether at the Federal or state level, may adversely affect the tax-exempt status of interest on the Notes under Federal or state law or otherwise prevent beneficial owners of the Notes from realizing the full current benefit of the tax status of such interest. In addition, such legislation or actions (whether currently proposed, proposed in the future, or enacted) and such decisions could affect the market price or marketability of the Notes.

Prospective purchasers of the Notes should consult their own tax advisors regarding the foregoing matters.

LEGAL MATTERS

Legal matters incident to the authorization, issuance and sale of the Notes are subject to the approving legal opinion of Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, New York, New York, Bond Counsel, the form of which is attached hereto as Appendix C.

DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING

In order to assist the purchaser(s) in complying with Rule 15c2-12 promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Rule 15c2-12”) with respect to the Notes, the District will execute a Certificate to Provide Notices of Events for the Notes, the form of which is attached hereto as Appendix D.

Compliance History

Since 2007, there have been in excess of 50 rating actions reported by Moody’s Investors Service, Standard & Poor’s Rating Corporation and Fitch Ratings affecting the municipal bond insurance companies, some of which had insured bonds previously issued by the District. Due to widespread knowledge of these rating actions, material event notices were not filed by the District in each instance.

The District did not file a material event notice with respect to the bond call of its School District Serial Bonds for Library Purposes – 2004 on September 15, 2013.

The District has reviewed and modified its continuing disclosure practices to ensure that all material event notices are filed in a timely manner. The District has also corrected any past failures to file as required.

RATING

The District did not apply to Moody’s Investors Service, Inc. (“Moody’s”) for a rating on the Notes.

The District’s underlying credit rating with Moody’s is “Aa1”.

Such ratings reflect only the view of Moody’s, and an explanation of the significance of such rating may be obtained only from Moody’s, at the following address: Moody’s Investors Service, Inc., 7 World Trade Center at 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York 10007. There can be no assurance that such rating will continue for any specified period of time or that such rating will not be revised or withdrawn, if in the judgment of Moody’s circumstances so warrant. Any such change or withdrawal of such rating may have an adverse effect on the market price of such bonds or the availability of a secondary market for those bonds.

MUNICIPAL ADVISOR

Capital Markets Advisors, LLC, Great Neck, New York, (the “Municipal Advisor”) is an independent municipal advisor registered with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board. The Municipal Advisor has served as the independent financial advisor to the District in connection with this transaction.

In preparing the Official Statement, the Municipal Advisor has relied upon governmental officials, and other sources, who have access to relevant data to provide accurate information for the Official Statement. The Municipal Advisor has not been engaged, nor has it undertaken, to independently verify the accuracy of such information. The Municipal Advisor is not a public accounting firm and has not been engaged by the District to compile, review, examine or audit any information in the Official Statement in accordance with accounting standards. The Municipal Advisor is not a law firm and does not provide legal advice with respect to this or any debt offerings of the District. The Municipal Advisor is an independent advisory firm and is not engaged in the business of underwriting, trading or distributing municipal securities or other public securities and therefore will not participate in the underwriting of the Notes.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Periodic public reports relating to the financial condition of the District, its operations and the balances, receipts and disbursements of the various funds of the District are available for the public inspection at the business office of the District.

Additional information may be obtained from the office of the District's Deputy Superintendent for Business and Finance, Ms. Rosemary Johnson (516) 267-7724, or from the District's Municipal Advisor, Capital Markets Advisors, LLC, 11 Grace Avenue, Suite 308, Great Neck, New York 11021, (516) 487-9818.

The District will act as Paying Agent with respect to the Notes. The District's Deputy Superintendent for Business and Finance noted above should be used as the Paying Agent contact.

Any statements in this Official Statement involving matters of opinion or estimates, whether or not expressly so stated, are intended as such and not as representations of fact. No representation is made that any of such statements will be realized. This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract or agreement between the District and the original purchasers or holders of any of the Notes.

Capital Markets Advisors, LLC may place a copy of this Official Statement on its website at www.capmark.org. Unless this Official Statement specifically indicates otherwise, no statement on such website is included by specific reference or constitutes a part of this Official Statement. Capital Markets Advisors, LLC has prepared such website information for convenience, but no decisions should be made in reliance upon that information. Typographical or other errors may have occurred in converting original source documents to digital format, and neither the District nor Capital Markets Advisors, LLC assumes any liability or responsibility for errors or omissions on such website. Further, Capital Markets Advisors, LLC and the District disclaim any duty or obligation either to update or to maintain that information or any responsibility or liability for any damages caused by viruses in the electronic files on the website. Capital Markets Advisors, LLC and the District also assume no liability or responsibility for any errors or omissions or for any updates to dated website information.

This Official Statement is submitted only in connection with the sale of the Notes by the District and may not be reproduced or used in whole or in part for any other purpose.

MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

By: _____
Regina Rule
President of the Board of Education

DATED: September __, 2017

(THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

APPENDIX A

THE DISTRICT

(THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

THE DISTRICT

General Information

Manhasset Union Free School District is located in the northwestern corner of Nassau County, in the Town of North Hempstead (the “Town”), on the north shore of Long Island. The District encompasses the southwestern portion of the Port Washington peninsula, which includes an extensive shore along Manhasset Bay lying to the west. Due to its close proximity to New York City, many District residents commute by car, bus or train to Manhattan, where they are engaged in various professions. The approximate area of the District is 4.9 square miles.

The area is largely residential and consists of estates with substantial residences, many housing developments and apartment houses. Manhasset, an unincorporated area, is the government seat of the Town. Commercial activity in the District is primarily retail in nature. The two main business areas, one on Plandome Road, and the other on Northern Boulevard, known as the “Miracle Mile,” draw consumers from surrounding areas, as well as, provide employment opportunities for residents of the District. The Miracle Mile has branches of high end New York City stores such as Brooks Brothers, Tiffany’s, Ralph Lauren, Cartier, Dior, Bottega Veneta, Hermes, Gucci, Burberry, Chanel, Fendi, Giorgio Armani, Prada and Louis Vuitton.

The District includes the incorporated Villages of Munsey Park, Plandome, Plandome Heights, and Plandome Manor and parts of the incorporated Villages of Flower Hill and North Hills. A network of roads leads to and from the District, giving vehicular traffic ready access to the major east-west arteries leading either into New York City, or to eastern Long Island. These include Northern Boulevard (Route 25A), the Northern State Parkway, and the Long Island Expressway.

The District is served by the Port Washington branch of the Long Island Railroad. There is frequent west-bound train service to Pennsylvania Station, New York, with the trip taking approximately one-half hour. East-bound service to Great Neck, Manhasset and Port Washington is also on a frequent basis.

Water service is provided by the Manhasset-Lakeville Water District, sewage collection by Nassau County, and gas and electric service by the PSEG Long Island. Police protection is furnished by the Nassau County Police District, while fire protection is provided by the Manhasset-Lakeville Fire Department.

District Organization

Subject to the provisions of the State Constitution, the District operates pursuant to the Education Law, the Local Finance Law, other laws generally applicable to the District, and any special laws applicable to the District. Under such laws, there is no authority for the District to have a charter or adopt local laws.

The legislative power of the District is vested in the Board of Education (the “Board”). Under current law, an election is held within the District boundaries on the third Tuesday of May each year to elect members of the Board. Board members are generally elected for a term of three years.

In early July of each year, the Board meets for the purpose of reorganization. At that time, the Board elects a President and a Vice President, and appoints a District Clerk and District Treasurer.

On March 11, 2017, the Board of Education approved the appointment of Dr. Vincent Butera to be the next Superintendent of the District effective July 10, 2017.

Financial Organization

Pursuant to the Local Finance Law, the President of the Board is the chief fiscal officer of the District. However, certain of the financial functions of the District are the responsibility of the Superintendent of Schools, the Deputy Superintendent for Business and Finance and the District Treasurer.

Financial Statements and Accounting Procedures

The financial accounts of the District are maintained in accordance with the New York State Uniform System of Accounting for School Districts. Such accounts are audited annually by independent auditors, and are available for public inspection upon request.

Budgetary Procedure

The District’s fiscal year begins on July 1 and ends on June 30. Starting in the fall or winter of each year, the District’s financial plan and enrollment projection are reviewed and updated and the first draft of the next year’s proposed budget is developed by the central office staff. During the winter and early spring the budget is developed and refined in conjunction with the school building principals and department supervisors. The District’s budget is subject to the provisions of the Tax Levy Limit Law, which imposes a limitation on the amount of real property taxes that a school district may levy, and by law is submitted to voter referendum on the third Tuesday of May each year. (See “*The Tax Levy Limit Law*” herein).

On May 17, 2016, a majority of the voters of the District approved the District’s budget for the 2016-2017 fiscal year. On May 16, 2017, a majority of the voters of the District approved the District’s budget for the 2017-2018 fiscal year. Summaries of the District’s Approved Budgets for the fiscal years 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 may be found in Appendix B, herein.

School Enrollment Trends

The following table presents the past and projected school enrollment for the District.

<u>School Enrollment Trends</u>			
<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Actual Enrollment</u>	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Projected Enrollment⁽¹⁾</u>
2012-13	3,292	2017-18	3,308
2013-14	3,325	2018-19	3,271
2014-15	3,376	2019-20	3,248
2015-16	3,358	2020-21	3,248
2016-17	3,307	2021-22	3,248

(1) Includes District students who attend special education schools located outside of the District’s boundaries.
Source: District Officials.

District Facilities

The District operates the following facilities; statistics relating to each are shown below.

<u>School Statistics</u>			
<u>Name</u>	<u>Year Originally Built</u>	<u>Construction Type</u>	<u>Present Capacity</u>
Munsey Park Elementary	1939	Masonry	868
Shelter Rock Elementary	1968	Masonry	811
Manhasset Jr/Sr High School	1935	Masonry	1,676

Source: District Officials.

Employees

The District provides services through 515 employees who are represented by the following units of organized labor.

Employees

<u>Number of Employees</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>Contract Expiration Date</u>
304	Manhasset Education Association	6/30/2020
18	Administrative Supervisors	6/30/2020
168	Support Personnel	6/30/2019
25	Non-Union	NA

Source: District Officials.

Employee Pension Benefits

New York State certified teachers and administrators are members of the New York State Teachers Retirement System (“TRS”). Payments to the TRS are generally deducted from State aid payments. All non-certified employees of the District eligible for pension or retirement benefits under the Retirement and Social Security Law of the State of New York are members of the New York State and Local Employee's Retirement System (“ERS”). Both the TRS and ERS (the “State Retirement System,” “SRS” or the “Systems”) are noncontributory with respect to members hired prior to July 1, 1976. All members of the respective systems that were hired on or after July 1, 1976 and before December 31, 2009, with less than 10 year’s full-time service, contribute 3% of their gross annual salary toward the cost of retirement programs.

On December 10, 2009, then Governor Paterson signed into law a new Tier V. The law is effective for new ERS and TRS employees hired after January 1, 2010. New ERS employees now contribute 3% of their salaries and new TRS employees now contribute 3.5% of their salaries. There is no provision for these contributions to cease after a certain period of service.

On March 16, 2012, Governor Cuomo signed into law Chapter 18 of the Laws of 2012, which legislation provides for a new Tier VI for employees hired after April 1, 2012. The Division of the Budget estimates the new tier will save the State and local governments outside of New York City \$80 billion over the next 30 years. The new pension tier has progressive contribution rates between 3% and 6%; it increases the retirement age for new employees from 62 to 63 and includes provisions allowing early retirement with penalties. Under Tier VI, the pension multiplier will be 1.75% for the first 20 years of service and 2% thereafter; vesting will occur after 10 years; the time period for calculation of final average salary is increased from three years to five years; and the amount of overtime to be used to determine an employee’s pension is capped at \$15,000, indexed for inflation, for civilian and non-uniform employees and at 15% of base pay for uniformed employees outside of New York City. It also includes a voluntary, portable, defined contribution plan option for new non-union employees with salaries of \$75,000 or more.

Pension reform legislation enacted in 2003 and 2004 changed the cycle of ERS billing to match budget cycles of the District. The reform legislation also required the District to make a minimum contribution of 4.5% of payroll every year, including years in which the investment performance of the fund would otherwise make a lower contribution possible.

Due to poor performance of the investment portfolio of the SRS, the employer Actuarially Required Contribution rates (“ARCs”) for required pension contributions to the SRS increased almost 300% over five years. To help mitigate the impact of such increases, legislation was enacted in 2010 that permitted local governments to amortize a portion of ERS contributions (the “2010 SCO”). Under such legislation, local governments that choose to amortize are required to set aside and reserve funds with the ERS for certain future rate increases. The District did not amortize such contributions pursuant to the 2010 SCO; however, the District did amortize certain portions of its pension obligations under a 2013 SCO (as hereinafter defined).

Pension Deferrals Under the 2013 Stable Contribution Option

The average actuarial required contribution ("ARC") for ERS for support personnel is approximately 14.93% of member payroll in 2017-18 and was approximately 15.18% of member payroll in 2016-17, 17.99% of member payroll in 2015-16, 19.24% of member payroll in 2014-15, 20.31% of member payroll in 2013-14, 18.9% of member payroll in 2012-13, 16.3% in 2011-12, 11.2% in 2010-11 and 7.0% in 2009-10.

The ARC for TRS for certificated personnel is approximately 9.80% of member payroll in 2017-18 and was approximately 11.72% of member payroll in 2016-17, 13.26% of member payroll in 2015-16, 17.53% of member payroll in 2014-15, 16.25% of member payroll in 2013-14, 11.84% of member payroll in 2012-13, 11.1% of member payroll in 2011-12, 8.62% in 2010-11 and 6.19% in 2009-10.

The increases in contribution rates from 2009-10 to 2014-15 reflect the impact of cumulative market losses on plan investments spread over a rolling five-year period. The declines in ARCs in 2015-16, 2016-17 and 2017-18 for ERS and TRS reflect the incorporation of positive market returns over a rolling five-year period.

The District's contributions made to the Systems prior to 2013-14 were equal to 100% of the contributions required for each year. In Spring 2013, the governing entities for ERS and TRS approved a Stable Contribution Option ("2013 SCO") that gives districts the ability to better manage the spikes in ARCs. Each plan allows districts to pay the ARC amount or to opt into the 2013 SCO. The ERS 2013 SCO was 12.4% in 2014-15, 12.9% in 2015-16 and 13.4% in 2016-17. The ERS 2013 SCO is 13.9% in 2017-18.

Under the ERS 2013 SCO, payment of deferred amounts begins the year immediately following the deferral and the repayment period is 12 years. Once made, election to opt into the plan is permanent. However, the District can choose not to defer payment in any given year. The TRS 2013 SCO is a 7-year deferral plan. Payment of the 2014-15 deferred amount was scheduled to commence in year 7 of the program (2019-20) and continue for five years. The District can opt out of the plan at any time, resume paying the ARC and begin repayment of deferred amounts over five years. Prepayments in both 2013 SCOs are at the option of the District. In both plans, interest is based on comparable duration U.S. Treasury securities, plus 1%.

The District opted into both 2013 SCO plans for 2013-14, and deferred payments of \$1.088 million, net of amortizations and timing differences. The 2014-15 budget included a \$621,438 provision to meet future payments on the deferred amounts. Using this appropriation, and other excess funds, the District was able to repay in 2015-16 the balance due for amounts deferred in 2013-14 under the TRS and ERS 2013 SCOs. This represented an accelerated repayment by the District.

The District opted into both plans for 2014-15 and deferred payments of \$1.654 million, net of amortizations, prepayments and timing differences.

The District opted into the ERS 2013 SCO in 2015-16, and deferred \$261,777, net of amortizations and timing differences. The total amount deferred under the ERS 2013 SCO at June 30, 2016 was \$715,876, which reflected the net remaining balances deferred in 2014-15 and 2015-16. The District is participating in the ERS 2013 SCO in 2016-17 and 2017-18 because the 2016-17 and 2017-18 ERS 2013 SCO of 13.4% and 13.9% remain below the ERS ARC of 15.18% and 14.93%, respectively. The total amount deferred is \$121,091 and \$76,075, respectively. The District will continue to annually evaluate its deferral options under the ERS 2013 SCO.

The 2015-16 ARC of 13.26% was below the TRS 2013 SCO rate of 14.13% and, as a result, the District elected not to participate in the TRS 2013 SCO for 2015-16. In addition, the District determined to repay in 2015-16 the remaining deferred balance of \$1.164 million due under the TRS 2013 SCO for 2014-15. This represented an accelerated repayment by the District and completes the repayment in full of all amounts deferred in 2013-14 and 2014-15 pursuant to the TRS 2013 SCO and no further sums are owed under the TRS 2013 SCO. The 2016-17 and 2017-18 budgets reflect TRS pension expense at the TRS ARCs of 11.72% and 9.80%, respectively.

Other Post Employment Benefits

The District provides post-retirement healthcare benefits to various categories of former employees. These costs may be expected to rise substantially in the future. School Districts and Boards of Cooperative Education Services, unlike other municipal units of government in the State, have been prohibited from reducing retiree health benefits or increasing health care contributions received or paid by retirees below the level of benefits or contributions afforded to or required from active employees. This protection from unilateral reduction of benefits had been extended annually by the New York State Legislature until recently when legislation was enacted to make permanent these health insurance benefit protections for retirees. Legislative attempts to provide similar protection to retirees of other local units of government in the State have not succeeded as of the date hereof. Nevertheless, many such retirees of all varieties of municipal units in the State do presently receive such benefits.

GASB Statement No. 45 (“GASB 45”) of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (“GASB”), requires state and local governments to account for and report their costs associated with post-retirement healthcare benefits and other non-pension benefits (“OPEB”). GASB 45 generally requires that employers account for and report the annual cost of the OPEB and the outstanding obligations and commitments related to OPEB in essentially the same manner as they currently do for pensions. Under previous rules, these benefits have generally been administered on a pay-as-you-go basis and have not been reported as a liability on governmental financial statements. Only current payments to existing retirees were recorded as an expense.

GASB 45 requires that state and local governments adopt the actuarial methodologies to determine annual OPEB costs. Annual OPEB cost for most employers will be based on actuarially determined amounts that, if paid on an ongoing basis, generally would provide sufficient resources to pay benefits as they come due.

Under GASB 45, based on actuarial valuation, an annual required contribution (“ARC”) will be determined for each state or local government. The ARC is the sum of (a) the normal cost for the year (the present value of future benefits being earned by current employees) plus (b) amortization of the unfunded accrued liability (benefits already earned by current and former employees but not yet provided for), using an amortization period of not more than 30 years. If a municipality contributes an amount less than the ARC, a net OPEB obligation will result, which is required to be recorded as a liability on its financial statements.

GASB 45 does not require that the unfunded liabilities actually be funded, only that the District account for its unfunded accrued liability and compliance in meeting its ARC. Actuarial valuation will be required every 2 years for the District.

The District is in compliance with the requirements of GASB 45. The District has determined that its actuarial accrued liability (“AAL”) for OPEB as of July 1, 2015 was \$106,956,184. For the year ended June 30, 2016, the District's ARC was \$9,926,266.

Should the District be required to fund its unfunded actuarial accrued OPEB liability, it could have a material adverse impact upon the District’s finances and could force the District to reduce services, raise taxes or both. At the present time, however, there is no current or planned requirement for the District to partially fund its actuarial accrued OPEB liability. At this time, New York State has not developed guidelines for the creation and use of irrevocable trusts for the funding of OPEB. As a result, the District has decided to continue funding the expenditure on a pay-as-you-go basis.

Legislation has been introduced to create an optional investment pool to help the State and local governments fund retiree health insurance and other post employment benefits. The proposed legislation would authorize the creation of irrevocable OPEB trusts so that the State and its local governments can help fund their OPEB liabilities, establish an OPEB investment fund in the sole custody of the State Comptroller for the investment of OPEB assets of the State and participating eligible local governments, designate the president of the Civil Service Commission as the trustee of the State’s OPEB trust and the governing boards as trustee for local governments and allow school districts to transfer certain excess reserve balances to an OPEB trust once it is established. Under the proposed legislation, there would be no limits on how much a local government can deposit into the trust. The District cannot predict whether such legislation will be enacted into law in the foreseeable future.

Investment Policy Permitted Investments

Pursuant to State law, including Sections 10 and 11 of the General Municipal Law (the “GML”), the District is generally permitted to deposit moneys in banks and trust company located and authorized to do business in the State. All such deposits, including special time deposit accounts and certificates of deposit, in excess of the amount insured under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, are required to be secured in accordance with the provisions of and subject to the limitations of Section 10 of the GML.

The District may also temporarily invest moneys in: (1) obligations of the United States of America; (2) obligations guaranteed by agencies of the United States of America where the payment of principal and interest are guaranteed by the United States of America; (3) obligations of the State of New York; (4) with the approval of the New York State Comptroller, in tax anticipation notes or revenue anticipation notes issued by any municipality, school district, or district corporation, other than those bonds issued by the District; (5) certificates of participation issued by political subdivisions of the State pursuant to Section 109-b(10) of the GML; (6) obligations of a New York public benefit corporation which are made lawful investments for municipalities pursuant to the enabling statute of such public benefit corporation; or (7) in the case of moneys held in certain reserve funds established by the District pursuant to law, in obligations of the District.

All of the foregoing instruments and investments are required to be payable or redeemable at the option of the owner within such times as the proceeds will be needed to meet expenditures for purposes for which the moneys were provided and, in the case of instruments and investments purchased with the proceeds of bonds or notes, shall be payable or redeemable in any event, at the option of the owner, within two years of the date of purchase. Unless registered or inscribed in the name of the District, such instruments and investments must be purchased through, delivered to and held in custody of a bank or trust company in the State pursuant to a written custodial agreement as provided in Section 10 of the GML.

The Board of Education had adopted an investment policy and such policy conforms with applicable laws of the State governing the deposit and investment of public moneys. All deposits and investments of the District are made in accordance with such policy.

FINANCIAL FACTORS

District finances are operated primarily through its General Fund. All taxes and most other revenues are paid into this fund and all current operating expenditures are made from it. A Statement of Revenues and Expenditures for the five-year period ending June 30, 2016 is contained in Appendix B. As reflected in Appendix B, the District derives the bulk of its annual revenues from a tax on real property. Capital improvements are generally financed by the issuance of bonds, bond anticipation notes and the use of funds reserved for capital improvements.

Real Property Taxes

The District derives the major portion of its revenues from a tax on real property (See “*Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance-General Fund*” in Appendix B, herein). Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011, as amended, imposes a tax levy limitation upon the municipalities, school districts and fire districts in the State, including the District. (See “*The Tax Levy Limit Law*” herein). Property taxes accounted for 87.1% of total General Fund revenues for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, while State aid accounted for 5.5%.

(The remainder of this page has been intentionally left blank.)

The following table sets forth total general fund revenues and real property tax revenues during the last five fiscal years, and the amounts budgeted for the current and upcoming fiscal years.

Property Taxes

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Total Revenues⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Real Property Taxes⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Real Property Taxes to Revenues</u>
2012	\$83,601,052	\$73,413,715	87.8%
2013	85,166,797	75,266,502	88.4
2014	86,728,334	76,784,281	88.5
2015	88,774,450	78,434,310	88.4
2016	90,530,140	78,875,216	87.1
2017 (Approved Budget)	92,008,827	82,248,488 ⁽²⁾	89.4
2018 (Approved Budget)	93,890,748	83,908,381	89.4

(1) General Fund, inclusive of debt service payments received from the Manhasset Public Library.

(2) After restatement for LIPA PILOTs as discussed herein. (See “*Long Island Power Authority PILOT Payments*” herein.)

Source: Audited Financial Statements and Approved Budgets of the District. See also “*Budgetary Procedure*” herein. Summary itself is not audited.

State Aid

The District receives appropriations from the State of State aid for operating, building and other purposes at various times throughout its fiscal year, pursuant to formulas and payment schedules set forth by statute. While the State has a constitutional duty to maintain and support a system of free common schools that provides a “sound basic education” to children of the State, there can be no assurance that the State appropriation for State aid to school districts will be continued in future years, either pursuant to existing formulas or in any form whatsoever. State aid appropriated and apportioned to the School Districts can be paid only if the State has such monies available for such payment.

The following table sets forth total general fund revenues and State aid revenues during the last five fiscal years, and the amounts budgeted for the current and upcoming fiscal years.

State Aid

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Total Revenues⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Total State Aid</u>	<u>Percentage of Total Revenues Consisting of State Aid</u>
2012	\$83,601,052	\$4,273,776	5.1%
2013	85,166,797	4,746,044	5.6
2014	86,728,334	4,537,843	5.2
2015	88,774,450	4,923,943	5.5
2016	90,530,140	4,959,731	5.5
2017 (Approved Budget)	92,008,827	5,188,326	5.6
2018 (Approved Budget)	93,890,748	5,196,871	5.5

(1) General Fund, inclusive of debt service payments received from the Manhasset Public Library.

Source: Audited Financial Statements and Approved Budgets of the District. See also “*Budgetary Procedure*” herein. Summary itself is not audited.

In addition to the amount of State Aid budgeted annually by the District, the State makes payments of STAR aid representing tax savings provided by school districts to their taxpayers under the STAR Program (See “*STAR – School Tax Exemption*” herein). The District has received timely STAR aid from the State for the current fiscal year.

There can be no assurance that the State appropriation for State aid to school districts will be continued in future years, either pursuant to existing formulas or in any form whatsoever. State aid appropriated and apportioned to the District

can be paid only if the State has such monies available therefore. The availability of such monies and the timeliness of such payment could be affected by a delay in the adoption of the State budget or other circumstances including State fiscal stress. In any event, State aid appropriated and apportioned to the District can be paid only if the State has such monies available therefore.

Potential reductions in Federal aid received by the State. The State receives a substantial amount of Federal aid for education. Many of the policies that drive this Federal aid are subject to change under the current presidential administration and Congress. However, the State's current financial projections concerning Federal aid, and the assumptions on which they are based, are subject to revision as more information becomes available about the proposals for Federal tax policy and legislation, health care, including amendments to the Affordable Care Act, infrastructure, taxation, the Budget Control Act of 2011 (as amended), Federal regulatory reform, and other issues that may arise.

Reductions in Federal funding levels could have a materially adverse impact on the State budget. In addition to the potential fiscal impact of policies that may be proposed and adopted by the new administration and Congress, the State budget may be adversely affected by other actions taken by the Federal government, including audits, disallowances, and changes to Federal participation rates or other medicaid rules.

There can be no assurance that the State's financial position will not change materially and adversely from current projections. If this were to occur, the State would be required to take additional gap-closing actions. Such actions may include, but are not limited to: reductions in State agency operations; delays or reductions in payments to local governments or other recipients of State aid including school districts in the State. Reductions in the payment of State aid could adversely affect the financial condition of school districts in the State.

Should the District fail to receive State aid expected from the State in the amounts and at the times expected, occasioned by a delay in the payment of such monies or by a mid-year reduction in State aid, the District is authorized by the Local Finance Law to provide operating funds by borrowing in anticipation of the receipt of uncollected State aid.

Litigation regarding apportionment of State aid. In January 2001, the State Supreme Court issued a decision in *Campaign for Fiscal Equity* ("CFE") v. *State of New York* mandating that the system of apportionment of State aid to school districts within the State be restructured by the Governor and the State Legislature. On June 25, 2002, the Appellate Division of the State Supreme Court reversed that decision. On June 26, 2003, the State Court of Appeals, the highest court in the State, reversed the Appellate Division, holding that the State must, by July 30, 2004, ascertain the actual cost of providing a sound basic education, enact reforms to the system of school funding and ensure a system of accountability for such reforms. The Court of Appeals further modified the decision of the Appellate Division by deciding against a Statewide remedy and instead limited its ruling solely to the New York City school system.

After further litigation in 2006, the Court of Appeals held that \$1.93 billion of additional funds for the New York City schools - as initially proposed by the Governor and presented to the State Legislature as an amount sufficient to provide a sound basic education - was reasonably determined. State legislative reforms enacted in the wake of the decision in *Campaign for Fiscal Equity* ("CFE") v. *State of New York*, included increased accountability for expenditure of State funds and collapsing over 30 categories of school aid into one classroom operating formula referred to as foundation aid. Foundation aid prioritizes funding distribution based upon student need.

Litigation is continuing however as a statewide lawsuit entitled *NYSER v. State of New York* has been filed recently on behalf of the State's public school students. The lawsuit asserts that the State has failed to comply with the decision of the New York State Court of Appeals in *CFE v. State of New York*. The complaint asks the court for an order requiring the State to immediately discontinue the cap on State aid increases and the supermajority requirements regarding increases in local property tax levies. The complaint also asks the court to order the State to develop a new methodology for determining the actual costs of providing all students the opportunity for a sound basic education, revise the State funding formulas to ensure that all schools receive sufficient resources, and ensure a system of accountability that measures whether every school has sufficient resources and that all students are, in fact, receiving the opportunity to obtain a sound basic education. On June 27, 2017, the Court of Appeals ruled that NYSER's claims that students in New York City and Syracuse are being denied the opportunity for a sound basic education could go to trial and that NYSER could rely upon the CFE decision in its arguments. It is not possible to predict the outcome of this litigation. It is not possible to predict the outcome of this litigation.

Events Affecting New York School Districts

The recent history of State aid to school districts in the State is as follows:

School district fiscal year (2014-2015): The State Legislature adopted the State budget on March 31, 2014. The budget included an increase of \$1.1 billion in State aid for school districts.

The Smart Schools Bond Act was passed as part of the Enacted 2014-2015 State Budget. The Smart Schools Bond Act authorizes the issuance of \$2.0 billion of general obligation bonds by the State to finance improved educational technology and infrastructure to improve learning and opportunity for students throughout the State. The District is eligible for an allocation of \$432,581 under the Smart Schools Bond Act. The District has received \$147,007 under the Smart Schools Bond Act.

School district fiscal year (2015-2016): The State Legislature adopted the State budget on March 31, 2015. The budget included an increase of \$1.4 billion in State aid for school districts that was tied to changes in the teacher evaluation and tenure process. School districts were to obtain approval of their revised teacher evaluation plans by November 15, 2015 in order to receive their allotted increase in State aid unless a waiver was granted by the State. The District requested and received said waiver.

School district fiscal year (2016-2017): The State Legislature adopted the State budget on March 31, 2016. The budget included an increase of \$991 million in State aid for school districts over the 2015-16 budget, \$863 million of which consisted of traditional operating aid. In addition to the \$408 million of expense based aid, the Adopted Budget included a \$266 million increase in Foundation Aid and a \$189 million restoration to the Gap Elimination Adjustment (“GEA”). The majority of the remaining increase related to \$100 million in Community Schools Aid, a newly adopted aid category, to support school districts that wish to create community schools. The funds may only be used for certain purposes such as providing health, mental health and nutritional services to students and their families.

School district fiscal year (2017-2018): The State’s 2017-2018 Enacted Budget provides for school aid of approximately \$25.8 billion, an increase of \$1.1 billion in school aid spending from the 2016-2017 school year. The majority of the increases have been targeted to high need school districts. Expense-based aids to support school construction, pupil transportation, BOCES and special education were continued in full, as is the State’s usual practice. Transportation aid increased by 5.5% and building aid increased by 4.8%. The State 2017-18 Enacted Budget continues to link school aid increases for 2017-18 and 2018-19 to teacher and principal evaluation plans approved by September 1 of the current year in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d. In addition, the Enacted 2017-2018 State Budget allows the Governor to reduce aid to school districts mid-year if receipts from the Federal government are less than what was expected. If federal support is reduced by \$850 million or more, the New York State Director of the Budget will develop a plan to make uniform spending reductions by the State. Such plan would take effect automatically unless the State Legislature passes its own plan within 90 days.

Gap Elimination Adjustment

The State provides annual State aid to school districts in the State, including the District, on the basis of various formulas. Due to the State’s own budgetary crisis in 2009 and to assist the State in mitigating the impacts of its own revenue shortfall, the State reduced the allocation of State aid to school districts as part of a program known as the GEA. The GEA resulted in a reduction from the State aid originally due to the District under existing State aid formulas and the District’s State aid was reduced as a result of the GEA program each year starting in 2009. Subsequent State budgets decreased the amount of the GEA deduction and the Adopted Budget for the State’s 2016-2017 fiscal year eliminated the remaining balance of the GEA. The elimination of the GEA did not make the District whole for all of the losses in State aid that occurred during the period in which the State imposed the GEA.

The District believes that it would mitigate the impact of any delays or the reduction in State aid by reducing expenditures, increasing revenues, appropriating other available funds on hand, and/or by any combination of the foregoing. (See also “*Market Factors Affecting Financing of the State and School Districts of the State*” herein).

Other Revenues

In addition to property taxes and State aid, the District receives other revenues from miscellaneous sources as shown in Appendix B.

Independent Audits

Appendix B to the Official Statement presents excerpts from the District's most recent audited reports covering the last five fiscal years. In addition, the District is subject to periodic audit by the State Comptroller to review compliance with legal requirements and the rules and regulations established by the State. The State Comptroller's most recent review of the District relating to the District's internal controls over the calculation and processing of separation payments for the period July 1, 2014 through February 29, 2016, was completed in the Summer of 2016. A copy of the Comptroller's report and the District's response is available upon request.

Cash Flow Projections

The cash flow summaries of the District for the 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 fiscal years, including tax anticipation borrowings and repayment thereof, are set forth in Appendix B. Such cash flow statements, with respect to future receipts and payments, are estimates only and no representation whatsoever is made that any such estimates will be realized.

The State Comptroller's Fiscal Stress Monitoring System and Compliance Reviews

The New York State Comptroller has reported that New York State's school districts and municipalities are facing significant fiscal challenges. As a result, the Office of the State Comptroller ("OSC") has developed a Fiscal Stress Monitoring System ("FSMS") to provide independent, objectively measured and quantifiable information to school district and municipal officials, taxpayers and policy makers regarding the various levels of fiscal stress under which the State's school districts and municipalities are operating.

The fiscal stress scores are based on financial information submitted as part of each school district's ST-3 report filed with the State Education Department annually, and each municipality's annual report filed with the State Comptroller. Using financial indicators that include year-end fund balance, cash position and patterns of operating deficits, the system creates an overall fiscal stress score which classifies whether a school district or municipality is in "significant fiscal stress", in "moderate fiscal stress," as "susceptible to fiscal stress" or "no designation". Entities that do not accumulate the number of points that would place them in a stress category will receive a financial score but will be classified in a category of "no designation." This classification should not be interpreted to imply that the entity is completely free of fiscal stress conditions. Rather, the entity's financial information, when objectively scored according to the FSMS criteria, did not generate sufficient points to place them in one of the three established stress categories.

The most current applicable report of the State Comptroller designates the District as "No Designation."

See the State Comptroller's official website for more information on FSMS. Reference to this website implies no warranty of accuracy of information therein.

The financial affairs of the District are subject to periodic compliance reviews by OSC to ascertain whether the District has complied with the requirements of various State and federal statutes. The most recent audit, dated August 5, 2016, was for the purpose of examining the claims auditing process within the Pupil Personnel Service Department for the period July 1, 2014 through February 29, 2016. The OSC found that the Board of Education developed an adequate process for processing special education claims but has not formally documented procedures in writing. The District is in the process of formalizing special education claim processing procedures in writing as recommended by the OSC. The complete report and District's response can be obtained from OSC's website.

TAX INFORMATION

Real Property Tax Assessments and Rates

The following table sets forth the assessed and full valuation of taxable real property, the District's real property tax levy, including taxes levied for library purposes, and rates of tax per \$1,000 assessed valuation for the last five fiscal years.

Real Property Tax Assessments and Rates **(Fiscal Years Ending June 30:)**

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>
Assessed Valuation	\$19,528,128	\$19,228,630	\$18,722,621	\$17,812,205	\$17,950,890
Equalization Rate	0.32%	0.31%	0.28%	0.27%	N/A
Full Valuation	6,102,540,000	6,202,783,871	6,686,650,357	6,597,112,963	N/A
Tax Levy ⁽¹⁾	84,222,793	85,778,036	86,327,992	87,375,294	89,094,873
Tax Rate Per \$1,000	4,312.90	4,460.95	4,610.89	4,905.36	4,963.26

(1) Includes taxes assessed on behalf of the Manhasset Public Library.

Source: New York State Office of Real Property Services and District Officials.

Tax Limit

The Constitution does not limit the amount that may be raised by the District-wide tax levy on real estate in any fiscal year. However, the Tax Levy Limit Law imposes a statutory limit on the amount of real property taxes that a school district may levy. (See "*The Tax Levy Limit Law*" herein).

The Tax Levy Limit Law

Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011, as amended (herein referred to as the "Tax Levy Limit Law" or "Law") modified previous law by imposing a limit on the amount of real property taxes that a school district may levy.

Prior to the enactment of the Law, there was no statutory limitation on the amount of real property taxes that a school district could levy if its budget had been approved by a simple majority of its voters. In the event the budget had been defeated by the voters, the school district was required to adopt a contingency budget. Under a contingency budget, school budget increases were limited to the lesser of four percent (4%) of the prior year's budget or one hundred twenty percent (120%) of the consumer price index ("CPI").

Under the Tax Levy Limit Law, there is now a limitation on the amount of tax levy growth from one fiscal year to the next. Such limitation is the lesser of (i) 2% or (ii) the annual percentage increase in the consumer price index, subject to certain exclusions as mentioned below and as described in the Law. A budget with a tax levy that does not exceed such limit will require approval by at least 50% of the voters. Approval by at least 60% of the voters will be required for a budget with a tax levy in excess of the limit. In the event the voters reject the budget, the tax levy for the school district's budget for the ensuing fiscal year may not exceed the amount of the tax levy for the prior fiscal year. School districts will be permitted to carry forward a certain portion of their unused tax levy limitation from a prior year.

The District did not attempt to exceed the tax levy limit for the 2014-2015, 2015-2016, 2016-2017 or 2017-2018 fiscal years.

The Law permits certain significant exclusions to the tax levy limit for school districts. These include taxes to pay the local share of debt service on bonds (such as the Bonds) or notes issued to finance voter approved capital expenditures and the refinancing or refunding of such bonds or notes, certain pension cost increases, and other items enumerated in the Law. However, such exclusion does NOT apply to taxes to pay debt service on tax anticipation notes, revenue anticipation notes, budget notes and deficiency notes; and any obligations issued to finance deficits and certain judgments, including tax certiorari refund payments.

STAR - School Tax Exemption

The STAR (School Tax Relief) program provides State-funded exemptions from school property taxes to homeowners for their primary residences. Homeowners over 65 years of age with household adjusted gross incomes, less the taxable amount of total distributions from individual retirement accounts and individual retirement annuities (“STAR Adjusted Gross Income”) of \$86,000 or less, increased annually according to a cost of living adjustment, are eligible for a “full value” exemption of the first \$65,300 for the 2016-17 school year (adjusted annually). Other homeowners with household STAR Adjusted Gross income not in excess of \$500,000 are eligible for a \$30,000 “full value” exemption on their primary residence. School districts receive full reimbursement from the State for real property taxes exempted pursuant to the STAR program by the first business day in January of each year.

Part A of Chapter 60 of the Laws of 2016 of the State of New York (“Chapter 60”) gradually converts the STAR program from a real property tax exemption to a personal income tax credit. Chapter 60 prohibits new STAR exemptions from being granted unless at least one of the applicants held title to the property on the taxable status date of the assessment roll that was used to levy school district taxes for the 2015-2016 school year (generally, March 1, 2015), and the property was granted a STAR exemption on that assessment roll. However, a new homeowner may receive a new personal income tax credit in the form of a check. The dollar benefit to eligible taxpayers will not change. A taxpayer who is eligible for the new credit will receive a check from the State equal to the amount by which the STAR exemption would have reduced his or her school tax bill. A homeowner who owned his or her home on the taxable status date for the assessment roll used to levy taxes for the 2015-2016 school year, and who received a STAR exemption on that roll, may continue to receive a STAR exemption on that home as long as he or she still owns and primarily resides in it. No further action is required (unless the homeowner has been receiving Basic STAR and wants to apply for Enhanced STAR, which is permissible).

The State 2017-18 Enacted Budget includes changes to Chapter 60. STAR checks are now expected to be mailed out prior to the date that school taxes are payable. The amount of the check will be based on the previous year’s amount adjusted by the levy growth factor used for the property tax cap. Any changes that must be made based on the final STAR credit compared to the estimate used will be factored into the subsequent year’s STAR credit check or taxpayers also may account for those changes in their State income taxes.

Approximately 2.96% of the District’s 2016-2017 school tax levy was exempted by the STAR program and the District has received full reimbursement of such exempt taxes from the State. Approximately 2.96% of the District’s 2017-2018 school tax levy (after adjustment for the LIPA PILOTs as discussed herein) (see “*Long Island Power Authority PILOT Payments*” herein) was exempted by the STAR program and the District expects to receive full reimbursement of such exempt taxes from the State in January 2018. (See “*State Aid*” herein).

Tax Collection Procedure

In Nassau County, property taxes for the school districts are levied by the County, and are collected by the town tax receivers. Such taxes are due and payable in equal installments on October 1 and April 1, but may be paid without penalty by November 10 and May 10, respectively. The Town Tax Receiver pays to each school district the amounts collected therefor in each month from October to June. Penalties on unpaid taxes are 1% per month from the date such taxes are due and payable. A 1% discount for prepayment of second half taxes is given if received by November 10. Any such discount is a Town charge.

On or before June 1, the Town Tax Receiver files a report of any uncollected school district taxes with the County. The County thereafter pays to each school district the amount of its uncollected taxes. Thus, each school district should receive its full levy prior to the end of its fiscal year. However, in some recent years, the District has experienced delays in its receipt of uncollected school district taxes from the County. In such years, the District received its payments in July and August, the first months of its subsequent fiscal year. (See “*Tax Collection Record*” herein.)

Under existing law, the County assumes liability for all tax certiorari refund payments, including any portion of the refund attributable to the reduction in the amount of taxes raised to support District operations.

Long Island Power Authority PILOT Payments

Chapter 173 of the Laws of the State of New York of 2013 (the “LIPA Reform Act”), enacted into law on July 29, 2013, provided, among other things, that commencing January 1, 2015, payments in lieu of taxes (“PILOT Payments”) made by the Long Island Power Authority (“LIPA”) to each municipality and school district may not exceed by more than two percent the amount of PILOT Payments made by LIPA to such municipality and school district in the preceding calendar year. The District, in common with other school districts in the County, may be adversely impacted by the implementation of this provision of the LIPA Reform Act by the County.

Prior to September 2015, LIPA properties were assessed and taxed each year by the County in the same manner as other taxable property. Thereafter, the County removed all LIPA properties from the assessment roll and reclassified the LIPA property taxes as PILOT Payments, contending that the LIPA Reform Act required treating payments made by LIPA as PILOT Payments, rather than as taxes. The County calculated the 2015-2016 LIPA PILOT Payments for the District to be \$1,575,925.78, payable in equal \$787,962.89 installments in November 2015 and May 2016. This action by the County resulted in a reduction of \$1,575,925.78 from the District’s 2015-2016 certified tax levy and a corresponding increase in the District’s anticipated PILOT revenue for 2015-2016.

LIPA paid \$721,008.14 to the County in November 2015, a shortfall of \$66,954.76 for the first half of the District’s 2015-2016 fiscal year. LIPA maintained that the LIPA Reform Act required the calculation of the PILOT Payments for school districts to be based upon the prior calendar year rather than the prior fiscal year of the school districts, as calculated by the County, which may result in a total shortfall of up to approximately \$135,000 for the District’s 2015-2016 fiscal year. Initially, the County did not turn over the November 2015 PILOT Payments to the affected Nassau County school districts, including the District, claiming that the County needed to verify the PILOT Payments made by LIPA since they were less than the amounts billed by the County. On January 28, 2016, the District and other affected Nassau County school districts commenced lawsuits in Nassau Supreme Court against the County and LIPA challenging, among other things, (i) the County’s failure to turn over PILOT Payments received, (ii) the County’s reduction of their certified tax levies, and (iii) LIPA’s failure to pay the full amount of billed 2015-2016 PILOT payments.

After the lawsuits were filed, the County released the partial PILOT Payments made by LIPA and the District received a portion of the anticipated November 2015 PILOT Payment in the amount of \$721,008.14 from the County. On February 23, 2016, the Court issued a preliminarily order requiring LIPA to pay the full amount of the billed 2015-2016 PILOT Payments to Nassau County school districts, including payment of the full \$1,575,925.78 to the District. Prior to joinder of issue, the parties initiated negotiations in an attempt to arrive at a resolution of this matter.

After extensive discussions, the District and other affected Nassau County school districts entered into a settlement agreement with the County and LIPA, as of August 30, 2016, resolving the 2015-16 PILOT payments. In accordance with its terms:

- LIPA has paid the full amount of the PILOT payments as billed by the County for the 2015-16 school year in accordance with the Court’s February 23, 2016 order. Accordingly, the District has been made whole for the full amount of LIPA PILOT revenues included in its 2015-16 approved budget.
- LIPA paid \$787,962.89 to the County on or about June 27, 2016, representing the full amount of the second half of the District’s 2015-16 LIPA PILOT payment as originally billed by the County. The District received said amount from the County on or about July 15, 2016.
- On or about September 27, 2016, the District received the shortfall amount of \$66,954.76 from the County.
- Future LIPA PILOT payments will be calculated on a calendar year basis, thereby eliminating the potential for future shortfalls due to the County’s calculation of the PILOT amounts based on a fiscal year basis.
- In paying its PILOT amounts in November 2016, LIPA deducted a credit in the amount of \$66,954.76, representing the difference between the 2015-16 PILOT as billed by the County and the amount as calculated by LIPA.
- Should any new difficulties arise with regard to LIPA PILOT Payments in the future, the District has reserved its right to seek appropriate judicial relief and to assert that the County has a statutory obligation to guarantee school districts the full amount of their tax levies, and that such guarantee should also cover any LIPA shortfall.

Pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreement regarding the 2015-16 LIPA PILOT Payments, the District received the 2016-17 LIPA PILOT payments on a calendar year basis. The District expects the 2017-18 LIPA PILOT payments to be calculated by both the County and LIPA on a calendar year basis, although such expectation can be confirmed only by the payment in full of the 2017-18 PILOT amounts by the County and LIPA, which payment has yet to be fully realized by the District.

Real Property Tax Rebate

Chapter 59 of the Laws of 2014 (“Chapter 59”) included provisions which provided a refundable personal income tax credit to real property taxpayers in school districts in 2014 and 2015 and certain municipal units of government in 2015 and 2016. The eligibility of real property taxpayers for the tax credit in each year depended on such jurisdiction’s compliance with the provisions of the Tax Levy Limitation Law. For the second taxable year of the program, the refundable personal income tax credit for real property taxpayers was additionally contingent upon adoption by the school district or municipal unit of a State approved “government efficiency plan” which demonstrated three year savings and efficiencies of at least one per cent per year from shared services, cooperation agreements and/or mergers or efficiencies.

Chapter 20 of the Laws of 2015 (“Chapter 20”) introduced a new real property tax rebate program that provides state-financed tax rebate checks and credits to taxpayers who are eligible for the STAR exemption in the years 2016-2019. For 2016, eligible taxpayers who resided outside New York City but within the Metropolitan Commuter Transportation District (“MCTD”) received \$130, and eligible taxpayers who resided outside the MCTD received \$185. Credits in 2017-2019 will vary based on a taxpayer’s personal income level and STAR tax savings. Similar to the Chapter 59 real property tax credit, under Chapter 20 the eligibility of real property taxpayers in each year depends on the school district’s compliance with the provisions of the Tax Levy Limitation Law. Unlike Chapter 59, however, for taxpayers other than those living in one of the “Big 4” cities only the compliance of the school district in which the taxpayer resides is relevant. Municipal compliance with the Tax Levy Limitation Law is only required in the case of the “Big 4” cities that have fiscally dependent school districts. In such cases, the joint school/city levy must remain in compliance with the Tax Levy Limitation Law. In either scenario, the relevant jurisdiction (independent school district or joint city/school district) must certify its compliance with the provisions of Chapter 97.

While the provisions of Chapter 59 did not, and the provisions of Chapter 20 do not, directly further restrict the taxing power of the affected municipalities, school districts and special districts, Chapter 59 did, and Chapter 20 does, provide an incentive for such tax levies to remain within the tax cap limits established by the Tax Levy Limitation Law.

Tax Collection Record

<u>Fiscal Year (ended June 30):</u>	<u>Tax Collection</u>		
	<u>Gross Levy⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Amount Uncollected at End of Year</u>	<u>Amount Reported Unpaid to County⁽²⁾</u>
2013	82,594,922	973,369	973,369 ⁽³⁾
2014	84,222,793	1,112,971	1,112,971 ⁽⁴⁾
2015	85,778,036	1,056,242	1,056,242 ⁽⁵⁾
2016	83,906,131	1,247,740	1,247,740 ⁽⁶⁾
2017	85,119,364	1,023,496	1,023,496 ⁽⁷⁾

- (1) Includes library tax.
- (2) See “*Tax Collection Procedure*” herein.
- (3) The County paid the District \$961,283 on July 31, 2013 and \$12,086 for tax certioraris, on August 3, 2013.
- (4) The County paid the District \$1,112,971 on August 8, 2014 and it did not include any tax certioraris.
- (5) The County paid the District \$1,056,242 which includes \$2,592 for tax certioraris, on July 1, 2015.
- (6) The County paid the District \$1,247,740 which includes \$297 for tax certioraris, on August 1, 2016.
- (7) The County paid the District \$1,023,496 on August 16A-14, 2017

Source: District Officials.

Ten of the Largest Taxpayers

The following table presents the taxable assessments of ten of the District's largest taxpayers for the 2016-2017 fiscal year.

<u>Taxpayer</u>	<u>Nature of Business</u>	<u>Assessed Valuation</u>	<u>% of Total Assessed Value</u>
Fifth Avenue of LI Realty Assoc.	Real Estate	\$ 933,928	5.24%
Manhasset Bay Group, Inc.	Real Estate	787,323	4.42
One Thousand Northern of NY Co. LLC	Office Building	347,538	1.95
KMO-361 Realty Assoc.	Real Estate	307,203	1.72
Federated Department Stores Inc.	Retail	251,855	1.41
The 1010 Company	Office Building	226,819	1.27
Manhasset Century, LLC	Real Estate	220,352	1.24
Keyspan Gas East	Utility	210,597	1.18
KIR Munsey Park 020 LLC	Real Estate	202,328	1.14
Centre Manhasset LLC	Commercial	<u>170,914</u>	<u>0.96</u>
	Totals:	<u>\$3,658,857</u>	<u>20.53%</u>

(1) The District's total assessed valuation for the 2016-2017 fiscal year was \$17,812,205.

DISTRICT INDEBTEDNESS

Constitutional Requirements

The New York State Constitution limits the power of the District (and other municipalities and school districts of the State) to issue obligations and to otherwise contract indebtedness. Such constitutional and statutory limitations include the following, in summary form, and are generally applicable to the District.

Purpose and Pledge. The District shall not give or loan any money or property to or in aid of any individual or private corporation or private undertaking or give or loan its credit to or in aid of any of the foregoing or any public corporation.

The District may contract indebtedness only for a District purpose and shall pledge its faith and credit for the payment of principal of and interest hereon.

Payment and Maturity. Except for certain short-term indebtedness contracted in anticipation of taxes (such as the Notes) or to be paid within three fiscal year periods, indebtedness shall be paid in annual installments commencing no later than two years after the date such indebtedness shall have been contracted and ending no later than the period of probable usefulness of the object or purpose determined by statute or, in the alternative, the weighted average period of probable usefulness of the several objects or purposes for which it has contracted; no installment may be more than fifty per centum in excess of the smallest prior installment, unless the District has authorized the issuance of indebtedness having substantially level or declining annual debt service. The District is required to provide an annual appropriation for the payment of interest due during the year on its indebtedness and for the amounts required in such year for amortization and redemption of its serial bonds, bond anticipation notes and capital notes.

General. The District is further subject to constitutional limitation by the general constitutionally imposed duty on the State Legislature to restrict the power of taxation and contracting indebtedness to prevent abuses in the exercise of such power; however, the State Legislature is prohibited by a specific constitutional provision from restricting the power of the District to levy taxes on real estate for the payment of interest on or principal of indebtedness theretofore contracted. There is no constitutional limitation on the amount that may be raised by the District by tax on real estate in any fiscal year to pay principal of and interest on all indebtedness. However, the Tax Levy Limit Law imposes a statutory limitation on the power of the District to increase its annual tax levy. The amount of such increases is limited

by the formulas set forth in such law. The Tax Levy Limit Law includes certain exceptions to the tax levy limitation and also provides the procedural method to overcome that limitation. (See “*The Tax Levy Limit Law*” herein).

Statutory Procedure

In general, the State Legislature has, by enactment of the Local Finance Law, authorized the power and procedure for the District to borrow and incur indebtedness subject, of course, to the constitutional and provisions set forth above. The power to spend money, however, generally derives from other law, including the Education Law.

The District is generally required by such laws to submit propositions for the expenditure of money for capital purposes to the qualified electors of the District. Upon approval thereby, the Board of Education may adopt a bond resolution authorizing the issuance of bonds and notes in anticipation of the bonds. With respect to certain school building construction projects, the District is not permitted to spend in excess of \$100,000 for construction costs until the plans and specification for such project have been approved by the Commissioner of Education of the State.

The Local Finance Law also provides a twenty-day statute of limitations after publication of a bond resolution, together with a statutory form of notice which, in effect, stops legal challenges to the validity of obligations authorized by such bond resolution except for alleged constitutional violations.

The Board of Education, as the finance board of the District, has the power to enact tax anticipation note resolutions. Such resolutions may authorize the issuance of tax anticipation notes in an aggregate principal amount necessary to fund anticipated cash flow deficits but in no event exceeding the amount of real property taxes levied or to be levied by the District, less any tax anticipation notes previously issued and less the amount of such taxes, previously received by the District.

The Board of Education, as the finance board of the District, also has the power to authorize the sale and issuance of bonds and notes, including the Notes. However, such finance board may delegate the power to sell the Notes to the President of the Board of Education, the chief fiscal officer of the District, pursuant to the Local Finance Law.

Debt Limit. Pursuant to the Local Finance Law, the District has the power to contract indebtedness for any District purpose authorized by the Legislature of the State of New York provided the aggregate amount thereof shall not exceed ten per centum of the full valuation of taxable real estate of the District and subject to certain enumerated exclusions and deductions such as State aid for building purposes. The constitutional and statutory method for determining full valuation consists of taking the assessed valuation of taxable real estate for the last completed assessment roll and applying thereto the ratio (equalization rate) which such assessed valuation bears to the full valuation; such ratio is determined by the State Board of Real Property Services. The State Legislature is required to prescribe the manner by which such ratio shall be determined by such authority.

(The remainder of this page has been intentionally left blank.)

Statutory Debt Limit and Net Indebtedness

The debt limit of the District is \$659,711,296, as of September 7, 2017. This is calculated by taking 10% of the current full value of the taxable real property of the District.

Statutory Debt Limit and Net Indebtedness

Full Valuation of Taxable Real Property		\$6,597,112,963
Debt Limit (10% of Full Valuation)		<u>659,711,296</u>
Outstanding Indebtedness ⁽¹⁾ (Principal Only):		
Bonds ⁽²⁾	\$35,730,000	
Refunded Bonds ⁽³⁾	3,625,000	
Bond Anticipation Notes	<u>0</u>	
Gross Indebtedness		39,355,000
Less: Exclusions		<u>0</u>
Total Net Indebtedness		<u>\$ 39,355,000</u>
Net Debt-Contracting Margin		<u>\$ 620,356,296</u>
Percentage of Debt-Contracting Margin Exhausted		<u>5.97%</u>

- (1) Tax anticipation notes, revenue anticipation notes and energy performance contracts are not included in the computation of the statutory debt limit of the District. (See “Revenue Anticipation Notes,” “Tax Anticipation Notes” and “Energy Performance Contract” herein).
- (2) A portion of the District’s outstanding bonded indebtedness in the amount of \$10,970,000 was issued to fund the construction of a new library in the District. The District levies taxes on behalf of the Manhasset Public Library to pay debt service on the bonds issued on behalf of the library.
- (3) On May 24, 2016, the District refunded \$3,625,000 of outstanding bonds previously issued by the District in 2008 and which will be called on February 15, 2008. All future payments of both principal and interest will be provided for from the income of a portfolio of non-callable direct obligations of the United States of America held in an irrevocable trust fund. However, the Local Finance Law of the State does not provide for the exclusion of such debt from the District’s debt statement.

Source: District Officials.

Tax Anticipation Notes

In common with other school districts in the State, the District finds it necessary to borrow in anticipation of the receipt of its tax levy. In the past, the District has paid all notes on their due date. The following is a history of the District’s tax anticipation note borrowing during the last five fiscal years.

Tax Anticipation Notes

<u>Fiscal Year ended June 30:</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Issue Date</u>	<u>Maturity Date</u>
2013	\$12,000,000	08/01/12	06/20/13
2014	12,500,000	08/15/13	06/20/14
2015	10,500,000	08/21/14	06/19/15
2016	7,250,000	09/25/15	06/21/16
2017	7,600,000	09/28/16	06/22/17

Source: District Officials.

Revenue Anticipation Notes

The District has not found it necessary to issue revenue anticipation notes in recent years.

Bond Anticipation Notes

The District currently has no outstanding bond anticipation notes.

Trend of Capital Indebtedness

The following table sets forth the amount of direct capital indebtedness outstanding for each of the last five fiscal years as of June 30 of each respective year.

	<u>Direct Capital Indebtedness Outstanding⁽¹⁾</u>				
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017⁽²⁾</u>
Bonds	\$25,045,000	\$23,100,000	\$21,075,000	\$26,260,000	\$24,230,000
Bond Anticipation Notes	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Totals:	<u>\$25,045,000</u>	<u>\$23,100,000</u>	<u>\$21,075,000</u>	<u>\$26,260,000</u>	<u>\$24,230,000</u>

(1) Exclusive of Energy Performance Contract obligations of the District. (See "Energy Performance Contract" herein).

(2) Unaudited.

Source: Audited Financial Statements of the District and District Officials. Summary itself is not audited.

Overlapping and Underlying Debt

In addition to the District, other political subdivisions have the power to issue bonds and to levy taxes or cause taxes to be levied on taxable real property in the District. The real property taxpayers of the District are responsible for a proportionate share of outstanding debt obligations of these subdivisions. Such taxpayers' share of overlapping and underlying debt is based on the amount of the District's equalized property values taken as a percentage of each separate unit's total values. The following table presents the amount of overlapping and underlying debt and the District's share of this debt. Authorized but unissued debt has not been included.

<u>Issuer</u>	<u>Net Debt Outstanding</u>	<u>As of:</u>	<u>District Share</u>	<u>Amount Applicable To District</u>
Nassau County	\$3,605,358,000	11/30/16	2.7%	\$ 97,344,666
North Hempstead Town	251,629,685	03/04/17	10.8	27,176,006
Various Villages ⁽¹⁾	4,204,403	05/31/16	Varied	4,204,403
Manhasset-Lakeville Fire District	245,000	12/31/16	55.0	<u>134,750</u>
Total Net Overlapping Debt				\$128,859,825
Total Net Direct Debt ⁽²⁾				<u>39,355,000</u>
Net Direct and Overlapping Debt				<u>\$168,214,825</u>

(1) The Villages include: Flower Hill, Munsey Park, North Hills, Plandome, Plandome Heights and Plandome Manor.

Source: Data provided by County, Town and Village officials.

(The remainder of this page has been intentionally left blank.)

Debt Ratios

The following table presents certain debt ratios relating to the District's direct and overlapping indebtedness.

	<u>Amount</u> ⁽¹⁾	<u>Debt Per Capita</u> ⁽²⁾	<u>Debt to Full Value</u> ⁽³⁾
Net Direct Debt	\$ 39,355,000	\$ 2,434	0.60%
Net Direct and Overlapping Debt	168,214,825	10,404	2.55

(1) Inclusive of \$3,625,000 of Refunded Bonds.

(2) The population of the District is estimated by the U.S. Census Bureau to be approximately 16,169.

(3) The District's full value of taxable real property for the 2016-2017 fiscal year is \$6,597,112,963.

Authorized and Unissued Indebtedness

On February 5, 2015, a bond resolution was duly adopted by the Board of Education following the approval of a proposition by the voters of the District at a Special District Election duly called and held on December 3, 2014, authorizing the issuance of \$19,959,870 serial bonds for the construction of improvements and alterations to all District school buildings and the sites thereof. The District has \$643,194 remaining authorized and unissued pursuant to this resolution.

(The remainder of this page has been intentionally left blank.)

Debt Service Schedule

The following table shows the debt service requirements to maturity on the District’s outstanding bonded indebtedness for fiscal years ending June 30, inclusive of the Bonds and exclusive of refunded bonds.

Fiscal Year Ending June 30:	<u>Bond Principal and Interest Maturity Table⁽¹⁾</u>			% of Principal Retired
	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest⁽²⁾</u>	<u>Total Debt Service</u>	
2018 ⁽³⁾	\$ 2,430,000	\$ 1,206,706	\$ 3,636,706	11.81%
2019	2,525,000	1,025,637	3,550,637	18.50
2020	2,610,000	927,862	3,537,862	25.41
2021	2,720,000	834,303	3,554,303	32.61
2022	2,810,000	743,950	3,553,950	40.06
2023	2,905,000	648,331	3,553,331	47.75
2024	1,780,000	545,581	2,325,581	52.46
2025	1,825,000	497,506	2,322,506	57.30
2026	1,880,000	448,181	2,328,181	62.27
2027	1,925,000	396,775	2,321,775	67.37
2028	1,985,000	342,331	2,327,331	72.63
2029	2,035,000	285,331	2,320,331	78.02
2030	985,000	244,088	1,229,088	80.63
2031	1,010,000	217,756	1,227,756	83.30
2032	1,045,000	190,269	1,235,269	86.07
2033	1,080,000	158,475	1,238,475	88.93
2034	1,110,000	125,256	1,235,256	91.87
2035	1,145,000	90,663	1,235,663	94.90
2036	1,180,000	54,672	1,234,672	98.03
2037	<u>745,000</u>	<u>17,413</u>	<u>762,413</u>	100.00
Totals:	<u>\$35,730,000</u>	<u>\$9,001,086</u>	<u>\$44,731,086</u>	

(1) See also “Energy Performance Contract” herein.

(2) Amounts are rounded.

(3) For the entire fiscal year.

Source: Audited Financial Statements of the District and District Officials. Summary itself is not audited.

(The remainder of this page has been intentionally left blank.)

Energy Performance Contract

The following table shows the debt service requirements to maturity on the District's outstanding energy performance contract.

**Energy Performance Contract
Principal and Interest Maturity Table**

Fiscal Year <u>Ending June 30</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2018	\$ 241,379	\$ 34,275	\$ 275,654
2019	246,174	29,480	275,654
2020	251,065	24,589	275,654
2021	256,053	19,601	275,654
2022	261,141	14,513	275,654
2023	266,329	9,325	275,654
2024	<u>271,620</u>	<u>4,034</u>	<u>275,654</u>
Totals:	<u>\$1,557,084</u>	<u>\$96,840</u>	<u>\$1,653,924</u>

(1) For the entire fiscal year.

Source: Audited Financial Statements of the District. Summary itself is not audited.

ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

Population

The following table presents population trends for the District, Town, County and State, based upon census data.

	<u>2000</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2015</u>	Percentage Change <u>2010/2015</u>
District	16,018	16,169	15,698	2.91%
Town	222,611	226,322	229,105	-1.23
County	1,334,544	1,339,532	1,354,612	-1.13
State	18,976,457	19,378,102	19,673,174	-1.52

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau.

(The remainder of this page has been intentionally left blank.)

Income

The following table presents median family income for the Town, County and State. Data provided for the Town, County and State are not necessarily representative of the District.

Median Family Income

	<u>2000</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>Percentage Change</u> <u>2000/2010</u>
Town	\$94,156	\$95,221	1.1%
County	79,926	91,104	14.0
State	52,280	54,148	3.6

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

Employment and Unemployment

The following tables provide information concerning employment and unemployment in the Town, County and State. Data provided for the Town, County and State are not necessarily representative of the District.

Civilian Labor Force

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
Town	111,000	111,500	110,700	112,700	112,700
County	693,600	695,100	689,300	699,600	699,000
State	9,612,200	9,623,100	9,570,700	9,591,200	9,584,500

Source: New York State Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Information not seasonally adjusted.

Unemployment rates are not compiled for the District, but are available for the Town, County and State. Data provided in the following table is not necessarily representative of the District.

Yearly Average Unemployment Rates

<u>Year</u>	<u>Town</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>State</u>
2012	6.3%	7.0%	8.5%
2013	5.4	5.9	7.7
2014	4.4	4.8	6.3
2015	3.9	4.2	5.3
2016	3.6	3.9	4.8

Source: New York State Department Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

(The remainder of this page has been intentionally left blank.)

Monthly Unemployment Rates

<u>Month</u>	<u>Town</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>State</u>
August 2016	3.6	4.0	4.9
September	3.9	4.1	4.9
October	3.7	3.9	4.8
November	3.5	3.7	4.5
December	3.4	3.6	4.5
January 2017	3.9	4.1	4.9
February	4.1	4.3	5.0
March	3.4	3.7	4.4
April	3.4	3.7	4.2
May	3.5	3.8	4.3
June	3.8	4.1	4.5
July	3.9	4.3	4.9

Source: New York State Department of Labor statistics. Information not seasonally adjusted.

End of Appendix A

(THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

APPENDIX B*
FINANCIAL STATEMENT SUMMARIES
AND
CASH FLOW STATEMENTS

*** Appendix B is not audited.**

(THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT
Statement of Budgeted Revenues and Expenditures - General Fund
Fiscal Year Ending June 30:

	Approved Budget <u>2017⁽¹⁾</u>	Approved Budget <u>2018⁽²⁾</u>
<u>Revenues:</u>		
Real Property Taxes, including STAR ⁽³⁾	\$ 82,248,488	\$ 83,908,381
Other Tax Items, primarily LIPA PILOTs ⁽³⁾	1,470,057	1,558,360
State Aid	5,188,326	5,196,871
Use of Money and Property	96,000	101,000
Health Services	760,000	760,000
Miscellaneous	578,567	696,572
Transfer from Library for Debt Service	1,072,269	1,074,444
Assigned Fund Balance	<u>595,120</u>	<u>595,120</u>
Total Revenues	<u><u>\$92,008,827</u></u>	<u><u>\$93,890,748</u></u>
 <u>Expenditures:</u>		
General Support	\$ 8,519,424	\$ 8,530,533
Instruction	53,758,376	54,130,243
Transportation	4,465,005	4,915,503
Community Services		
Employee Benefits	21,832,673	22,063,862
Transfers	3,393,349	4,200,607
Debt Service - TAN	<u>40,000</u>	<u>50,000</u>
Total Expenditures	<u><u>\$ 92,008,827</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 93,890,748</u></u>

(1) Approved by the voters of the District on May 17, 2016.

(2) Approved by the voters of the District on May 16, 2017.

(3) Exclusive of Library Tax Levy and after the reclassification of the LIPA PILOTs as discussed herein.

Source: Approved Budgets of the District.

MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT
Comparative Balance Sheet - General Fund
Fiscal Years Ended June 30:

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
Assets:		
Unrestricted Cash	\$9,596,023	\$7,693,074
Restricted Cash	2,811,808	2,706,053
Taxes Receivable	1,056,242	2,102,658
Due From Other Governments	1,243,360	1,148,148
Due From Other Funds	1,442,670	1,103,688
Due From Fiduciary Funds	61,354	65,731
Accounts Receivable	151,931	61,114
State and Federal Aid Receivable	451,805	386,304
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total Assets	<u><u>\$16,815,193</u></u>	<u><u>\$15,266,770</u></u>
 Liabilities and Fund Equity:		
Accounts Payable	\$969,859	\$472,206
Accrued Liabilities	844,873	1,100,729
Due to Other Governments	48,622	38,127
Due to Other Funds	48,178	50,869
Due to Retirement Systems	7,392,463	6,088,537
Compensated Absences	254,353	234,929
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total Liabilities	<u><u>\$9,558,348</u></u>	<u><u>\$7,985,397</u></u>
 Fund Balance:		
Non Spendable	\$0	\$0
Restricted	2,811,808	2,706,053
Assigned	829,459	894,967
Unassigned	3,615,578	3,680,353
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total Fund Equity	<u><u>7,256,845</u></u>	<u><u>7,281,373</u></u>
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total Liabilities and Fund Equity	<u><u>\$16,815,193</u></u>	<u><u>\$15,266,770</u></u>

Source: Audited Financial Statements of the District. Summary itself is not audited.

MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
Fiscal Year Ended June 30:

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
OPENING FUND BALANCE	\$9,818,330	\$8,383,204	\$5,454,301	\$7,137,042	\$7,256,845
REVENUES:					
Real Property Taxes	\$73,413,715	\$75,266,502	\$76,784,281	\$78,434,310	\$78,875,216
Other Tax Items, primarily STAR	2,725,956	2,619,841	2,635,879	2,444,595	4,036,987
Charges for Services	1,086,749	1,016,989	1,288,541	1,366,576	1,180,407
Use of Money and Property	81,183	80,701	68,021	65,663	68,421
Sale of Property and Compensation for Loss	47,691	115,814	79,046	47,578	79,018
Miscellaneous	750,033	135,588	200,475	364,962	191,342
Interfund Revenues	1,046,487	1,051,969	1,053,444	1,058,169	1,063,269
State Sources	4,273,776	4,746,044	4,537,843	4,923,943	4,959,731
Medicaid Reimbursement	5,859	30,273	29,150	15,367	22,462
Federal Sources	169,603	103,076	51,654	53,287	53,287
Total Revenues	<u>\$83,601,052</u>	<u>\$85,166,797</u>	<u>\$86,728,334</u>	<u>\$88,774,450</u>	<u>\$90,530,140</u>
Balance and Revenues	<u>93,419,382</u>	<u>93,550,001</u>	<u>92,182,635</u>	<u>95,911,492</u>	<u>97,786,985</u>
Employee Benefits					
General Support	\$8,059,414	\$8,369,275	\$8,297,509	\$8,116,024	\$8,137,249
Instruction	49,499,760	49,699,962	49,058,293	49,911,852	51,971,056
Pupil Transportation	4,118,914	4,138,925	3,945,111	4,152,960	4,144,197
Employee Benefits	18,368,720	19,195,381	19,371,795	21,297,966	22,143,790
Debt Service	22,433	24,613	20,278	10,582	29,747
Total Expenditures	<u>\$80,069,241</u>	<u>\$81,428,156</u>	<u>\$80,692,986</u>	<u>\$83,489,384</u>	<u>\$86,426,039</u>
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):					
Operating Transfers In	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$51,872	\$0
Operating Transfers (Out)	(4,966,937)	(6,003,511)	(4,352,607)	(5,217,135)	(4,079,573)
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	(4,966,937)	(6,003,511)	(4,352,607)	(5,165,263)	(4,079,573)
Balance before adjustments	\$8,383,204	\$6,118,334	\$7,137,042	\$7,256,845	\$7,281,373
Changes in Reserves	0	(664,033)	0	0	0
CLOSING FUND BALANCE	<u>\$8,383,204</u>	<u>\$5,454,301</u>	<u>\$7,137,042</u>	<u>\$7,256,845</u>	<u>\$7,281,373</u>

Source: Audited Financial Statements of the District. Summary itself is not audited.

**Manhasset Union Free School District
Actual Cash Flow - Fiscal Year 2016-17**

<u>MONTH</u>	<u>JULY</u>	<u>AUG</u>	<u>SEPT</u>	<u>OCT 1-28</u>	<u>OCT 29-31</u>	<u>NOV</u>	<u>DEC</u>	<u>JAN</u>	<u>FEB</u>	<u>MAR</u>	<u>APRIL</u>	<u>MAY</u>	<u>JUNE</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
BALANCE (Beginning) (1)	\$ 10,044,292	\$ 8,001,158	\$ 6,392,474	\$ 6,858,393	\$ 362,458	\$ 8,876,351	\$ 30,778,327	\$ 28,895,189	\$ 25,583,071	\$ 19,226,044	\$ 12,083,842	\$ 11,395,507	\$ 25,674,394	\$ 10,044,292
RECEIPTS:														
Property Taxes (2)	\$ 787,963	\$ 1,247,740	\$ 66,955	\$ -	\$ 8,500,000	\$ 28,500,000	\$ 9,710,565	\$ 1,661,826	\$ -	\$ 12,357	\$ 6,750,000	\$ 28,152,798	\$ 1,507,505	\$ 86,897,709
Star Aid	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,271,991	-	-	-	-	-	2,271,991
Federal & State Aid	131,237	276,775	1,980,517	327,579	13,893	34,083	1,162,829	204,717	530,643	1,904,313	11,657	101,693	605,697	7,285,633
Other Receipts	437,974	42,217	953,573	74,275	-	32,141	726,626	100,210	243,272	699,508	18,545	44,161	651,726	4,024,228
Note Proceeds - TANS	-	-	7,634,784	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,634,784
Total Receipts	\$ 1,357,174	\$ 1,566,732	\$ 10,635,829	\$ 401,854	\$ 8,513,893	\$ 28,566,224	\$ 11,600,020	\$ 4,238,744	\$ 773,915	\$ 2,616,178	\$ 6,780,202	\$ 28,298,652	\$ 2,764,928	\$ 108,114,345
Total Receipts+Balance	11,401,466	9,567,890	17,028,303	7,260,247	8,876,351	37,442,575	42,378,347	33,133,933	26,356,986	21,842,222	18,864,044	39,694,159	28,439,322	118,158,637
DISBURSEMENTS:														
Salary & Benefits	\$ 2,309,858	\$ 1,550,162	\$ 7,109,008	\$ 5,074,368	\$ -	\$ 5,067,795	\$ 10,466,414	\$ 5,072,124	\$ 4,821,129	\$ 7,020,817	\$ 5,199,513	\$ 5,454,639	\$ 12,133,054	\$ 71,278,881
Operating Expenses	615,916	1,073,790	1,485,435	1,096,188	-	1,169,220	2,451,684	1,513,602	1,043,349	2,136,296	1,361,919	2,045,398	2,605,720	18,598,517
Debt Service	47,301	24,231	898,234	-	-	-	137,827	537,903	639,231	174,034	479,872	-	137,827	3,076,460
Transfers	-	100,000	250,000	300,000	-	-	-	-	200,000	-	-	-	311,944	1,161,944
TAN Principal Payment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,092,495	1,507,505	7,600,000
TAN Interest Payments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	91,300	91,300
Library Payments	427,233	427,233	427,233	427,233	-	427,233	427,233	427,233	427,233	427,233	427,233	427,233	427,243	5,126,806
Total Disbursements	\$ 3,400,308	\$ 3,175,416	\$ 10,169,910	\$ 6,897,789	\$ -	\$ 6,664,248	\$ 13,483,158	\$ 7,550,862	\$ 7,130,942	\$ 9,758,380	\$ 7,468,537	\$ 14,019,765	\$ 17,214,593	\$ 106,933,908
Balance (ending)	\$ 8,001,158	\$ 6,392,474	\$ 6,858,393	\$ 362,458	\$ 8,876,351	\$ 30,778,327	\$ 28,895,189	\$ 25,583,071	\$ 19,226,044	\$ 12,083,842	\$ 11,395,507	\$ 25,674,394	\$ 11,224,729	\$ 11,224,729
NOTE PAYMENT ACCOUNT (3)														
Balance (Beginning)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,092,495	\$0
Receipts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,092,495	1,507,505	7,600,000
Disbursements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,600,000	7,600,000
Balance (Ending)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,092,495	\$0	\$0

(1) Balance as of June 30, 2016. Exclusive of Unemployment Insurance and Repair Reserves.

(2) Inclusive of Library Taxes and \$1,525,477 of prior year's taxes received in July 2016.

(3) Note Payment Account transactions reflect amounts set aside to pay the principal of Bond and tax anticipation notes due in 2016-2017 at their maturity. Interest on such notes is not reflected in the Note Payment Account, but is recorded as a Note Interest Payment Disbursement in the schedule above.

**Manhasset Union Free School District
Projected Cash Flow - Fiscal Year 2017-18**

MONTH	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT 1-25	OCT 26-31	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	TOTAL
BALANCE (Beginning) (1)	\$ 11,224,729	\$ 8,838,634	\$ 6,986,023	\$ 6,988,485	\$ 612,614	\$ 8,939,764	\$ 30,692,512	\$ 28,327,178	\$ 25,002,383	\$ 18,573,268	\$ 11,365,503	\$ 11,242,865	\$ 26,692,963	\$ 11,224,729
RECEIPTS:														
Property Taxes (2)	\$ 858,211	\$ 1,023,496	\$ -	\$ 8,500,000	\$ 28,500,000	\$ 9,710,565	\$ 1,661,827	\$ -	\$ 12,357	\$ 7,303,298	\$ 28,706,096	\$ 2,105,393	\$ 88,381,243	
Star Aid	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,271,991	-	-	-	-	-	2,271,991	
Federal & State Aid	131,237	276,775	1,980,517	245,684	81,895	34,083	1,162,829	204,717	530,643	1,904,313	11,657	101,693	459,690	7,125,733
Other Receipts	305,035	42,217	953,573	66,126	22,042	32,141	726,626	100,210	243,272	711,865	18,545	44,161	651,726	3,917,539
Note Proceeds - TANS	-	-	7,500,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,500,000
Total Receipts	\$ 1,294,483	\$ 1,342,488	\$ 10,434,090	\$ 311,810	\$ 8,603,937	\$ 28,566,224	\$ 11,600,020	\$ 4,238,745	\$ 773,915	\$ 2,628,535	\$ 7,333,499	\$ 28,851,950	\$ 3,216,809	\$ 109,196,505
Total Receipts+Balance	12,519,212	10,181,122	17,420,113	7,300,295	9,216,551	37,505,988	42,292,532	32,565,923	25,776,298	21,201,803	18,699,002	40,094,815	29,909,772	120,421,234
DISBURSEMENTS:														
Salary & Benefits	\$ 2,196,373	\$ 1,565,664	\$ 7,330,098	\$ 5,125,112	\$ -	\$ 5,118,473	\$ 10,571,078	\$ 5,122,845	\$ 4,869,340	\$ 7,091,025	\$ 5,251,508	\$ 5,509,185	\$ 12,254,385	\$ 72,005,086
Operating Expenses	912,248	1,084,528	1,500,289	830,363	276,787	1,180,912	2,476,201	1,528,738	1,053,782	2,157,659	1,375,538	2,065,852	2,631,777	19,074,674
Debt Service	139,750	12,700	919,034	-	-	81,884	485,868	479,750	647,700	155,409	396,884	-	593,379	3,912,358
Transfers	-	100,000	250,000	300,000	-	-	-	-	200,000	-	-	-	311,944	1,161,944
TAN Principal Payment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,394,607	2,105,393	7,500,000
TAN Interest Payments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	150,000	150,000
Library Payments	432,207	432,207	432,207	432,207	-	432,207	432,207	432,207	432,207	432,207	432,207	432,207	432,215	5,186,492
Total Disbursements	\$ 3,680,578	\$ 3,195,099	\$ 10,431,628	\$ 6,687,682	\$ 276,787	\$ 6,813,476	\$ 13,965,354	\$ 7,563,540	\$ 7,203,030	\$ 9,836,300	\$ 7,456,137	\$ 13,401,851	\$ 18,479,093	\$ 108,990,555
Balance (ending)	\$ 8,838,634	\$ 6,986,023	\$ 6,988,485	\$ 612,614	\$ 8,939,764	\$ 30,692,512	\$ 28,327,178	\$ 25,002,383	\$ 18,573,268	\$ 11,365,503	\$ 11,242,865	\$ 26,692,963	\$ 11,430,679	\$ 11,430,679
NOTE PAYMENT ACCOUNT (3)														
Balance (Beginning)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,394,607	\$0
Receipts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,394,607	2,105,393	7,500,000
Disbursements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,500,000	7,500,000
Balance (Ending)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,394,607	\$0	\$0

(1) Balance as of June 30, 2017. Exclusive of Unemployment Insurance and Repair Reserves.

(2) Inclusive of Library Taxes and \$1,529,735 of prior year's taxes received in July 2017.

(3) Note Payment Account transactions reflect amounts set aside to pay the principal of Bond and tax anticipation notes due in 2017-2018 at their maturity. Interest on such notes is not reflected in the Note Payment Account, but is recorded as a Note Interest Payment Disbursement in the schedule above.

(THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

APPENDIX C

FORM OF APPROVING LEGAL OPINION OF BOND COUNSEL FOR THE NOTES

(THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

Form of Opinion of Bond Counsel - TANs

September 28, 2017

The Board of Education of
Manhasset Union Free School District,
in the County of Nassau, New York

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We have acted as Bond Counsel to the Manhasset Union Free School District (the "School District"), in the County of Nassau, a school district of the State of New York in connection with the authorization, sale and issuance of the \$7,500,000 Tax Anticipation Note for 2017-2018 Taxes (the "Note"), dated and delivered on the date hereof.

We have examined a record of proceedings relating to the Note for purposes of this opinion. In such examination, we have assumed the genuineness of all signatures, the authenticity of all documents submitted to us as originals and the conformity with originals of all documents submitted to us as copies thereof.

Based upon and subject to the foregoing, and in reliance thereon, as of the date hereof, we are of the following opinions:

1. The Note is a valid and legally binding general obligation of the School District for which the School District has validly pledged its faith and credit and, unless paid from other sources, all the taxable real property within the School District is subject to the levy of ad valorem real estate taxes to pay the Note and interest thereon, subject to certain statutory limitations. The enforceability of rights or remedies with respect to such Note may be limited by bankruptcy, insolvency, or other laws affecting creditors' rights or remedies heretofore or hereafter enacted.

2. Under existing statutes and court decisions and assuming continuing compliance with certain tax certifications described herein, (i) interest on the Note is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes pursuant to Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and (ii) interest on the Note is not treated as a preference item in calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations under the Code; such interest, however, is included in the adjusted current earnings of certain corporations for purposes of calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed on such corporations.

The Code establishes certain requirements that must be met subsequent to the issuance of the Note in order that the interest on the Note be and remain excludable from gross income under Section 103 of the Code. These requirements include, but are not limited to, requirements relating to the use and expenditure of proceeds of the Note, restrictions on the investment of proceeds of the Note prior to expenditure and the requirement that certain earnings be rebated to the federal government. Noncompliance with such requirements may cause the

interest on the Note to become subject to federal income taxation retroactive to the date of issuance thereof, irrespective of the date on which such noncompliance occurs or is ascertained.

On the date of issuance of the Note, the School District will execute a Tax Certificate relating to the Note containing provisions and procedures pursuant to which such requirements can be satisfied. In executing the Tax Certificate, the School District represents that it will comply with the provisions and procedures set forth therein and that it will do and perform all acts and things necessary or desirable to assure that the interest on the Note will, for federal income tax purposes, be excluded from gross income.

In rendering the opinion in this paragraph 2, we have relied upon and assumed (i) the material accuracy of the School District's representations, statements of intention and reasonable expectations, and certifications of fact contained in the Tax Certificate with respect to matters affecting the status of the interest on the Note, and (ii) compliance by the School District with the procedures and representations set forth in the Tax Certificate as to such tax matters.

3. Under existing statutes, interest on the Note is exempt from personal income taxes of New York State and its political subdivisions, including The City of New York.

Except as stated in paragraphs 2 and 3 above, we express no opinion as to any other federal, state or local tax consequences with respect to the Note or the ownership or disposition thereof. Further, we express no opinion herein as to the effect of any action hereafter taken or not taken in reliance upon an opinion of other counsel on the exclusion from gross income for federal income tax purposes of the interest on the Note, or under state and local tax law.

We render our opinion under existing statutes and court decisions as of the date of issuance of the Note, and we assume no obligation to update, revise or supplement this opinion after the issue date to reflect any action hereafter taken or not taken, or any facts or circumstances, or any change in law or in interpretations thereof, or otherwise, that may hereafter arise or occur, or for any other reason.

We give no assurances as to the adequacy, sufficiency or completeness of the Preliminary Official Statement and/or Official Statement relating to the Note or any proceedings, reports, correspondence, financial statements or other documents, containing financial or other information relative to the School District which have been or may hereafter be furnished or disclosed to purchasers of ownership interests in said Note.

Very truly yours,

APPENDIX D

FORM OF UNDERTAKING TO PROVIDE NOTICES OF EVENTS FOR THE NOTES

(THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

FORM OF UNDERTAKING TO PROVIDE NOTICES OF EVENTS

Section 1. Definitions

“EMMA” shall mean Electronic Municipal Market Access System implemented by the MSRB.

“GAAP” shall mean generally accepted accounting principles as in effect from time to time in the United States.

“Holder” shall mean any registered owner of the Securities and any beneficial owner of Securities within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

“Issuer” shall mean the Manhasset Union Free School District, in the County of Nassau, a school district of the State of New York.

“MSRB” shall mean the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board established in accordance with the provisions of Section 15B(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

“Purchaser” shall mean the financial institution referred to in the Certificate of Determination, executed by the President of the Board of Education as of the date hereof.

“Rule 15c2-12” shall mean Rule 15c2-12 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended through the date of this Undertaking, including any official interpretations thereof.

“Securities” shall mean the Issuer’s \$7,500,000 Tax Anticipation Note for 2017-2018 Taxes, dated September 28, 2017, maturing on June 29, 2018, and delivered on the date hereof.

Section 2. Obligation to Provide Notices of Events. (a) The Issuer hereby undertakes, for the benefit of Holders of the Securities, to provide or cause to be provided either directly or through **Capital Markets Advisors, LLC, 11 Grace Avenue, Suite 308, Great Neck, New York 11021** to the Electronic Municipal Market Access (“EMMA”) System implemented by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board established pursuant to Section 15B(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or any successor thereto or to the functions of such Board contemplated by the Undertaking, in a timely manner, not in excess of ten (10) business days after the occurrence of any such event, notice of any of the following events with respect to the Securities:

- i. principal and interest payment delinquencies;
- ii. non-payment related defaults, if material;
- iii. unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties;

- iv. unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties;
- v. substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform;
- vi. adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB) or other material notices of determinations with respect to the tax status of the Securities, or other material events affecting the tax status of the Securities;
- vii. modifications to rights of Securities holders, if material;
- viii. Bond calls, if material, and tender offers;
- ix. defeasances;
- x. release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the Securities, if material;
- xi. rating changes;
- xii. bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the Issuer;

Note to clause (12): For the purposes of the event identified in clause (12) above, the event is considered to occur when any of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent or similar officer for the Issuer in a proceeding under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or in any other proceeding under state or federal law in which a court or government authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the Issuer, or if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the existing governing body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and orders of a court or governmental authority, or the entry of an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the Issuer;

- xiii. the consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the Issuer or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Issuer, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material; and
- xiv. appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a trustee, if material.

(b) Nothing herein shall be deemed to prevent the Issuer from disseminating any other information in addition to that required hereby in the manner set forth herein or in any other manner. If the Issuer disseminates any such additional information, the Issuer shall have no obligation to update such information or include it in any future materials disseminated hereunder.

(c) Nothing herein shall be deemed to prevent the Issuer from providing notice of the occurrence of certain other events, in addition to those listed above, if the Issuer determines that any such other event is material with respect to the Securities; but the Issuer does not undertake to commit to provide any such notice of the occurrence of any event except those events listed above.

Section 3. Remedies. If the Issuer shall fail to comply with any provision of this Undertaking, then any Holder of Securities may enforce, for the equal benefit and protection of all Holders similarly situated, by mandamus or other suit or proceeding at law or in equity, this Undertaking against the Issuer and any of the officers, agents and employees of the Issuer, and may compel the Issuer or any such officers, agents or employees to perform and carry out their duties under this Undertaking; provided that the sole and exclusive remedy for breach of this Undertaking shall be an action to compel specific performance of the obligations of the Issuer hereunder and no person or entity shall be entitled to recover monetary damages hereunder under any circumstances. Failure to comply with any provision of this Undertaking shall not constitute an event of default on the Securities.

Section 4. Parties in Interest. This Undertaking is executed to assist the Purchaser to comply with (b)(5) of the Rule and is delivered for the benefit of the Holders. No other person shall have any right to enforce the provisions hereof or any other rights hereunder.

Section 5. Amendments. Without the consent of any holders of Securities, the Issuer at any time and from time to time may enter into any amendments or changes to this Undertaking for any of the following purposes:

- (a) to comply with or conform to any changes in Rule 15c2-12 (whether required or optional);
- (b) to add a dissemination agent for the information required to be provided hereby and to make any necessary or desirable provisions with respect thereto;
- (c) to evidence the succession of another person to the Issuer and the assumption of any such successor of the duties of the Issuer hereunder;
- (d) to add to the duties of the Issuer for the benefit of the Holders, or to surrender any right or power herein conferred upon the Issuer;
- (e) to cure any ambiguity, to correct or supplement any provision hereof which may be inconsistent with any other provision hereof, or to make any other provisions with respect to matters or questions arising under this Undertaking which, in each case, comply with Rule 15c2-12 or Rule 15c2-12 as in effect at the time of such amendment or change;

provided that no such action pursuant to this Section 5 shall adversely affect the interests of the Holders in any material respect. In making such determination, the Issuer shall rely upon an opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel.

Section 6. Termination. This Undertaking shall remain in full force and effect until such time as all principal, redemption premiums, if any, and interest on the Securities shall have been paid in full or the Securities shall have otherwise been paid or legally defeased in accordance with their terms. Upon any such legal defeasance, the Issuer shall provide notice of such defeasance to the EMMA System. Such notice shall state whether the Securities have been defeased to maturity or to redemption and the timing of such maturity or redemption.

Section 7. Undertaking to Constitute Written Agreement or Contract. This Undertaking shall constitute the written agreement or contract for the benefit of Holders of Securities, as contemplated under Rule 15c2-12.

Section 8. Governing Law. This Undertaking shall be governed by the laws of the State of New York determined without regard to principles of conflict of law.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has duly authorized, executed and delivered this Undertaking as of **September 28, 2017**.

MANHASSET UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

By _____
President of the Board of Education