

This Preliminary Offering Statement and the information contained herein are subject to completion or amendment without notice. Under no circumstances shall this Preliminary Offering Statement constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy, nor shall there be any sale of the securities, in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation, or sale would be unlawful prior to registration or qualification under the securities laws of such jurisdiction.

## **PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT DATED JULY 27, 2017**

### **NEW AND RENEWAL ISSUE**

### **BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES**

*In the opinion of Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, Bond Counsel to the District, under existing statutes and court decisions and assuming continuing compliance with certain tax certifications described herein, (i) interest on the Notes is excluded from gross income for Federal income tax purposes pursuant to Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and (ii) interest on the Notes is not treated as a preference item in calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations under the Code; such interest, however, is included in the adjusted current earnings of certain corporations for purposes of calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed on such corporations. In addition, in the opinion of Bond Counsel to the District, under existing statutes, interest on the Notes is exempt from personal income taxes of New York State and its political subdivisions, including The City of New York. See "Tax Matters" herein.*

The District **WILL** designate the Notes as "qualified tax-exempt obligations" pursuant to Section 265(b)(3) of the Code.

## **LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT OF SHRUB OAK WESTCHESTER COUNTY, NEW YORK**

**\$3,374,196**

### **BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES FOR VEHICLES - 2017 (the "Notes")**

**Date of Issue: August 24, 2017**

**Maturity Date: August 24, 2018**

The Notes are general obligations of the Lakeland Central School District of Shrub Oak, Westchester County, New York (the "District"), and will contain a pledge of the faith and credit of the District for the payment of the principal thereof and interest thereon and, unless paid from other sources, the Notes are payable from ad valorem taxes which may be levied upon all the taxable real property within the District without limitation as to rate or amount. (See "Nature of the Obligation," herein).

The Notes will not be subject to redemption prior to maturity.

At the option of the purchaser(s), the Notes will be issued in (i) registered form registered in the name of the successful bidder(s) or (ii) registered book-entry form registered to Cede & Co., as the partnership nominee for The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York ("DTC").

If the Notes are issued registered in the name of the successful bidder(s), a single note certificate will be issued for those Notes bearing the same rate of interest in the aggregate principal amount awarded to such purchaser(s) at such interest rate(s). Principal of and interest on such Notes will be payable in Federal Funds by the District to the registered owner(s).

If the Notes are issued in book-entry form, such notes will be delivered to DTC, which will act as securities depository for the Notes. Beneficial owners will not receive certificates representing their interest in the Notes. Individual purchases may be made in denominations of \$5,000 or integral multiples thereof, except for one odd denomination. A single note certificate will be issued for those Notes bearing the same rate of interest and CUSIP number in the aggregate principal amount awarded to such purchaser(s) at such interest rate. Principal of and interest on said Notes will be paid in Federal Funds by the District to Cede & Co., as nominee for DTC, which will in turn remit such principal and interest to its participants for subsequent distribution to the beneficial owners of the Notes as described herein. Transfer of principal and interest payments to beneficial owners by participants of DTC will be the responsibility of such participants and other nominees of beneficial owners. The District will not be responsible or liable for payments by DTC to its participants or by DTC participants to beneficial owners or for maintaining, supervising or reviewing the records maintained by DTC, its participants or persons acting through such participants. (See "Book-Entry-Only System" herein).

The Notes are offered when, as and if issued and received by the purchaser(s) and subject to the final approving opinion of Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, New York, New York, Bond Counsel, and certain other conditions. It is expected that delivery of the Notes will be made on or about August 24, 2017 in New York, New York, or such place agreed to by the purchaser(s) and the District.

THIS PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT IS IN A FORM "DEEMED FINAL" BY THE DISTRICT FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION RULE 15C2-12 (THE "RULE"). FOR A DESCRIPTION OF THE DISTRICT'S AGREEMENT TO PROVIDE NOTICE OF EVENTS AS DESCRIBED IN THE RULE, SEE "DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING," HEREIN.

DATED: August \_\_, 2017

**LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT OF SHRUB OAK  
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, NEW YORK**

**BOARD OF EDUCATION**

Denise Kness.....President  
Rachelle Nardelli ..... Vice President  
Michael Daly..... Trustee  
Carol Ann Dobson ..... Trustee  
Elizabeth Kogler ..... Trustee  
Steven Korn ..... Trustee  
Glen P. Malia ..... Trustee  
Karen Pressman ..... Trustee  
Steve Rosen..... Trustee

---

**DISTRICT OFFICIALS**

Dr. George Stone ..... Superintendent of Schools  
Binoy Alunkal..... Business Manager  
Lynn Cosenza.....District Clerk  
Maryanne O'Connor ..... District Treasurer  
Shaw, Perelson, May & Lambert, LLP..... School Attorney

---

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR**

**PKF O'Connor Davies, LLP  
Harrison, New York**

---

**BOND COUNSEL**

**Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP  
New York, New York**

---

**MUNICIPAL ADVISOR**



**Capital Markets Advisors, LLC  
Hudson Valley \* Long Island \* Southern Tier \* Western New York  
(845) 227-8678**

No dealer, broker, salesman or other person has been authorized by the Lakeland Central School District of Shrub Oak, NY to give any information or to make any representations not contained in this Official Statement and, if given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon as having been authorized. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or solicitation of an offer to buy any of the Notes in any jurisdiction to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such offer or solicitation in such jurisdiction. The information, estimates and expressions of opinion herein are subject to change without notice, and neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the District since the date hereof. This Official Statement is submitted in connection with the sale of the securities referred to herein and may not be reproduced or used, in whole or in part, for any other purpose.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<i>Page</i>		<i>Page</i>
THE NOTES .....	1	APPENDIX A -THE DISTRICT	
Description .....	1	THE DISTRICT .....	1
Authority for and Purpose of the Notes.....	1	General Information .....	1
Nature of the Obligation.....	2	District Organization.....	1
REMEDIES UPON DEFAULT .....	2	Financial Organization .....	1
No Past Due Debt.....	3	Financial Statements and Accounting Procedures ....	1
Bankruptcy .....	3	Budgetary Procedure.....	2
SECTION 99-B OF THE STATE FINANCE LAW.....	4	School Enrollment Trends.....	2
BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM.....	5	District Facilities .....	2
MARKET FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCINGS		Employees.....	2
OF THE MUNICIPALITIES OF THE STATE .....	6	Employee Benefits .....	3
THE STATE COMPTROLLER’S FISCAL STRESS		Other Post Employment Benefits.....	4
MONITORING SYSTEM AND OSC		Investment Policy.....	5
COMPLIANCE REVIEWS.....	7	FINANCIAL FACTORS .....	6
LITIGATION.....	8	Real Property Taxes .....	6
TAX MATTERS .....	8	State Aid.....	7
Opinion of Bond Counsel.....	8	Events Affecting New York School Districts .....	8
Certain Ongoing Federal Tax Requirements and		Other Revenues .....	9
Certifications .....	9	Independent Audits .....	9
Certain Collateral Federal Tax Consequences .....	9	2016-17 Preliminary Results of General Fund	
Original Issue Discount.....	9	Operations (Unaudited) .....	9
Note Premium .....	10	REAL PROPERTY TAXES .....	10
Information Reporting and Backup Withholding....	10	Assessed and Full Valuations.....	10
Miscellaneous.....	10	Tax Limit.....	11
DOCUMENTS ACCOMPANYING DELIVERY OF		The Tax Levy Limit Law .....	11
THE NOTES .....	11	Real Property Tax Rebate .....	11
Absence of Litigation.....	11	Tax Collection Procedures .....	12
Legal Matters .....	11	STAR - School Tax Exemption .....	12
Closing Certificates.....	11	Ten of the Largest Taxpayers.....	13
DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING .....	11	DISTRICT INDEBTEDNESS .....	14
Compliance History .....	11	Constitutional Requirements.....	14
MUNICIPAL ADVISOR.....	12	Statutory Procedure.....	14
RATING.....	12	Constitutional Debt-Contracting Limitation.....	15
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION .....	12	Statutory Debt Limit and Net Indebtedness .....	16
APPENDIX B - UNAUDITED SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND BUDGETS		Short-Term Indebtedness .....	16
APPENDIX C - LINK TO INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30,		Bond Anticipation Notes.....	17
2016		Energy Performance Contract .....	17
APPENDIX D - FORM OF BOND COUNSEL OPINION		Trend of Capital Indebtedness.....	17
APPENDIX E - FORM OF UNDERTAKING TO PROVIDE TIMELY NOTICES OF EVENTS		Overlapping and Underlying Debt .....	18
		Debt Ratios.....	18
		Authorized and Unissued Debt .....	18
		Debt Service Schedule .....	19
		ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC DATA.....	19
		Population .....	19
		Income.....	19
		Employment.....	20
		Transportation .....	21
		Utilities.....	21

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

**OFFICIAL STATEMENT**

**LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT OF SHRUB OAK  
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, NEW YORK**

**relating to**

**\$3,374,196**

**BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES FOR VEHICLES - 2017**

This Official Statement, which includes the cover page, inside cover page and appendices thereto has been prepared by the Lakeland Central School District of Shrub Oak in Westchester County, in the State of New York (the “District,” “County,” and “State,” respectively), in connection with the sale by the District of \$3,374,196 Bond Anticipation Notes For Vehicles – 2017 (the “Notes”).

All quotations from and summaries and explanations of the provisions of the Constitution and Laws of the State and acts and proceedings of the District contained herein do not purport to be complete and are qualified in their entirety by reference to the official compilation thereof, and all references to the Notes and the proceedings of the District relating thereto are qualified in their entirety by reference to the definitive form of the Notes and such proceedings.

**THE NOTES**

***Description***

The Notes will be dated and will mature on the date as reflected on the cover page hereof.

The Notes will not be subject to prior redemption prior to maturity. Interest will be calculated on a 30-day month and 360-day year basis, payable at maturity.

***Authority for and Purpose of the Notes***

The Notes are issued pursuant to the State Constitution and statutes of the State, including among others, the Education Law and the Local Finance Law, and various bond resolutions adopted by the Board of Education of the District following the approval by a majority of the qualified voters of the District, authorizing the issuance of serial bonds to finance the purchase of school buses, vehicles and related equipment.

A \$1,949,196 portion of the proceeds of the Notes, together with \$757,548 of budgetary appropriations, will be used by the District to redeem \$2,706,744 Bond Anticipation Notes for Vehicles – 2016, which mature on August 25, 2017 and provide \$1,425,000 of new money.

<u>Original Issue Date</u>	<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Notes Outstanding</u>	<u>Note Payments</u>	<u>New Money</u>	<u>Amount Of The Notes</u>
08-30-12	School Buses and Vehicles	132,000	132,000	0	0
08-28-14	School Buses and Vehicles	542,544	180,848	0	361,696
08-27-15	School Buses and Vehicles	765,200	191,300	0	573,900
08-25-16	School Buses and Vehicles	1,267,000	253,400	0	1,013,600
08-24-17	School Buses and Vehicles	0	0	1,425,000	1,425,000
		<u>\$2,706,744</u>	<u>\$757,548</u>	<u>\$1,425,000</u>	<u>\$3,374,196</u>

## ***Nature of the Obligation***

Each Note when duly issued and paid for will constitute a contract between the District and the holder thereof.

The Notes will be general obligations of the District and will contain a pledge of the faith and credit of the District for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon. For the payment of such principal and interest the District has the power and statutory authorization to levy ad valorem taxes on all taxable real property in the District without limitation as to rate or amount.

Under the Constitution of the State, the District is required to pledge its faith and credit for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Notes, and the State is specifically precluded from restricting the power of the District to levy taxes on real estate for the payment of interest on or principal of indebtedness theretofore contracted. However, the Tax Levy Limit Law imposes a statutory limitation on the District's power to increase its annual tax levy. As a result, the power of the District to levy real estate taxes on all the taxable real property within the District is subject to statutory limitations set forth in Tax Levy Limit Law, unless the District complies with certain procedural requirements to permit the District to levy certain year-to-year increases in real property taxes. (See "*Tax Levy Limit Law*" herein.).

## **REMEDIES UPON DEFAULT**

Neither the Notes, nor the proceedings with respect thereto, specifically provide any remedies which would be available to owners of the Notes should the District default in the payment of principal of or interest on the Notes, nor do they contain any provisions for the appointment of a trustee to enforce the interests of the owners of the Notes upon the occurrence of any such default. The Notes are general obligation contracts between the District and the owners for which the faith and credit of the District are pledged and while remedies for enforcement of payment are not expressly included in the District's contract with such owners, any permanent repeal by statute or constitutional amendment of a noteholder's remedial right to judicial enforcement of the contract should, in the opinion of Bond Counsel, be held unconstitutional.

Upon default in the payment of principal of or interest on the Notes at the suit of the owner, a Court has the power, in proper and appropriate proceedings, to render judgment against the District. The present statute limits interest on the amount adjudged due to contract creditors to nine per centum per annum from the date due to the date of payment. As a general rule, property and funds of a municipal corporation serving the public welfare and interest have not been judicially subjected to execution or attachment to satisfy a judgment. A Court also has the power, in proper and appropriate proceedings, to order payment of a judgment on such notes from funds lawfully available therefor or, in the absence thereof, to order the District to take all lawful action to obtain the same, including the raising of the required amount in the next annual tax levy. In exercising its discretion as to whether to issue such an order, the Court may take into account all relevant factors, including the current operating needs of the District and the availability and adequacy of other remedies. Upon any default in the payment of the principal of or interest on the Notes, the owners of such Notes could, among other remedies, seek to obtain a writ of mandamus from a Court ordering the governing body of the District to assess, levy and collect an ad valorem tax, upon all taxable property of the District subject to taxation by the District sufficient to pay the principal of and interest on the Notes as the same shall come due and payable (and interest from the due date to date of payment) and otherwise to observe the covenants contained in the Notes and the proceedings with respect thereto all of which are included in the contract with the owners of the Notes. The mandamus remedy, however, may be impracticable and difficult to enforce. Further, the right to enforce payment of the principal of or interest on the Notes may be limited by bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium and similar laws and equitable principles, which may limit the specific enforcement of certain remedies.

In 1976, the New York Court of Appeals, the State's highest court, held in *Flushing National Bank v. Municipal Assistance Corporation for the City of New York*, 40 N.Y.2d 731 (1976), that the New York State legislation purporting to postpone the payment of debt service on New York City obligations was an unconstitutional moratorium in violation of the New York State constitutional faith and credit mandate included in all municipal debt obligations. While that case can be viewed as a precedent for protecting the remedies of Noteholders, there can be no assurance as to what a Court may determine with respect to future events, including financial crises as they may

occur in the State and in municipalities of the State, that require the exercise by the State of its emergency and police powers to assure the continuation of essential public services. (See also, *Flushing National Bank v. Municipal Assistance Corporation for the City of New York*, 41 N.Y.2d 644 (1977), where the Court of Appeals described the pledge as a direct Constitutional mandate.)

As a result of the Court of Appeals decision, the constitutionality of that portion of Title 6-A of Article 2 of the Local Finance Law enacted at the 1975 Extraordinary Session of the State legislature authorizing any county, city, town or village with respect to which the State has declared a financial emergency to petition the State Supreme Court to stay the enforcement against such municipality of any claim for payment relating to any contract, debt or obligation of the municipality during the emergency period, is subject to doubt. In any event, no such emergency has been declared with respect to the District.

Pursuant to Article VIII, Section 2 of the State Constitution, the District is required to provide an annual appropriation of monies for the payment of due and payable principal of and interest on indebtedness. Specifically this constitutional provision states: "If at any time the respective appropriating authorities shall fail to make such appropriations, a sufficient sum shall be set apart from the first revenues thereafter received and shall be applied to such purposes. The fiscal officer of any county, city, town, village or school district may be required to set aside and apply such revenues as aforesaid at the suit of any holder of obligations issued for any such indebtedness." This constitutes a specific non-exclusive constitutional remedy against a defaulting municipality or school district; however, it does not apply in a context in which monies have been appropriated for debt service but the appropriating authorities decline to use such monies to pay debt service. However, Article VIII, Section 2 of the Constitution of the State also provides that the fiscal officer of any county, city, town, village or school district may be required to set apart and apply such revenues at the suit of any holder of any obligations of indebtedness issued with the pledge of the faith of the credit of such political subdivision. In *Quirk v. Municipal Assistance Corp.*, 41 N.Y.2d 644 (1977), the Court of Appeals described this as a "first lien" on revenues, but one that does not give holders a right to any particular revenues. It should thus be noted that the pledge of the faith and credit of a political subdivision in the State is a pledge of an issuer of a general obligation note to use its general revenue powers, including, but not limited to, its property tax levy, to pay debt service on such obligations, but that such pledge may or may not be interpreted by a court of competent jurisdiction to include a constitutional or statutory lien upon any particular revenues. The Constitutional provision providing for first revenue set asides does not apply to tax anticipation notes, revenue anticipation notes or bond anticipation notes.

While the courts in the State have historically been protective of the rights of holders of general obligation debt of political subdivisions, it is not possible to predict what a future court might hold.

In prior years, certain events and legislation affecting a holder's remedies upon default have resulted in litigation. While courts of final jurisdiction have generally upheld and sustained the rights of noteholders, such courts might hold that future events, including a financial crisis as such may occur in the State or in political subdivisions of the State, may require the exercise by the State or its political subdivisions of emergency and police powers to assure the continuation of essential public services prior to the payment of debt service.

### ***No Past Due Debt***

No principal or interest payment on District indebtedness is past due. The District has never defaulted in the payment of the principal of and/or interest on any indebtedness.. However, due to an administrative oversight by DTC, and by no fault of the District, an April 15, 2017 interest payment was made 1 day late to holders of the District bonds. Interest payments are automatically withdrawn by DTC from the Districts bank account. Although the District followed the proper procedures to authorize the deduction in a timely manner, an administrative oversight by DTC resulted in the deduction being made 1 day late. The District has since obtained an email from DTC acknowledging the mistake.

### ***Bankruptcy***

The Federal Bankruptcy Code (Chapter IX) allows public bodies, such as municipalities, recourse to the protection of a Federal Court for the purpose of adjusting outstanding indebtedness. Title 6-A of the Local Finance Law

specifically authorizes any municipality in the State or its emergency control board to file a petition under any provision of Federal bankruptcy law for the composition or adjustment of municipal indebtedness. While this Local Finance Law provision does not apply to school districts, there can be no assurance that it will not become applicable in the future. As such, the undertakings of the District should be considered with reference, specifically, to Chapter IX, and, in general, to other bankruptcy laws affecting creditors' rights and municipalities. Bankruptcy proceedings by the District if authorized by the State in the future could have adverse effects on noteholders including (a) delay in the enforcement of their remedies, (b) subordination of their claims to those supplying goods and services to the District after the initiation of bankruptcy proceedings and to the administrative expenses of bankruptcy proceedings and (c) imposition without their consent of a reorganization plan reducing or delaying payment of the Notes.

The above references to said Chapter IX are not to be construed as an indication that the State will consent in the future to the right of the District to file a petition with any United States district court or court of bankruptcy under any provision of the laws of the United States, now or hereafter in effect for the composition or adjustment of municipal indebtedness or that the District is currently considering or expects to resort to the provisions of Chapter IX if authorized to do so in the future.

### **SECTION 99-B OF THE STATE FINANCE LAW**

Section 99-b of the State Finance Law (the "SFL") provides for a covenant between the State and the purchasers and the holders and owners from time to time of the bonds and notes issued by school districts in the State for school purposes that it will not repeal, revoke or rescind the provisions of Section 99-b of the SFL, or amend or modify the same so as to limit, impair or impede the rights and remedies granted thereby.

Said section provides that in the event a holder or owner of any bond or note issued by a school district for school purposes shall file with the State Comptroller, a verified statement describing such bond or note and alleging default in the payment thereof or the interest thereon or both, it shall be the duty of the State Comptroller to immediately investigate the circumstances of the alleged default and prepare and file in his office a certificate setting forth his determinations with respect thereto and to serve a copy thereof by registered mail upon the chief fiscal officer of the school district which issued the bond or note. Such investigation by the State Comptroller shall set forth a description of all such bonds and notes of the school district found to be in default and the amount of principal and interest thereon past due.

Upon the filing of such a certificate in the office of the State Comptroller, he shall thereafter deduct and withhold from the next succeeding allotment, apportionment or payment of such State aid or assistance due to such school district such amount thereof as may be required to pay (a) the school district's contribution to the State Teachers' Retirement System, and (b) the principal of and interest on such bonds and notes of such school district then in default. In the event such State aid or assistance initially so withheld shall be insufficient to pay said amounts in full, the State Comptroller shall similarly deduct and withhold from each succeeding allotment, apportionment or payment of such State aid or assistance due such school district such amount or amounts thereof as may be required to cure such default. Allotments, apportionments and payments of such State aid so deducted or withheld by the State Comptroller for the payment of principal and interest on the bonds and notes shall be forwarded promptly to the paying agent or agents for the bonds and notes in default of such school district for the sole purpose of the payment of defaulted principal of and interest on such bonds or notes. If any such successive allotments, apportionments or payment of such State aid so deducted or withheld shall be less than the amount of all principal and interest on the bonds and notes in default with respect to which the same was so deducted or withheld, then the State Comptroller shall promptly forward to each paying agent an amount in the proportion that the amount of such bonds and notes in default payable to such paying agent bears to the total amount of the principal and interest then in default on such bonds and notes of such school district. The State Comptroller shall promptly notify the chief fiscal officer of such school district of any payment or payments made to any paying agent or agents of defaulted bonds or notes pursuant to said section of the SFL.



## **BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM**

The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”), New York, New York, will act as securities depository for any Notes issued as book-entry-only Notes. Such Notes will be issued as fully-registered notes registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC’s partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully registered note certificate will be issued for each note bearing the same rate of interest and CUSIP and deposited with DTC.

DTC is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a “banking organization” within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a “clearing corporation” within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a “clearing agency” registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC’s participants (“Direct Participants”) deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants’ accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (“DTCC”). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly (“Indirect Participants”). The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at [www.dtcc.com](http://www.dtcc.com) and [www.dtc.org](http://www.dtc.org).

Purchases of the Notes under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Notes on DTC’s records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each note (“Beneficial Owner”) is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants’ records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Notes are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in the Notes, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Notes is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Notes deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC’s partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of the Notes with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Notes; DTC’s records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Notes are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time.

Redemption notices shall be sent to DTC. If less than all of the notes within an issue are being redeemed, DTC’s practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such issue to be redeemed.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to the Notes unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC’s Money Market Instruments (MMI) Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the District as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.’s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts the Notes are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

Principal and interest payments on the Notes will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from the District, on payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC or the District, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of principal and interest payments to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of the District, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as depository with respect to the Notes at any time by giving reasonable notice to the District. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, note certificates are required to be printed and delivered.

The District may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry-only transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, note certificates will be printed and delivered to DTC.

The information in this section concerning DTC and DTC's book-entry system has been obtained from sources that the District believes to be reliable, but the District takes no responsibility for the accuracy thereof.

Source: The Depository Trust Company

## **MARKET FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCINGS OF THE MUNICIPALITIES OF THE STATE**

There are certain potential risks associated with an investment in the Notes, and investors should be thoroughly familiar with this Official Statement, including its appendices, in order to make an informed investment decision. Investors should consider, in particular, the following factors:

The District's credit rating could be affected by circumstances beyond the District's control. Economic conditions such as the rate of unemployment and inflation, termination of commercial operations by corporate taxpayers and employers, as well as natural catastrophes, could adversely affect the assessed valuation of District property and its ability to maintain fund balances and other statistical indices commensurate with its current credit rating. As a consequence, a decline in the District's credit rating could adversely affect the market value of the Notes.

If and when an owner of any of the Notes should elect to sell all or a part of the Notes prior to maturity, there can be no assurance that a market will have been established, maintained and continue in existence for the purchase and sale of any of the Notes. The market value of the Notes is dependent upon the ability of holder to potentially incur a capital loss if such Notes are sold prior to its maturity.

There can be no assurance that adverse events including, for example, the seeking by another municipality in the State or elsewhere of remedies pursuant to the Federal Bankruptcy Act or otherwise, will not occur which might affect the market price of and the market for the Notes. In particular, if a significant default or other financial crisis should occur in the affairs of the State or any of its municipalities, public authorities or other political subdivisions thereby possibly further impairing the acceptability of obligations issued by those entities, both the ability of the District to arrange for additional borrowing(s) as well as the market for and market value of outstanding debt obligations, including the Notes, could be adversely affected.

The District is dependent in part upon financial assistance from the State in the form of State aid as well as grants and loans to be received ("State Aid"). The District's receipt of State aid may be delayed as a result of the State's failure to adopt its budget timely and/or to appropriate State Aid to municipalities and school districts. Should the District fail to receive all or a portion of the amounts of State Aid expected to be received from the State in the amounts and at the times anticipated, occasioned by a delay in the payment of such moneys or by a reduction in

State Aid or its elimination, the District is authorized pursuant to the Local Finance Law (“LFL”) to provide operating funds by borrowing in anticipation of the receipt of such uncollected State Aid, however, there can be no assurance that, in such event, the District will have market access for any such borrowing on a cost effective basis. The elimination of or any substantial reduction in State Aid would likely have a materially adverse effect upon the District requiring either a counterbalancing increase in revenues from other sources to the extent available or a curtailment of expenditures. (See also “*State Aid*” herein.)

Future amendments to applicable statutes whether enacted by the State or the United States of America affecting the treatment of interest paid on municipal obligations, including the Bonds and the Notes, for income taxation purposes could have an adverse effect on the market value of the Notes (see “Tax Matters” herein).

The enactment of the Tax Levy Limit Law, which imposes a tax levy limitation upon municipalities, school districts and fire districts in the State, including the District, without providing exclusion for debt service on obligations issued by municipalities and fire districts, may affect the market price and/or marketability for the Notes. (See “The Tax Levy Limit Law” herein.)

Federal or State legislation imposing new or increased mandatory expenditures by municipalities, school districts and fire districts in the State, including the District could impair the financial condition of such entities, including the District and the ability of such entities, including the District to pay debt service on the Notes.

## **THE STATE COMPTROLLER’S FISCAL STRESS MONITORING SYSTEM AND OSC COMPLIANCE REVIEWS**

The New York State Comptroller has reported that New York State’s school districts and municipalities are facing significant fiscal challenges. As a result, the Office of the State Comptroller (“OSC”) has developed a Fiscal Stress Monitoring System (“FSMS”) to provide independent, objectively measured and quantifiable information to school district and municipal officials, taxpayers and policy makers regarding the various levels of fiscal stress under which the State’s school districts and municipalities are operating.

The fiscal stress scores are based on financial information submitted as part of each school district’s ST-3 report filed with the State Education Department annually, and each municipality’s annual report filed with the State Comptroller. Using financial indicators that include year-end fund balance, cash position and patterns of operating deficits, the system creates an overall fiscal stress score which classifies whether a school district or municipality is in “significant fiscal stress”, in “moderate fiscal stress,” as “susceptible to fiscal stress” or “no designation”. Entities that do not accumulate the number of points that would place them in a stress category will receive a financial score but will be classified in a category of “no designation.” This classification should not be interpreted to imply that the entity is completely free of fiscal stress conditions. Rather, the entity’s financial information, when objectively scored according to the FSMS criteria, did not generate sufficient points to place them in one of the three established stress categories.

The most current applicable report of the State Comptroller designates the District as “No Designation.”

See the State Comptroller’s official website for more information on FSMS. Reference to such website implies no warranty of accuracy of information therein.

The financial affairs of the District are subject to periodic compliance reviews by OSC to ascertain whether the District has complied with the requirements of various State and federal statutes. The OSC has not audited the District in the last five years and the District is not aware of any upcoming review in the near future.

## LITIGATION

There is no action, suit, proceedings or investigation, at law or in equity, before or by any court, public board or body pending or, to the best knowledge of the District, threatened against or affecting the District to restrain or enjoin the issuance, sale or delivery of the Notes or any proceedings or authority of the District taken with respect to the authorization, issuance or sale of the Notes or contesting the corporate existence or boundaries of the District.

**General.** In common with other school districts, the District from time to time receives various notices of claim and is party to litigation. In the opinion of legal counsel to the District, unless otherwise set forth herein and apart from matters provided for by applicable insurance coverage, there are no claims or actions pending which, if determined against the District, would have an adverse material effect on the financial condition of the District.

**Tax Certiorari Claims.** The District is also a party to various tax certiorari proceedings instituted under Article 7 of the Real Property Tax Law. In these actions, taxpayers claim that their current real property assessment is excessive and ask that such assessment be reduced. Generally tax claims request a refund of taxes applicable to the alleged over assessment. Claims of this nature are filed continuously and some cases may not be settled for several years or more. It is not unusual for certain taxpayers to have multiple pending claims affecting a period of years.

It is not possible to estimate the outcome of all pending tax certiorari cases. Tax certiorari claims are frequently settled for amounts substantially less than the original claims. In addition, settlements sometimes provide for reduced assessments in future years rather than a refund of taxes previously paid. The District maintains a tax certiorari reserve which had a balance of \$5,445,691 at June 30, 2016. Pursuant to State law, the District has designated its tax certiorari reserve for the settlement of specific claims including certain large items. At a minimum, the District must redesignate this reserve every three years otherwise moneys therein revert to the District's general fund. The District may also finance tax settlements by issuing debt pursuant to provisions set forth in the Local Finance Law.

## TAX MATTERS

### *Opinion of Bond Counsel*

In the opinion of Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, Bond Counsel to the District, under existing statutes and court decisions and assuming continuing compliance with certain tax certifications described herein, (i) interest on the Notes is excluded from gross income for Federal income tax purposes pursuant to Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and (ii) interest on the Notes is not treated as a preference item in calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations under the Code; such interest, however, is included in the adjusted current earnings of certain corporations for purposes of calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed on such corporations. The Tax Certificate of the District (the "Tax Certificate"), which will be delivered concurrently with the delivery of the Notes will contain provisions and procedures relating to compliance with applicable requirements of the Code. In rendering its opinion, Bond Counsel has relied on certain representations, certifications of fact, and statements of reasonable expectations made by the District in connection with the Notes, and Bond Counsel has assumed compliance by the District with certain ongoing provisions and procedures set forth in the Tax Certificate relating to compliance with applicable requirements of the Code to assure the exclusion of interest on the Notes from gross income pursuant to Section 103 of the Code.

In addition, in the opinion of Bond Counsel to the District, under existing statutes, interest on the Notes is exempt from personal income taxes of New York State and its political subdivisions, including The City of New York.

Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding any other Federal or state tax consequences with respect to the Notes. Bond Counsel renders its opinion under existing statutes and court decisions as of the issue date, and assumes no obligation to update, revise or supplement its opinion after the issue date to reflect any action hereafter taken or not taken, or any facts or circumstances that may hereafter come to its attention, or changes in law or in interpretations thereof that may hereafter occur, or for any other reason. Bond Counsel expresses no opinion on the effect of any action hereafter taken or not taken in reliance upon an opinion of other counsel on the exclusion from gross income for Federal income tax purposes of interest on the Notes, or under state and local tax law.

### ***Certain Ongoing Federal Tax Requirements and Certifications***

The Code establishes certain ongoing requirements that must be met subsequent to the issuance and delivery of the Notes in order that interest on the Notes be and remain excluded from gross income under Section 103 of the Code. These requirements include, but are not limited to, requirements relating to use and expenditure of gross proceeds of the Notes, yield and other restrictions on investments of gross proceeds, and the arbitrage rebate requirement that certain excess earnings on gross proceeds be rebated to the Federal government. Noncompliance with such requirements may cause interest on the Notes to become includable in gross income for Federal income tax purposes retroactive to their issue date, irrespective of the date on which such noncompliance occurs or is discovered. The District, in executing the Tax Certificate, will certify to the effect that the District will comply with the provisions and procedures set forth therein and that it will do and perform all acts and things necessary or desirable to assure the exclusion of interest on the Notes from gross income under Section 103 of the Code.

### ***Certain Collateral Federal Tax Consequences***

The following is a brief discussion of certain collateral Federal income tax matters with respect to the Notes. It does not purport to address all aspects of Federal taxation that may be relevant to a particular owner of a Note. Prospective investors, particularly those who may be subject to special rules, are advised to consult their own tax advisors regarding the Federal tax consequences of owning and disposing of the Notes.

Prospective owners of the Notes should be aware that the ownership of such obligations may result in collateral Federal income tax consequences to various categories of persons, such as corporations (including S corporations and foreign corporations), financial institutions, property and casualty and life insurance companies, individual recipients of Social Security and railroad retirement benefits, individuals otherwise eligible for the earned income tax credit, and taxpayers deemed to have incurred or continued indebtedness to purchase or carry obligations the interest on which is excluded from gross income for Federal income tax purposes. Interest on the Notes may be taken into account in determining the tax liability of foreign corporations subject to the branch profits tax imposed by Section 884 of the Code.

### ***Original Issue Discount***

“Original issue discount” (“OID”) is the excess of the sum of all amounts payable at the stated maturity of a Note (excluding certain “qualified stated interest” that is unconditionally payable at least annually at prescribed rates) over the issue price of that maturity. In general, the “issue price” of a maturity means the first price at which a substantial amount of the Notes of that maturity was sold (excluding sales to bond houses, brokers, or similar persons acting in the capacity as underwriters, placement agents, or wholesalers). In general, the issue price for each maturity of Notes is expected to be the initial public offering price set forth in this Official Statement. Bond Counsel further is of the opinion that, for any Notes having OID (a “Discount Note”), OID that has accrued and is properly allocable to the owners of the Discount Notes, under Section 1288 of the Code is excludable from gross income for Federal income tax purposes to the same extent as other interest on the Notes.

In general, under Section 1288 of the Code, OID on a Discount Note accrues under a constant yield method, based on periodic compounding of interest over prescribed accrual periods using a compounding rate determined by reference to the yield on that Discount Note. An owner’s adjusted basis in a Discount Note is increased by accrued OID for purposes of determining gain or loss on sale, exchange, or other disposition of such Discount Note. Accrued OID may be taken into account as an increase in the amount of tax-exempt income received or deemed to have been received for purposes of determining various other tax consequences of owning a Discount Note even though there will not be a corresponding cash payment.

Owners of Discount Notes should consult their own tax advisors with respect to the treatment of original issue discount for Federal income tax purposes, including various special rules relating thereto, and the state and local tax consequences of acquiring, holding, and disposing of Discount Notes.

## ***Note Premium***

In general, if an owner acquires a Note for a purchase price (excluding accrued interest) or otherwise at a tax basis that reflects a premium over the sum of all amounts payable on the Note after the acquisition date (excluding certain “qualified stated interest” that is unconditionally payable at least annually at prescribed rates), that premium constitutes “bond premium” on that Note (a “Premium Note”). In general, under Section 171 of the Code, an owner of a Premium Note must amortize the bond premium over the remaining term of the Premium Note, based on the owner’s yield over the remaining term of the Premium Note, determined based on constant yield principles (in certain cases involving a Premium Note callable prior to its stated maturity date, the amortization period and yield may be required to be determined on the basis of an earlier call date that results in the lowest yield on such Note). An owner of a Premium Note must amortize the bond premium by offsetting the qualified stated interest allocable to each interest accrual period under the owner’s regular method of accounting against the bond premium allocable to that period. In the case of a tax-exempt Premium Note, if the bond premium allocable to an accrual period exceeds the qualified stated interest allocable to that accrual period, the excess is a nondeductible loss. Under certain circumstances, the owner of a Premium Note may realize a taxable gain upon disposition of the Premium Note even though it is sold or redeemed for an amount less than or equal to the owner’s original acquisition cost. Owners of any Premium Note should consult their own tax advisors regarding the treatment of bond premium for Federal income tax purposes, including various special rules relating thereto, and state and local tax consequences, in connection with the acquisition, ownership, amortization of bond premium on, sale, exchange, or other disposition of Premium Notes.

## ***Information Reporting and Backup Withholding***

Information reporting requirements apply to interest on tax-exempt obligations, including the Notes. In general, such requirements are satisfied if the interest recipient completes, and provides the payor with, a Form W-9, “Request for Taxpayer Identification Number and Certification,” or if the recipient is one of a limited class of exempt recipients. A recipient not otherwise exempt from information reporting who fails to satisfy the information reporting requirements will be subject to “backup withholding,” which means that the payor is required to deduct and withhold a tax from the interest payment, calculated in the manner set forth in the Code. For the foregoing purpose, a “payor” generally refers to the person or entity from whom a recipient receives its payments of interest or who collects such payments on behalf of the recipient.

If an owner purchasing a Note through a brokerage account has executed a Form W-9 in connection with the establishment of such account, as generally can be expected, no backup withholding should occur. In any event, backup withholding does not affect the excludability of the interest on the Notes from gross income for Federal income tax purposes. Any amounts withheld pursuant to backup withholding would be allowed as a refund or a credit against the owner’s Federal income tax once the required information is furnished to the Internal Revenue Service.

## ***Miscellaneous***

Tax legislation, administrative actions taken by tax authorities, or court decisions, whether at the Federal or state level, may adversely affect the tax-exempt status of interest on the Notes under Federal or state law or otherwise prevent beneficial owners of the Notes from realizing the full current benefit of the tax status of such interest. In addition, such legislation or actions (whether currently proposed, proposed in the future, or enacted) and such decisions could affect the market price or marketability of the Notes.

Prospective purchasers of the Notes should consult their own tax advisors regarding the foregoing matters.

## **DOCUMENTS ACCOMPANYING DELIVERY OF THE NOTES**

### ***Absence of Litigation***

Upon delivery of the Notes, the District shall furnish a certificate of the School Attorney, dated the date of delivery of the Notes, to the effect that there is no controversy or litigation of any nature pending or threatened to restrain or enjoin the issuance, sale, execution or delivery of the Notes, or in any way contesting or affecting the validity of the Notes or any of the proceedings taken with respect to the issuance and sale thereof or the application of moneys to the payment of the Notes, and further stating that there is no controversy or litigation of any nature now pending or threatened by or against the District wherein an adverse judgment or ruling could have a material adverse impact on the financial condition of the District or adversely affect the power of the District to levy, collect and enforce the collection of taxes or other revenues for the payment of its Notes, which has not been disclosed in this Official Statement.

### ***Legal Matters***

Legal matters incident to the authorization, issuance and sale of the Notes are subject to the final approving opinion of Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, New York, New York, Bond Counsel. Bond Counsel's opinion will be in substantially the form attached hereto as Appendix D.

### ***Closing Certificates***

Upon the delivery of the Notes, the purchasers will be furnished with the following items: (i) a Certificate of the President of the Board of Education and certain officers of the District to the effect that as of the date of this Official Statement and at all times subsequent thereto, up to and including the time of the delivery of the Notes, this Official Statement did not and does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements herein, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, and further stating that there has been no adverse material change in the financial condition of the District since the date of this Official Statement to the date of issuance of the Notes; and having attached thereto a copy of this Official Statement; (ii) a Certificate signed by an officer of the District evidencing payment for the Notes; and (iii) a Signature Certificate evidencing the due execution of the Notes, including statements that (a) no litigation of any nature is pending or, to the knowledge of the signers, threatened, restraining or enjoining the issuance and delivery of the Notes or the levy and collection of taxes to pay the principal of and interest thereon, nor in any manner questioning the proceedings and authority under which the Notes were authorized or affecting the validity of the Notes thereunder, (b) neither the corporate existence or boundaries of the District nor the title of the signers to their respective offices is being contested, and (c) no authority or proceedings for the issuance of the Notes have been repealed, revoked or rescinded, and (iv) a Tax Certificate executed by the chief fiscal officer of the District, as described under "*Tax Matters*" herein.

## **DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING**

This Official Statement is in a form "deemed final" by the District for the purposes of Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12 (the "Rule"). In order to assist the purchasers in complying with the Rule with respect to the Notes, the District will execute an Undertaking to Provide Notices of Events for the benefit of holders of and owners of beneficial interests in the Notes, the form of which is attached hereto as Appendix E to this Preliminary Official Statement.

### ***Compliance History***

Since 2007, there have been in excess of 50 rating actions reported by Moody's Investors Service, Standard & Poor's Rating Corporation and Fitch Ratings affecting the municipal bond insurance companies, some of which had insured bonds previously issued by the District. Due to widespread knowledge of these rating actions, material event notices were not filed by the District in each instance.

The District's 2013 annual filing omitted the "Economic and Demographic Data" section. In May 15, 2013, the District made a late filing of the material event notice which occurred on February 26, 2013, with respect to the defeasance of its School District (Serial) Bonds, 2004 and School District (Serial) Bonds, 2005.

### **MUNICIPAL ADVISOR**

Capital Markets Advisors, LLC, Hopewell Junction, New York, (the "Municipal Advisor") is an independent municipal advisor registered with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board. The Municipal Advisor has served as the independent financial advisor to the District in connection with this transaction.

In preparing the Official Statement, the Municipal Advisor has relied upon governmental officials, and other sources, who have access to relevant data to provide accurate information for the Official Statement. The Municipal Advisor has not been engaged, nor has it undertaken, to independently verify the accuracy of such information. The Municipal Advisor is not a public accounting firm and has not been engaged by the District to compile, review, examine or audit any information in the Official Statement in accordance with accounting standards. The Municipal Advisor is not a law firm and does not provide legal advice with respect to this or any debt offerings of the District. The Municipal Advisor is an independent advisory firm and is not engaged in the business of underwriting, trading or distributing municipal securities or other public securities and therefore will not participate in the underwriting of the Notes.

### **RATING**

The District did not request a rating of the Notes.

The District's outstanding uninsured bonds are assigned a rating of "Aa2" by Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's").

Such rating reflects only the view of such organization, and an explanation of the significance of such rating should be obtained only from Moody's at the following address: Moody's Investors Service, Inc., 7 World Trade Center, 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York 10007. There can be no assurance that such rating will continue for any specified period of time or that such rating will not be revised or withdrawn, if in the judgment of Moody's circumstances so warrant. Any such change or withdrawal of such rating may have an adverse effect on the market price of the Notes or the availability of a secondary market for the Notes.

### **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

Additional information may be obtained upon request from the Business Manager, Binoy Alunkal, 1086 E. Main Street, Shrub Oak, NY 10588, (914) 245-1700 ext. 39008, e-mail: [balunkal@lakelandschools.org](mailto:balunkal@lakelandschools.org) or from the District's Municipal Advisor, Capital Markets Advisors, LLC, 1075 Route 82 – Suite 4, Hopewell Junction, New York 12533, (845) 227-8678.

Any statements in this Official Statement involving matters of opinion or estimates, whether or not expressly so stated, are intended as such and not as representations of fact. No representation is made that any of such statements will be realized. This Official statement is not to be construed as a contract or agreement between the District and the purchasers or owners of any of the Notes.

Capital Markets Advisors, LLC may place a copy of this Official Statement on its website at [www.capmark.org](http://www.capmark.org). Unless this Official Statement specifically indicates otherwise, no statement on such website is included by specific reference or constitutes a part of this Official Statement. Capital Markets Advisors, LLC has prepared such website information for convenience, but no decisions should be made in reliance upon that information. Typographical or other errors may have occurred in converting original source documents to digital format, and neither the District



nor Capital Markets Advisors, LLC assumes any liability or responsibility for errors or omissions on such website. Further, Capital Markets Advisors, LLC and the District disclaim any duty or obligation either to update or to maintain that information or any responsibility or liability for any damages caused by viruses in the electronic files on the website. Capital Markets Advisors, LLC and the District also assume no liability or responsibility for any errors or omissions or for any updates to dated website information.

LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT OF SHRUB OAK  
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, NEW YORK

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Denise Kness  
President of the Board and Chief Fiscal Officer

DATED: July \_\_, 2017

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

**APPENDIX A**

**THE DISTRICT**

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

## **THE DISTRICT**

### ***General Information***

The District encompasses an area of approximately 42 square miles and is located in northwestern Westchester County and southwestern Putnam County about 35 miles north of New York City. The major part of the District (89%) is located in Westchester County, embracing portions of the Towns of Yorktown (50%), Cortlandt (39%) and Somers (only 0.16%). In Putnam County, the District includes parts of the Towns of Putnam Valley (2%), Carmel (4%) and Philipstown (5%). In addition, the District serves the unincorporated communities at Shrub Oak, Toddville, Mohegan Lake, Continental Village and Van Cortlandtville.

The area is primarily residential in character, with some commercial development as well as minor agricultural activity. Most residential development consists of single family homes, but apartment complexes and estates are also located within the area. Commercial facilities are mainly of the suburban shopping center variety, as well as chain and department stores

There is a considerable commuter population in the District, due to the proximity to New York City where residents hold positions in industry, finance and are engaged in the professions. Recreational facilities within the District, notably Mohegan Lake, are supplemented by nearby Franklin D. Roosevelt State Park and Bear Mountain State Park.

Rail transportation is provided by Metro-North; highways serving the District include the Taconic State Parkway, Bear Mountain Parkway and New York State Routes 6, 9, 35, and 202. The area is also covered by an extensive network of County and Town roads.

### ***District Organization***

Subject to the provisions of the State Constitution, the District operates pursuant to the Education Law, the Local Finance Law, other laws generally applicable to the District, and any special laws applicable to the District.

The legislative power of the District is vested in the Board of Education. On the third Tuesday of May of each year an election is held within the District boundaries to elect members of the Board of Education. They are elected for a term of three years.

In early July of each year, the Board of Education meets for the purpose of reorganization. At that time an election is held within the Board to elect a president and vice president, as well as to appoint a District Clerk and District Treasurer.

The administrative officers of the District, whose duty it is to implement the policies of the Board of Education and who are appointed by the Board, include a Superintendent of Schools, Business Manager, Assistant Superintendent for Instruction, Assistant Superintendent for Human Resources, Assistant Superintendent for Pupil Personnel, District Treasurer and District Clerk.

### ***Financial Organization***

Pursuant to the Local Finance Law, the President of the Board of Education is the chief fiscal officer of the District. However, certain of the financial functions of the District are the responsibility of the Superintendent of Schools, the Business Manager and the District Clerk.

### ***Financial Statements and Accounting Procedures***

The financial accounts of the District are maintained in accordance with the New York State Uniform System of Accounting for School Districts. Such accounts are audited annually by independent auditors, and are available for public inspection upon request.

***Budgetary Procedure***

The District’s fiscal year begins on July 1 and ends on June 30. Starting in the fall or winter of each year, the District’s financial plan and enrollment projection are reviewed and updated and the first draft of the next year’s proposed budget is developed by the central office staff. During the winter and early spring the budget is developed and refined in conjunction with the school building principals and department supervisors. The District’s budget is subject to the provisions of the Tax Levy Limit Law, which imposes a limitation on the amount of real property taxes that a school district may levy, and by law is submitted to voter referendum on the third Tuesday of May each year. (See “*The Tax Levy Limit Law*” herein).

The Budget for the 2017-18 fiscal year was approved by a majority of the voters of the District on May 16, 2017. See Appendix B for a summary of the 2016-17 and 2017-18 budgets.

***School Enrollment Trends***

The trend of school enrollments and projections is set forth below.

<u>Fiscal Year Ended June 30:</u>	<u>Enrollment History</u>	<u>Fiscal Year Ended June 30:</u>	<u>Enrollment Projections</u>
2013	6,107	2018	5,710
2014	6,024	2019	5,700
2015	5,845	2020	5,715
2016	5,746		
2017	5,716		

***District Facilities***

The District operates eight schools; statistics relating to each are shown below.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Year of Original Construction Or Addition</u>
Lakeland High School	1,344	1963
Walter Panas High School	1,963	1970 (addition in 1997)
Van Cortlandtville Elementary School	1,015	1952 (addition in 1958)
Lakeland Copper Beech Middle School	1,484	1969 (addition in 2000)
Thomas Jefferson Elementary School	745	1957 (addition in 1960)
Lincoln Titus Elementary School	745	1957 (addition in 1960)
George Washington Elementary School	881	1957 (addition in 1960)
Benjamin Franklin Elementary School	340	1967

***Employees***

The District provides educational services through approximately 1,100 employees. The following collective bargaining units represent certain District employees.

<u>Union</u>	<u>Number of Employees</u>	<u>Contract Expiration Date</u>
Association of Lakeland Administrators & Chairpersons	24	June 30, 2018
Lakeland Federation of Nurses	15	June 30, 2019
Lakeland Federation of Teachers	515	June 30, 2019
CSEA - Civil Service	489	June 30, 2017 (1)
Confidential Management	14	June 30, 2019

(1) In negotiation.

## ***Employee Benefits***

New York State Certified employees (teachers and administrators) are members of the New York State Teachers Retirement System (“TRS”). Payments to the TRS are generally deducted from State aid payments. All non-NYS certified/civil service employees of the District eligible for pension or retirement benefits under the Retirement and Social Security Law of the State of New York are members of the New York State and Local Employee's Retirement System (“ERS”). Both the TRS and ERS are non-contributory with respect to members hired prior to July 1, 1976. Other than as discussed below, all members of the respective systems hired on or after July 1, 1976 with less than 10 year's full-time service contribute 3% (ERS) or 3.5% (TRS) of their gross annual salary toward the cost of retirement programs.

On December 10, 2009 a new Tier V was signed into law. The law is effective for new ERS and TRS employees hired after January 1, 2010 and on or before April 1, 2012. Tier V ERS employees will contribute 3% of their salaries and TRS employees will contribute 3.5% of their salaries. There is no provision for these contributions to cease after a certain period of service.

On March 16, 2012, Governor Cuomo signed into law Chapter 18 of the Laws of 2012, which legislation provides for a new Tier VI for employees hired on or after April 1, 2012. The new pension tier has progressive contribution rates between 3% and 6% with no provision for these contributions to cease after a certain period of service; it increases the retirement age for new employees from 62 to 63 and includes provisions allowing early retirement with penalties. Under Tier VI, the pension multiplier will be 1.75% for the first 20 years of service and 2% thereafter; vesting will occur after 10 years; the time period for calculation of final average salary is increased from three years to five years; and the amount of overtime to be used to determine an employee's pension is capped at \$15,000, indexed for inflation, for civilian and non-uniform employees and at 15% of base pay for uniformed employees outside of New York City. It also includes a voluntary, portable, defined contribution plan option for new non-union employees with salaries of \$75,000 or more.

Pension reform legislation enacted in 2003 and 2004 changed the cycle of ERS billing to match budget cycles of the District. The reform legislation also required the District to make a minimum contribution of 4.5% of payroll every year, including years in which the investment performance of the fund would otherwise make a lower contribution possible.

Due to prior poor performance of the investment portfolio of TRS and ERS, the employer contribution rates for required pension contributions to the TRS and ERS in 2011 and certain subsequent years have increased. To help mitigate the impact of such increases, legislation was enacted to permit school districts to amortize a portion of the contributions to the ERS only. Under such legislation, school districts that choose to amortize will be required to set aside and reserve funds with the ERS for certain future rate increases. The District has not and does not reasonably expect to amortize such contributions in the immediate future.

In Spring 2013, the State and TRS approved a Stable Contribution Option (“SCO”) that gives school districts the ability to better manage the spikes in Actuarially Required Contribution rates (“ARCs”). ERS followed suit and modified its existing SCO. Each plan allows school districts to pay the SCO amount in lieu of the ARC amount, which is higher, and defer the difference in payment amounts as described below.

The TRS SCO deferral plan is available to school districts for a total of seven years. Under the TRS SCO plan, payment of the deferred amount will commence in year six of the program (2018-19) and continue for five years. School districts can elect to no longer participate in the plan at any time, resume paying the ARC and begin repayment of deferred amounts over five years. Under the ERS SCO, payment of deferred amounts begins the year immediately following the deferral and the repayment period is 12 years. Once made, the election to participate in the ERS SCO is permanent. However, the school districts can choose not to defer payment in any given year. In both plans, interest on the deferred amounts is based on the yield of 10-year U.S. Treasury securities plus 1%.

The primary benefit of participation in the SCO plans is the elimination of the uncertainty in the volatility of future pension contribution ARCs in the near term, thereby providing school districts with significant assistance in its ability to create a stable and reliable fiscal plan. The District has not and does not reasonably expect to participate in the ERS or TRS SCO program.

## Retirement Billing Procedures

**TRS.** TRS contributions are paid as a reduction in State aid payments due September 15, October 15 and November 15 of the succeeding fiscal year. Any deficiency or excess in TRS contributions are settled on a current basis in the month of January.

**ERS.** The District's contributions to ERS are due on or before February 1. Such contributions are based on salary estimates for the State fiscal year ending on March 31 of the next calendar year.

The amounts contributed to ERS and TRS for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 through 2016 and the amounts budgeted for the two most recent fiscal years are as follows:

Fiscal Year Ended June 30:	ERS	TRS
2012	3,446,270	6,782,911
2013	3,895,513	7,262,353
2014	3,856,002	9,807,101
2015	3,548,143	10,726,922
2016	3,163,617	7,864,124
2017 (Budget)	3,039,634	7,778,525
2018 (Budget)	3,109,060	6,557,676

## Other Post Employment Benefits

The District provides post-retirement healthcare benefits to various categories of former employees. These costs may be expected to rise substantially in the future. School Districts and Boards of Cooperative Education Services, unlike other municipal units of government in the State, have been prohibited from reducing retiree health benefits or increasing health care contributions received or paid by retirees below the level of benefits or contributions afforded to or required from active employees. This protection from unilateral reduction of benefits had been extended annually by the New York State Legislature until recently when legislation was enacted to make permanent these health insurance benefit protections for retirees. Legislative attempts to provide similar protection to retirees of other local units of government in the State have not succeeded as of the date hereof. Nevertheless, many such retirees of all varieties of municipal units in the State do presently receive such benefits.

GASB Statement No. 45 ("GASB 45") of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB"), requires state and local governments to account for and report their costs associated with post-retirement healthcare benefits and other nonpension benefits ("OPEB"). GASB 45 generally requires that employers account for and report the annual cost of the OPEB and the outstanding obligations and commitments related to OPEB in essentially the same manner as they currently do for pensions. Under previous rules, these benefits have generally been administered on a pay-as-you-go basis and have not been reported as a liability on governmental financial statements. Only current payments to existing retirees were recorded as an expense.

GASB 45 requires that state and local governments adopt the actuarial methodologies to determine annual OPEB costs. Annual OPEB cost for most employers will be based on actuarially determined amounts that, if paid on an ongoing basis, generally would provide sufficient resources to pay benefits as they come due.

Under GASB 45, based on actuarial valuation, an annual required contribution ("ARC") will be determined for each state or local government. The ARC is the sum of (a) the normal cost for the year (the present value of future benefits being earned by current employees) plus (b) amortization of the unfunded accrued liability (benefits already earned by current and former employees but not yet provided for), using an amortization period of not more than 30 years. If a municipality contributes an amount less than the ARC, a net OPEB obligation will result, which is required to be recorded as a liability on its financial statements.



GASB 45 does not require that the unfunded liabilities actually be funded, only that the District account for its unfunded accrued liability and compliance in meeting its ARC.

Actuarial valuation will be required every two years for the District. The District is in compliance with the requirements of GASB 45. The District has determined that its unfunded actuarial accrued liability ("UAAL") for OPEB as of July 1, 2015 was \$167,514,630. For the year ended July 1, 2015, the District's Annual Required Contribution ("ARC") was \$9,768,381.

Should the District be required to fund its unfunded actuarial accrued OPEB liability, it could have a material adverse impact upon the District's finances and could force the District to reduce services, raise taxes or both. At the present time, however, there is no current or planned requirement for the District to partially fund its actuarial accrued OPEB liability. At this time, New York State has not developed legislation or guidelines for the creation and use of reserve funds or irrevocable trusts for the funding of OPEB. The District continues funding the expenditure on a pay-as-you-go basis.

Legislation was introduced in the State Legislature to authorize local governments and other public entities to establish trusts to accumulate and disburse funds through governing board appropriation for payment of OPEB liabilities. This legislation would authorize the establishment of a trust by resolution of the local government's governing body which would serve as the trustee (unless trustee authority is delegated to the local government's chief fiscal officer). Trust investments would be held by the State Comptroller as sole custodian for investment in accordance with the written investment policy developed by the trustee and the written agreement between the trust and the State Comptroller. Trust funds would not be subject to local government creditor claims, and local government officers would not be subject to liability for loss on investments in the trust. The District can't predict whether the bill will be enacted into law.

### ***Investment Policy***

Pursuant to Section 39 of the State's General Municipal Law, the District has an investment policy applicable to the investment of all moneys and financial resources of the District. The responsibility for the investment program has been delegated by the Board of Education to the Deputy Superintendent who was required to establish written operating procedures consistent with the District's investment policy guidelines. According to the investment policy of the District, all investments must conform to the applicable requirements of law and provide for: the safety of the principal; sufficient liquidity; and a reasonable rate of return.

**Authorized Investments.** The District has designated six banks or trust companies which are located and authorized to conduct business in the State to receive deposits of money. The District is permitted to invest in special time deposits or certificates of deposit.

In addition to bank deposits, the District is permitted to invest moneys in direct obligations of the United States of America, obligations guaranteed by agencies of the United States where the payment of principal and interest are further guaranteed by the United States of America and obligations of the State. Other eligible investments for the District include: revenue and tax anticipation notes issued by any municipality, school district or district corporation other than the District (investment subject to approval of the State Comptroller); obligations of certain public authorities or agencies; obligations issued pursuant to Section 109(b) of the General Municipal Law (certificates of participation) and certain obligations of the District but only with respect to moneys of a reserve fund established pursuant to Section 6 of the General Municipal Law. The District may also utilize repurchase agreements to the extent such agreements are based upon direct or guaranteed obligations of the United States of America. Repurchase agreements are subject to the following restrictions, among others: all repurchase agreements are subject to a master repurchase agreement; trading partners are limited to banks or trust companies authorized to conduct business in the State or primary reporting dealers as designated by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York; securities may not be substituted; and the custodian for the repurchase security must be a party other than the trading partner. All purchased obligations, unless registered or inscribed in the name of the District, must be purchased through, delivered to and held in the custody of a bank or trust company located and authorized to conduct business in the State.

**Collateral Requirements.** All District deposits in excess of the applicable insurance coverage provide by the Federal Deposit Insurance Act must be secured in accordance with the provisions of and subject to the limitations of Section 10 of the General Municipal Law of the State. Such collateral must consist of the “eligible securities,” “eligible surety bonds” or “eligible letter of credit” as described in the law.

Eligible securities pledged to secure deposits must be held by the depository or third party bank or trust company pursuant to written security and custodial agreements. The District's security agreements provide that the aggregate market value of pledged securities must equal or exceed the principal amount of deposit, the agreed upon interest, if any, and any costs or expenses arising from the collection such deposits in the event of a default. Securities not registered or inscribed in the name of the District must be delivered, in a form suitable for transfer or with an assignment in blank, to the District or its designated custodial bank. The custodial agreements used by the District provide that pledged securities must be kept separate and apart from the general assets of the custodian and will not, under any circumstances, be commingled with or become part of the backing for any other deposit or liability. The custodial agreement must also provide that the custodian shall confirm the receipt, substitution or release of the collateral, the frequency of revaluation of eligible securities and the substitution of collateral when a change in the rating of a security may cause ineligibility.

An eligible irrevocable letter of credit may be issued, in favor of the District, by a qualified bank other than the depository bank. Such letters may have a term not to exceed 90 days and must have an aggregate value equal to 140% of the deposit obligations and the agreed upon interest. Qualified banks include those with commercial paper or other unsecured or short-term debt ratings within one of the three highest categories assigned by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization or a bank that is in compliance with applicable Federal minimum risk-based capital requirements.

An eligible surety bond must be underwritten by an insurance company authorized to do business in the State which has claims paying ability rated in the highest rating category for claims paying ability by at least two nationally recognized statistical rating organizations. The surety bond must be payable to the District in an amount equal to 100% of the aggregate deposits and the agreed interest thereon.

## **FINANCIAL FACTORS**

District finances are operated primarily through its General Fund. All taxes and most other revenues are paid into this fund and all current operating expenditures are made from it. A Statement of Revenues and Expenditures for the five-year period ending June 30, 2015 is contained in Appendix B. As reflected in Appendix B, the District derives the bulk of its annual revenues from a tax on real property. Capital improvements are generally financed by the issuance of bonds, bond anticipation notes and the use of funds reserved for capital improvements.

### ***Real Property Taxes***

The District derives a major portion of its revenues from a tax on real property (see “*Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance-General Fund*” in Appendix B, herein). Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011, as amended, which imposes a tax levy limitation upon the municipalities, school districts and fire districts in the State, including the District. (See “*The Tax Levy Limit Law*” herein.)

The following table sets forth total general fund revenues and real property tax revenues during the last five audited fiscal years, and real property tax revenues budgeted for the two most recent fiscal years.

**Property Taxes**

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Total Revenues</u> <sup>(1)</sup>	<u>Real Property Taxes</u> <sup>(2)</sup>	<u>Real Property Taxes to Revenues</u>
2012	\$145,048,472	\$105,783,067	72.9%
2013	147,433,131	107,998,958	73.3
2014	151,671,204	111,355,468	73.4
2015	154,048,541	111,935,980	72.7
2016	154,190,674	112,458,054	72.9
2017 (Budget)	155,602,165	113,040,523	72.6
2018 (Budget)	157,216,765	113,888,327	72.4

(1) General Fund only.

(2) Inclusive of Other Tax Items, which represents STAR tax payments made to the District by the State. (See “STAR - School Tax Exemption,” herein).

Source: Audited Financial Statements and Adopted Budgets of the District. This summary is not audited.

***State Aid***

The District receives State aid for operating and other purposes at various times throughout its fiscal year, pursuant to formulas and payment schedules set forth by statute.

The following table sets forth total general fund revenues and State aid revenues during the last five audited fiscal years, and the amount budgeted for the two most recent fiscal years.

**State Aid**

<u>Fiscal Year Ended June 30:</u>	<u>General Fund Revenues</u>	<u>State Aid</u>	<u>State Aid to Revenue (%)</u>
2012	\$145,048,472	\$35,107,880	24.2%
2013	147,433,131	35,854,578	24.3
2014	151,671,204	36,572,779	24.1
2015	154,048,541	38,596,921	25.1
2016	154,190,674	38,108,809	24.7
2017 (Budget)	155,602,165	39,437,971	25.3
2018 (Budget)	157,216,765	40,247,615	25.6

(1) Inclusive of State Aid and ARRA grant.

In addition to the amount of State Aid budgeted annually by the District, the State makes payments of STAR aid representing tax savings provided by school districts to their taxpayers under the STAR Program (see “STAR-School Tax Exemption”). The District expects to receive timely STAR aid from the State for the current fiscal year.

There can be no assurance that the State appropriation for State aid to school districts will be continued in future years, either pursuant to existing formulas or in any form whatsoever. State aid appropriated and apportioned to the District can be paid only if the State has such monies available therefore. The availability of such monies and the timeliness of such payment could be affected by a delay in the adoption of the State budget or other circumstances including State fiscal stress. In any event, State aid appropriated and apportioned to the District can be paid only if the State has such monies available therefore.

*Potential reductions in Federal aid received by the State.* The State receives a substantial amount of Federal aid for education. Many of the policies that drive this Federal aid are subject to change under the current presidential administration and Congress. However, the State's current financial projections concerning Federal aid, and the assumptions on which they are based, are subject to revision as more information becomes available about the proposals for Federal tax policy and legislation, health care, including amendments to the Affordable Care Act, infrastructure, taxation, the Budget Control Act of 2011 (as amended), Federal regulatory reform, and other issues that may arise.

Reductions in Federal funding levels could have a materially adverse impact on the State budget. In addition to the potential fiscal impact of policies that may be proposed and adopted by the new administration and Congress, the State budget may be adversely affected by other actions taken by the Federal government, including audits, disallowances, and changes to Federal participation rates or other medicaid rules.

There can be no assurance that the State's financial position will not change materially and adversely from current projections. If this were to occur, the State would be required to take additional gap-closing actions. Such actions may include, but are not limited to: reductions in State agency operations; delays or reductions in payments to local governments or other recipients of State aid including school districts in the State. Reductions in the payment of State aid could adversely affect the financial condition of school districts in the State.

Should the District fail to receive State aid expected from the State in the amounts and at the times expected, occasioned by a delay in the payment of such monies or by a mid-year reduction in State aid, the District is authorized by the Local Finance Law to provide operating funds by borrowing in anticipation of the receipt of uncollected State aid.

### ***Events Affecting New York School Districts***

The recent history of state aid to school districts in the State for the last five years is as follows:

*School district fiscal year (2013-2014):* The State Legislature adopted the State budget on March 29, 2013. The budget included an increase of \$1.0 billion in State aid for school districts.

*School district fiscal year (2014-2015):* The State Legislature adopted the State budget on March 31, 2014. The budget included an increase of \$1.1 billion in State aid for school districts.

The Smart Schools Bond Act was passed as part of the Enacted 2014-2015 State Budget. The Smart Schools Bond Act authorizes the issuance of \$2 billion of general obligation bonds to finance improved educational technology and infrastructure to improve learning and opportunity for students throughout the State. The District's estimated allocation of funds is \$3,648,705.

*School district fiscal year (2015-2016):* The State Legislature adopted the State budget on March 31, 2015. The budget includes an increase of \$1.4 billion in State aid for school districts that is tied to changes in the teacher evaluation and tenure process. School districts were required to obtain approval of their revised teacher evaluation plans by November 15, 2015 in order to receive their allotted increase in State aid.

*School district fiscal year (2016-2017):* The State Legislature adopted the State budget on March 31, 2016. The budget includes an increase of \$991 million in State aid for school districts over the 2015-16 budget, \$863 million of which consists of traditional operating aid. In addition to the \$408 million of expense based aid, the Governor's budget includes a \$266 million increase in Foundation Aid and a \$189 million restoration to the Gap Elimination Adjustment. The majority of the remaining increase includes \$100 million in Community Schools Aid, a newly adopted aid category, to support school districts that wish to create community schools. The funds may only be used for certain purposes such as providing health, mental health and nutritional services to students and their families.

*School district fiscal year (2017-2018):* The State's 2017-2018 Enacted Budget provides for school aid of approximately \$25.8 billion, an increase of \$1.1 billion in school aid spending from the 2016-2017 school year. The majority of the increases have been targeted to high need school districts. Expense-based aids to support school construction, pupil transportation, BOCES and special education were continued in full, as is the State's usual

practice. Transportation aid increased by 5.5% and building aid increased by 4.8%. The State 2017-18 Enacted Budget continues to link school aid increases for 2017-18 and 2018-19 to teacher and principal evaluation plans approved by September 1 of the current year in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d. In addition, the State 2017-18 Enacted Budget allows the Governor to reduce aid to school districts mid-year if receipts from the Federal government are less than what was expected. The Legislature then will have 90 days to approve the Governor’s plan.

The District believes that it would mitigate the impact of any delays or the reduction in State aid by reducing expenditures, increasing revenues, appropriating other available funds on hand, and/or by any combination of the foregoing. (See also “*Market Factors Affecting Financings of the Municipalities of the State*” herein).

***Other Revenues***

In addition to property taxes and State Aid, the District receives other revenues from miscellaneous sources as shown in Appendix B.

***Independent Audits***

The District retained the firm of PKF O’Connor Davies, LLP, Certified Public Accountants, to audit its financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016 Appendix B, attached hereto, presents excerpts from the District’s most recent audited reports covering the last five fiscal years.

In addition, the District is subject to audit by the State Comptroller to review compliance with legal requirements and the rules and regulations established by the State. See “*The State Comptroller’s Fiscal Stress Monitoring System and OSC Compliance Reviews*” herein.

***2016-17 Preliminary Results of General Fund Operations (Unaudited)***

As of the date of this Official Statement, the audit for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017 has not yet been completed. However, summary unaudited financial information for said fiscal year is as follows:

**Preliminary (Unaudited) Results  
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016**

Fund Balance – Beginning of Year	\$ 44,184,258
Revenues	163,174,165
Expenditures	151,772,526
Operating Surplus/Deficit	11,401,639
Less: Appropriated Fund Balance	5,500,000
Fund Balance – End of Year	50,085,897
Comprised of:	
Non-spendable	250,000
Restricted	37,827,226
Assigned	5,500,000
Unassigned	6,508,671

Source: District officials

## REAL PROPERTY TAXES

### *Assessed and Full Valuations*

#### **Real Property Tax Assessments, Rates and Collections For the Fiscal Year Ending June 30:**

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>
<b>Assessed Values:</b>					
Cortlandt	\$34,971,363	\$35,092,634	\$34,653,504	\$34,729,768	\$34,557,372
Somers	3,466,820	3,434,495	3,815,542	3,930,659	4,093,360
Yorktown	48,604,081	48,323,912	48,343,405	48,538,074	48,907,318
Carmel	98,849,588	98,828,716	99,147,268	98,704,110	97,961,442
Phillipstown	112,669,996	112,827,837	112,691,976	112,381,580	111,810,590
Putnam Valley	86,166,652	83,663,810	81,256,771	80,502,105	81,903,845
<b>Total Assessed Values</b>	<b><u>\$384,728,500</u></b>	<b><u>\$382,171,404</u></b>	<b><u>\$379,908,466</u></b>	<b><u>\$378,786,296</u></b>	<b><u>\$379,233,927</u></b>
<b>Equalization Rates:</b>					
Cortlandt	1.87%	1.86%	2.02%	1.88%	1.84%
Somers	12.67	13.00	13.80	13.08	12.95
Yorktown	2.59	2.66	2.77	2.51	2.56
Carmel	60.00	62.60	62.60	60.00	59.00
Phillipstown	49.10	49.00	46.35	46.43	46.50
Putnam Valley	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
<b>Full Values:</b>					
Cortlandt	\$1,870,126,364	\$1,886,700,753	\$1,715,520,000	\$1,847,328,085	\$1,879,205,000
Somers	27,362,431	26,419,192	27,648,855	30,050,910	31,608,958
Yorktown	1,876,605,444	1,816,688,421	1,745,249,278	1,933,787,809	1,910,442,109
Carmel	164,749,313	157,873,348	158,382,217	164,506,850	163,289,070
Phillipstown	229,470,460	230,260,892	243,132,634	242,045,186	240,815,400
Putnam Valley	86,166,652	83,663,810	81,256,771	80,502,105	81,903,845
<b>Total Full Values</b>	<b><u>\$4,254,480,664</u></b>	<b><u>\$4,201,606,416</u></b>	<b><u>\$3,971,189,755</u></b>	<b><u>\$4,298,220,945</u></b>	<b><u>\$4,307,264,382</u></b>
<b>Annual Tax Levy</b>	<b><u>\$108,193,501</u></b>	<b><u>\$111,361,730</u></b>	<b><u>\$111,918,539</u></b>	<b><u>\$112,478,132</u></b>	<b><u>\$114,608,938</u></b>
<b>Tax Rates Per \$1,000</b>					
<b>Assessed Valuation:</b>					
Cortlandt	\$1,353.48	\$1,419.11	\$1,396.44	\$1,390.60	\$1,422.93
Somers	199.84	203.12	204.41	200.02	202.00
Yorktown	977.67	992.70	1,018.34	1,042.33	1,233.36
Carmel	44.08	44.52	45.77	42.44	44.32
Phillipstown	53.09	54.58	58.47	57.29	57.31
Putnam Valley	26.57	27.50	29.41	26.57	26.61

Source: District officials, County and the State Office of Real Property Taxes (the "ORPTS").

## ***Tax Limit***

The Constitution does not limit the amount that may be raised by the District-wide tax levy on real estate in any fiscal year. However, Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011, as amended, imposes a statutory limit on the amount of real property taxes that a school district may levy. (See “*The Tax Levy Limit Law*” herein.)

### ***The Tax Levy Limit Law***

Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011, as amended, (herein referred to as the “Tax Levy Limit Law” or “Law”) modified previous law by imposing a limit on the amount of real property taxes that a school district may levy. Prior to the enactment of the Law, there was no statutory limitation on the amount of real property taxes that a school district could levy if its budget had been approved by a simple majority of its voters. In the event the budget had been defeated by the voters, the school district was required to adopt a contingency budget. Under a contingency budget, school budget increases were limited to the lesser of four percent (4%) of the prior year’s budget or one hundred twenty percent (120%) of the consumer price index (“CPI”).

The Tax Levy Limit Law imposes a limitation on the amount of tax levy growth from one fiscal year to the next. Such limitation is the lesser of (i) 2% or (ii) the annual percentage increase in the consumer price index, as described in the Law. A budget with a tax levy that does not exceed such limit will require approval by at least 50% of the voters. Approval by at least 60% of the voters will be required for a budget with a tax levy increase in excess of the limit. In the event the voters reject the budget, or a subsequent resubmitted budget, the tax levy for the school district’s budget for the ensuing fiscal year may not exceed the amount of the tax levy for the prior fiscal year.

The Law permits certain significant exclusions to the tax levy limit for school districts. These include taxes to pay the local share of debt service on bonds or notes issued to finance voter approved capital expenditures (such as the Notes) and the refinancing or refunding of such bonds or notes, certain pension cost increases, and other items enumerated in the Law. However, such exclusion does NOT apply to taxes to pay debt service on tax anticipation notes, revenue anticipation notes, budget notes and deficiency notes; and any obligations issued to finance deficits and certain judgments, including tax certiorari refund payments. (See “*Nature of Obligation*” herein).

On February 20, 2013, the New York State United Teachers (“NYSUT”) and several individuals filed a lawsuit in State Supreme Court in Albany County seeking a declaratory judgment and a preliminary injunction that the Tax Levy Limitation Law is unconstitutional as it applies to public school districts. On September 23, 2014, a justice of the New York State Supreme Court dismissed each of NYSUT’s causes of action but granted NYSUT’s motion to amend the complaint. NYSUT subsequently served a second amended complaint seeking a preliminary injunction and challenging the Tax Levy Limitation Law as violative of the Education Article of the New York State Constitution, the Equal Protection and Due Process clauses and the First Amendment. On March 16, 2015 a New York State Supreme Court Justice denied NYSUT’s motion for a preliminary injunction and dismissed all causes of action contained in NYSUT’s second amended complaint. NYSUT appealed the decision to continue its challenge to the constitutionality of the Tax Levy Limitation Law. On May 5, 2016 the Appellate Division upheld the lower court dismissal, noting that while the State is required to provide the opportunity of a sound basic education, the Constitution “does not require that equal educational offerings be provided to every student”, and further noted “the legitimate government interest of restraining crippling property tax increases”. Press reports indicate that NYSUT is reviewing the decision and is likely to appeal to the Court of Appeals.

### ***Real Property Tax Rebate***

Chapter 59 of the Laws of 2014 (“Chapter 59”), included provisions which provided a refundable personal income tax credit to real property taxpayers in school districts and certain municipal units of government. Real property owners in school districts were eligible for this credit in the 2014 and 2015 taxable years of those property owners. Real property taxpayers in certain other municipal units of government were eligible for this credit in the 2015 and 2016 taxable years of those real property taxpayers. The eligibility of real property taxpayers for the tax credit in each year depended on such jurisdiction’s compliance with the provisions of the Tax Levy Limitation Law. School districts budgets must have complied in their 2014-2015 and 2015-2016 fiscal years. Other municipal units of government must have had their budgets in compliance for their 2015 and 2016 fiscal years. Such budgets must have

been within the tax cap limits set by the Tax Levy Limit Law for the real property taxpayers to be eligible for this personal income tax credit. The affected jurisdictions included counties, cities (other than any city with a population of one million or more and its counties), towns, villages, school districts (other than the dependent school districts of New York City, Buffalo, Rochester, Syracuse and Yonkers, the latter four of which were indirectly affected by applicability to their respective city) and independent special districts.

Certain additional restrictions on the amount of the personal income tax credit were set forth in Chapter 59 in order for the tax cap to qualify as one which would have provided the tax credit benefit to such real property taxpayers. The refundable personal income tax credit amount was increased in the second year if compliance occurred in both taxable years.

For the second taxable year of the program, the refundable personal income tax credit for real property taxpayers was additionally contingent upon adoption by the school district or municipal unit of a state approved "government efficiency plan" which demonstrated "three year savings and efficiencies of at least one per cent per year from shared services, cooperation agreements and/or mergers or efficiencies".

Municipalities, school districts and independent special districts were required to provide certification of compliance with the requirements of the new provisions to certain state officials in order to render their real property taxpayers eligible for the personal income tax credit.

While the provisions of Chapter 59 did not directly further restrict the taxing power of the affected municipalities, school districts and special districts, they did provide an incentive for such tax levies to remain with the tax cap limits established by the Tax Levy Limit Law. The District complied with the provisions of Chapter 59 and its taxpayers received the rebates provided in 2015 and 2016.

An additional real property tax rebate program applicable solely to school districts was enacted by Chapter 20 of the Laws of 2015, signed into law by the Governor on June 26, 2015 which generally extends the provisions of the program through 2019 and includes continued tax cap compliance.

### ***Tax Collection Procedures***

**Westchester County Towns.** Real property taxes for school purposes are levied by the District but are collected by the towns comprising the District. Such taxes may be paid in two equal installments on September 1 and January 1 and may be paid without penalty on or before September 30 and January 31, respectively. Delinquent school tax payments are assessed penalties in accordance with an ascending scale which starts at 2% in the month of October and increases to a maximum of 12% for all payments received the following April and thereafter. According to the Westchester County Tax Code, the towns must remit school tax collections to the District by the fifth day of the month following their collection. The towns are obligated to pay the District the full amount of its current tax levy by April 1.

**Putnam County Towns.** Real property taxes for school purposes are collected by the District. School taxes are levied on September 1 and are payable in full on or before September 30 without penalty. Taxes may also be paid in two installments on September 15 and March 15 with a 4% penalty attached to each installment. Putnam County is required to pay the District the amount of its uncollected taxes within ten days after receiving the statement of unpaid school taxes. The District is therefore guaranteed 100% of its real property tax levy during the current fiscal year.

### ***STAR - School Tax Exemption***

The STAR (School Tax Relief) program provides State-funded exemptions from school property taxes to homeowners for their primary residences. Homeowners over 65 years of age with household adjusted gross incomes, less the taxable amount of total distributions from individual retirement accounts and individual retirement annuities ("STAR Adjusted Gross Income") of \$86,000 or less, increased annually according to a cost of living adjustment, are eligible for a "full value" exemption of the first \$65,300 for the 2016-17 school year (adjusted annually). Other homeowners with household STAR Adjusted Gross income not in excess of \$500,000 are eligible



for a \$30,000 “full value” exemption on their primary residence. School districts receive full reimbursement from the State for real property taxes exempted pursuant to the STAR program by the first business day in January of each year.

Part A of Chapter 60 of the Laws of 2016 of the State of New York (“Chapter 60”) gradually converts the STAR program from a real property tax exemption to a personal income tax credit. Chapter 60 prohibits new STAR exemptions from being granted unless at least one of the applicants held title to the property on the taxable status date of the assessment roll that was used to levy school district taxes for the 2015-2016 school year (generally, March 1, 2015), and the property was granted a STAR exemption on that assessment roll. However, a new homeowner may receive a new personal income tax credit in the form of a check. The dollar benefit to eligible taxpayers will not change. A taxpayer who is eligible for the new credit will receive a check from the State equal to the amount by which the STAR exemption would have reduced his or her school tax bill. A homeowner who owned his or her home on the taxable status date for the assessment roll used to levy taxes for the 2015-2016 school year, and who received a STAR exemption on that roll, may continue to receive a STAR exemption on that home as long as he or she still owns and primarily resides in it. No further action is required (unless the homeowner has been receiving Basic STAR and wants to apply for Enhanced STAR, which is permissible).

The State 2017-18 Enacted Budget includes changes to Chapter 60. STAR checks are now expected to be mailed out prior to the date that school taxes are payable. The amount of the check will be based on the previous year’s amount adjusted by the levy growth factor used for the property tax cap. Any changes that must be made based on the final STAR credit compared to the estimate used will be factored into the subsequent year’s STAR credit check or taxpayers also may account for those changes in their State income taxes.

Approximately 21% of the District’s 2016-17 school tax levy was exempted by the STAR program and the District has received full reimbursement of such exempt taxes from the State. District officials expect a similar percentage of the of the District’s 2017-18 school tax levy to be exempted by the STAR program and the District expects to receive full reimbursement of such exempt taxes from the State in January 2018 (See “*State Aid*” herein).

### ***Ten of the Largest Taxpayers***

#### **2015 Valuations for 2016-17 Taxes**

<u>Taxpayer's Name</u>	<u>Nature of Business</u>	<u>Full Valuation</u>	<u>% of Total Full Valuation <sup>(1)</sup></u>
Consolidated Edison	Utility	\$111,725,093	2.59%
Acadia Cortlandt LLC <sup>(2)</sup>	Shopping Center	81,084,239	1.88
Jefferson Valley Mall	Regional Shopping Center	72,277,344	1.68
New Chalet Apartments	Condominiums	21,478,516	0.50
Yorkcon Properties	Retail/Office	14,736,413	0.34
Curry Propertyies LLC	Real Estate Company	13,629,076	0.32
HD Development of Maryland <sup>(2)</sup>	Retail	10,532,609	0.24
Seritage SRC Finance LLC	Retail	9,975,000	0.23
NYSE&G	Utility	9,367,188	0.23
Omalley	Office Building	9,307,944	0.22
		<u>\$354,113,422</u>	<u>8.22%</u>

(1) The total full valuation of the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017 is \$4,307,264,382.

(2) Taxpayer has pending tax certiorari claim for one or more years. See “*Litigation*” herein for a discussion of such matters.

## DISTRICT INDEBTEDNESS

### *Constitutional Requirements*

The New York State Constitution and Local Finance Law limit the power of the District (and other municipalities and school districts of the State) to issue obligations and to contract indebtedness. Such constitutional and statutory limitations include the following, in summary form, and are generally applicable to the District and the Notes:

**Purpose and Pledge.** The District shall not give or loan any money or property to or in aid of any individual, or private corporation or private undertaking or give or loan its credit to or in aid of any of the foregoing or any public corporation.

The District may contract indebtedness only for a District purpose and shall pledge its faith and credit for the payment of principal of and interest thereon.

**Payment and Maturity.** Except for certain short-term indebtedness contracted in anticipation of taxes, or to be paid within three fiscal year periods, indebtedness shall be paid in annual installments commencing no later than two years after the date such indebtedness shall have been contracted and ending no later than the periods of probable usefulness of the objects or purposes determined by statute or the weighted average period of probable usefulness of the several objects or purposes contracted therefor; no installment may be more than fifty per centum in excess of the smallest prior installment, unless the District has authorized the issuance of indebtedness having substantially level or declining annual debt service. The District is required to provide an annual appropriation for the payment of interest due during the year on its indebtedness and for the amounts required in such year for amortization and redemption of its serial bonds, bond anticipation notes and capital notes.

**General.** The District is further subject to constitutional limitation by the general constitutionally imposed duty on the State Legislature to restrict the power of taxation and contracting indebtedness to prevent abuses in the exercise of such power; however, the State Legislature is prohibited by a specific constitutional provision from restricting the power of the District to levy taxes on real estate for the payment of interest on or principal of indebtedness theretofore contracted. There is no constitutional limitation on the amount that may be raised by the District by tax on real estate in any fiscal year to pay principal of and interest on all indebtedness. However, the Tax Levy Limit Law imposes a statutory limitation on the power of the District to increase its annual tax levy. (See “*Nature of the Obligation*” and “*The Tax Levy Limit Law*” herein).

### *Statutory Procedure*

In general, the State Legislature has, by the enactment of the Local Finance Law, authorized the powers and procedure for the District to borrow and incur indebtedness subject, of course, to the constitutional provisions set forth above. The power to spend money, however, generally derives from other law, including the Education Law.

The District is generally required by such laws to submit propositions for the expenditure of money for capital purposes to the qualified electors of the District. Upon approval thereby, the Board of Education may adopt a bond resolution authorizing the issuance of bonds and notes in anticipation of the bonds. With respect to certain school building construction projects, the District is not permitted to spend in excess of \$100,000 until the plans and specifications of such project have been approved by the Commissioner of Education of the State.

The Local Finance Law also provides a twenty-day statute of limitations after publication of a bond resolution, together with a statutory form of notice which, in effect, estops legal challenges to the validity of obligations authorized by such bond resolution except for alleged constitutional violations. The District has complied with such procedure with respect to the Notes.

The Board of Education, as the finance board of the District, also has the power to authorize the sale and issuance of bonds and notes, including the Notes. However, such finance board may delegate the power to sell the Notes, to the President of the Board of Education, the chief fiscal officer of the District, pursuant to the Local Finance Law.

**Debt Limit.** Pursuant to the Local Finance Law, the District has the power to contract indebtedness for any District purpose authorized by the Legislature of the State of New York provided the aggregate amount thereof shall not exceed ten per centum of the full valuation of taxable real estate of the District and subject to certain enumerated exclusions and deductions such as State aid for building purposes. The constitutional and statutory method for determining full valuation consists of taking the assessed valuation of taxable real estate for the last completed assessment roll and applying thereto the ratio (equalization rate) which such assessed valuation bears to the full valuation; such ratio is determined by the State Board of Real Property Services. The State Legislature is required to prescribe the manner by which such ratio shall be determined by such authority.

There is no constitutional limitation on the amount of real property taxes which may be levied in any fiscal year to pay the principal of and interest on the Notes. Further, the New York Constitution prohibits the State Legislature from restricting the power of the District to levy real estate taxes for the payment of principal of and interest on indebtedness authorized and issued under the Local Finance Law. However, Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011, as amended, imposes a statutory limit on the District's power to increase its annual real property tax levy, including such taxes to pay the principal of and interest on the Notes. (See "*The Tax Levy Limit Law*," herein).

***Constitutional Debt-Contracting Limitation***

**Computation of Debt Contracting Limitation  
As of July 16, 2017**

Town	Assessed Valuations	Equalization Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	Full Valuations
Cortlandt	\$34,557,372	1.84%	\$1,879,205,000
Somers	4,093,360	12.95	31,608,958
Yorktown	48,907,318	2.56	1,910,442,109
Carmel	97,961,442	59.00	163,289,070
Phillipstown	111,810,590	46.50	240,815,400
Putnam Valley	81,903,845	100.00	81,903,845
Total Full Valuation			<u>\$4,307,264,382</u>
Debt-Contracting Limitation: (10% of Full Valuation)			<u><u>\$ 430,726,438</u></u>

(1) Final rates as established by the ORPTS.

*(The remainder of this page has been intentionally left blank.)*

**Statutory Debt Limit and Net Indebtedness**

**Statement of Debt Limit and Net Indebtedness  
As of July 16, 2017**

	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Percentage of Debt Limit</u>
Debt Contracting Limitation:	<u>\$430,726,438</u>	<u>100.00%</u>
Gross Indebtedness:		
Serial Bonds <sup>(1)</sup>	36,375,000	8.45
Bond Anticipation Notes	<u>2,706,744</u>	<u>0.63</u>
	<u>39,081,744</u>	<u>9.07</u>
Deductions <sup>(2)</sup>	<u>-0-</u>	<u>0.00</u>
Net Direct Debt	<u>39,081,744</u>	<u>9.07</u>
Net Debt Contracting Margin	<u>\$391,644,694</u>	<u>90.93%</u>

(1) Does not include energy performance contract lease outstanding in the amount of \$2,413,543 as of June 30, 2017.

(2) The District estimates that it will receive approximately \$19.4 million State School Building Aid but such amount has not been certified by the State and no deduction has been taken to compute the District's debt limit.

**Short-Term Indebtedness**

Bond anticipation notes may be sold to provide moneys for capital projects once a bond resolution has been adopted. Generally, bond anticipation notes are issued in anticipation of the sale of bonds at some future date and may be renewed from time to time up to five years from the date of the first note. Notes may not be renewed after the second year unless there is a principal payment on such notes from a source other than the proceeds of bonds. In no event, may bond anticipation notes be renewed after the sale of bonds in anticipation of which the notes were originally issued.

The District is also authorized by law to issue tax anticipation notes and revenue anticipation notes to provide cash to pay operating expenditures. Borrowings for these purposes are restricted by formulas contained in the Local Finance Law and the Regulations issued under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. Such notes may be renewed from time to time generally not beyond three years in the case of revenue anticipation notes, and five years for tax anticipation notes. In common with many school districts in the State, the District from time to time finds it necessary to borrow in anticipation of the receipt of its real property taxes.

The District last issued tax anticipation notes in the fiscal year ending June 30, 2007 and last issued revenue anticipation notes in the fiscal year ending June 30, 2003.

The District does not anticipate the need to issue tax or revenue anticipation notes for the current fiscal year ending June 30, 2018.

### ***Bond Anticipation Notes***

The following table shows the amount of bond anticipation notes currently outstanding, the purposes for which they were issued, dates of original issuance and the current maturity date. These notes will be redeemed from proceeds of the Notes and \$757,548 of cash on hand.

#### **Bond Anticipation Notes Outstanding as of July 16, 2017**

<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Original Issue Date</u>	<u>Current Maturity</u>	<u>Amount Outstanding</u>
Purchase of Buses & Vehicles	08-30-12	08-25-17	132,000
Purchase of Buses & Vehicles	08-28-14	08-25-17	542,544
Purchase of Buses & Vehicles	08-27-15	08-25-17	765,200
Purchase of Buses & Vehicles	08-25-16	08-25-17	<u>1,267,000</u>
Total			<u><u>\$2,706,744</u></u>

### ***Energy Performance Contract***

The District has an Energy Performance Contract outstanding in the amount of \$2,413,543 as of June 30, 2017. A principal payment of \$581,730 will be made during the 2017-18 year.

### ***Trend of Capital Indebtedness***

The following table sets forth the total capital indebtedness outstanding at the end of each of the last completed five fiscal years.

#### **Fiscal Years Ending June 30**

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>
Bonds	\$42,730,000	\$38,865,000	\$34,850,000	\$30,700,000	\$36,375,000
Bond Anticipation Notes	<u>1,734,000</u>	<u>1,051,200</u>	<u>1,580,684</u>	<u>2,011,892</u>	<u>2,706,744</u>
Total	<u><u>\$44,464,000</u></u>	<u><u>\$39,916,200</u></u>	<u><u>\$36,430,684</u></u>	<u><u>\$32,711,892</u></u>	<u><u>\$39,081,744</u></u>

## Overlapping and Underlying Debt

In addition to the District, the following political subdivisions have the power to issue bonds and to levy taxes or cause taxes to be levied on taxable real property in the District. The estimated gross outstanding indebtedness (bonds and notes) of such political subdivisions, based on information furnished by such entities, but not independently verified, is as follows:

### Statement of Direct and Overlapping Indebtedness As of July 16, 2017

Gross Direct Indebtedness	\$39,081,744
Exclusions and Deductions	-0-
	<hr/>
Net Direct Indebtedness	<u>\$39,081,744</u>

<u>Overlapping Units</u>	<u>Date of Report</u>	<u>Net Indebtedness</u>	<u>Percent Applicable</u>	<u>Applicable Net Indebtedness</u>
Counties:				
Westchester County	10/31/16	\$587,520,537	2.33%	\$13,689,229
Putnam County	06/21/17	56,379,575	3.67	2,069,130
Towns:				
Cortlandt	06/16/17	6,396,000	35.99	2,301,920
Somers	06/16/17	6,215,000	0.73	45,370
Yorktown	06/16/17	22,063,789	40.60	8,957,898
Carmel	06/16/17	19,371,980	3.60	697,391
Philipstown	12/31/15	1,186,423	11.78	139,761
Putnam Valley	12/31/15	1,508,192	5.30	<u>79,934</u>
Total Overlapping Indebtedness				<u><u>\$27,980,633</u></u>

## Debt Ratios

The following table sets forth certain debt ratios relating to the District's indebtedness as of December 16, 2016.

	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Debt Per Capita <sup>(1)</sup></u>	<u>Debt To Full Value <sup>(2)</sup></u>
Net Direct:	\$39,081,744	\$1,078	90.73%
Net Direct and Overlapping Debt:	67,062,377	1,850	1.56

(1) The District's population for 2015, according to the U.S. Census Bureau, is estimated at 36,251.

(2) The District's estimated full valuation of taxable real estate for 2016-2017 is \$4,307,264,382

## Authorized and Unissued Debt

The District has \$4,496,107 remaining authorized but unissued debt for the safety and security project.

Other than periodic issuances for the purchase of buses and equipment, District officials do not anticipate the need to issue additional capital debt in the foreseeable future.

The District issues annually for the replacement of buses and school district vehicles

## Debt Service Schedule

The following table presents the debt service requirements to maturity on the District's outstanding general obligation bonded indebtedness.

Fiscal Years Ending June 30:	Principal	Interest	Total Debt Service	Cumulative Principal Paid
2017 (1)	\$ 4,325,000	\$1,382,296	5,707,296	10.63%
2018	5,080,000	1,207,660	6,287,660	23.11
2019	5,255,000	973,885	6,228,885	36.02
2020	5,425,000	741,050	6,166,050	49.35
2021	4,525,000	526,650	5,051,650	60.47
2022	2,755,000	372,215	3,127,215	67.24
2023	2,470,000	283,965	2,753,965	73.30
2024	2,515,000	228,140	2,743,140	79.48
2025	2,555,000	169,894	2,724,894	85.76
2026	1,070,000	125,250	1,195,250	88.39
2027	1,100,000	95,800	1,195,800	91.09
2028	700,000	65,500	765,500	92.81
2029	710,000	51,400	761,400	94.56
2030	725,000	37,050	762,050	96.34
2031	740,000	22,400	762,400	98.16
2032	750,000	7,500	757,500	100.00
Totals	<u>\$40,700,000</u>	<u>\$6,290,655</u>	<u>\$46,990,655</u>	

(1) As of July 16, 2017 the District has paid \$4,325,000 in principal and \$1,382,296 in interest for payments due on serial bonds during the fiscal year ending June 30, 2017.

## ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

### Population

	2000	2010	2015	% Change	
				2000-2010	2010-2015
Towns					
Yorktown	36,318	36,081	36,746	(0.7%)	1.8%
Cortlandt	38,467	41,592	42,442	8.3	2.0
County	923,459	949,113	967,315	2.8	1.9
State	18,976,457	19,378,102	19,673,174	2.1	1.5

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

### Income

The following tables present per capita money income statistics for each of the major towns comprising the District, and comparative information for the County and State. However, such information is not necessarily representative of the District as a whole.

#### Per Capita Money Income

	2010	2015	% Change
Towns:			
Cortlandt	\$42,815	\$47,882	11.8%
Yorktown	44,667	48,697	9.0
County	47,814	48,885	2.2
State	30,948	33,236	7.4

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census (American FactFinder). American Community Survey 5-Year Estimate.

**Median Income of Families  
2015**

	Median Income	Income Groups - % of Families				
		Under \$25,000	\$25,000 -49,999	\$50,000 -74,999	\$75,000 -99,999	\$100,000 or More
Yorktown	\$131,021	1.9%	12.3%	10.2%	10.4%	65.2%
Cortlandt	125,233	7.2	9.9	12.3	10.0	60.6
County	108,108	9.7	14.0	12.3	10.4	53.6
State	71,913	16.0	19.1	16.8	13.2	34.9

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census (American FactFinder). American Community Survey 5-Year Estimate.

***Employment***

The following tables present employment and unemployment information for each of the major towns comprising the District and comparative information for the County and State. However, such information is not necessarily representative of the District as a whole.

**Average Unemployment Rates**

Year	Town of Cortlandt	Town of Yorktown	County	State	United States
2011	6.5%	6.8%	7.1%	8.3%	8.9%
2012	6.8	7.2	7.3	8.5	8.1
2013	6.0	6.0	6.3	7.7	7.4
2014	4.8	4.9	5.1	6.3	6.2
2015	4.2	4.4	4.6	5.3	5.3
2016	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.8	4.9
2017 <sup>(1)</sup>					
Jan	3.8	4.2	4.5	4.9	5.1
Feb	4.2	4.6	4.4	5.0	4.9
Mar	3.6	3.7	4.1	4.4	4.6
Apr	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.1
May	3.8	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.1

(1) Monthly Rates.

Source: New York State Labor Department and U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

**Major Private Sector Employers in the County**

Name of Business	Nature of The Business
* Consolidated Edison	Utility services
Entergy Nuclear Northeast	Energy Provider
* IBM Corporation	Computer products and research services
ITT Corp	Water and fluid management
* MasterCard	Financial services
Pace University	Private university
* Pepsico, Inc.	Soft drinks and snack foods
Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Pharmaceuticals
St. John's Riverside Hospital	Hospital and health care services
Westchester Medical Center	Hospital and health care services
White Plains Hospital	Hospital and health care services

\* Headquarters or major branch operations in Westchester.

Source: Official Statement of Westchester County, dated April 1, 2016. Compiled by the Westchester Business Journal as of February 2016.



### ***Transportation***

The District is served by all major forms of transportation. Highway facilities providing access to the District include U.S. Routes 9, 6 and 202, State Routes 9A, 9D and 129 and the Taconic State Parkway. Railroad passenger service is available from the Hudson Division of the Metro North Commuter Railroad. The Westchester County Airport, the New York City area airports (LaGuardia, Kennedy and Newark) as well as Stewart International Airport in Newburgh are easily accessible to residents of the District and provide domestic and international air service on a regular basis.

### ***Utilities***

Consolidated Edison Co., New York State Electric and Gas and Verizon provide residents of the District with basic utilities. Water and sewer systems are a combination of municipal and private systems.

**END OF APPENDIX A**

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

**APPENDIX B**

**UNAUDITED SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND BUDGETS**

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

**LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT OF SHRUB OAK  
GENERAL FUND  
BALANCE SHEET  
UNAUDITED PRESENTATION**

**AS OF JUNE 30:**

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
<b>ASSETS</b>					
Unrestricted Cash	\$ 26,699,523	\$ 28,129,000	\$ 34,121,551	\$ 41,196,937	\$ 41,433,530
Receivables:					
Accounts Receivable	66,595	95,667	91,901	161,140	75,803
State and Federal Aid	2,779,843	3,246,372	2,910,906	4,408,367	4,038,949
Due From Other Governments	661,865	784,797	803,977	675,762	597,026
Due From Other Funds	1,807,561	1,837,544	1,640,618	1,883,669	9,297,757
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 32,015,387</b>	<b>\$ 34,093,380</b>	<b>\$ 39,568,953</b>	<b>\$ 48,325,875</b>	<b>\$ 55,443,065</b>
<b>LIABILITIES, EQUITY AND OTHER CREDITS</b>					
Liabilities:					
Accounts Payable	\$ 209,509	\$ 439,703	\$ 348,123	\$ 333,026	\$ 213,430
Accrued Liability	431,306	457,973	553,472	553,985	486,602
Due To Other Funds	54,254	1,819,897	349,161	293,072	600,000
Due To Other Governments	99,050	99,050	0	0	0
Due To Retirement Systems	8,449,583	9,017,337	11,499,807	12,154,508	9,352,473
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>9,243,702</b>	<b>11,833,960</b>	<b>12,750,563</b>	<b>13,334,591</b>	<b>10,652,505</b>
Deferred Inflows Of Resources					
State Aid	0	0	0	0	606,302
<b>Total Liabilities and Deferred Inflows Of Resources</b>	<b>9,243,702</b>	<b>11,833,960</b>	<b>12,750,563</b>	<b>13,334,591</b>	<b>11,258,807</b>
Fund Equity:					
Nonspendable	546,696	546,696	546,696	606,302	0
Restricted	6,587,441	6,046,540	8,914,274	14,808,351	20,432,703
Assigned	9,351,841	9,281,069	10,910,454	13,129,666	17,304,590
Unassigned	6,285,707	6,385,115	6,446,966	6,446,965	6,446,965
<b>Total Fund Equity</b>	<b>22,771,685</b>	<b>22,259,420</b>	<b>26,818,390</b>	<b>34,991,284</b>	<b>44,184,258</b>
<b>Total Liabilities and Fund Equity</b>	<b>\$ 32,015,387</b>	<b>\$ 34,093,380</b>	<b>\$ 39,568,953</b>	<b>\$ 48,325,875</b>	<b>\$ 55,443,065</b>

The financial data presented on this page has been excerpted from the audited financial statements of the District. Such presentation, however, has not been audited. Complete copies of the District's audited financial statements are available upon request to the District.

**LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT OF SHRUB OAK**  
**GENERAL FUND**  
**STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES**  
**AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE**  
**UNAUDITED PRESENTATION**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30:**

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
<b>REVENUES:</b>					
Real Property Taxes	\$ 83,890,536	\$ 85,593,397	\$ 88,654,963	\$ 89,368,333	\$ 89,369,299
Other Tax Items	21,892,531	22,405,561	22,700,505	22,567,647	23,088,755
Non-Property Taxes	1,420,132	1,466,392	1,545,255	1,541,100	1,568,615
Charges For Services	876,086	867,607	1,247,518	1,232,322	1,043,919
Use Of Money And Property	329,097	307,231	248,677	251,843	259,277
Sale Of Property And Compensation For Loss	125,136	161,274	78,888	126,746	147,378
State Aid	35,107,880	35,854,578	36,572,779	38,596,921	38,108,809
Federal Aid	12,167	94,510	95,876	44,175	96,936
Miscellaneous	1,394,907	682,581	526,743	319,454	507,686
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<b>145,048,472</b>	<b>147,433,131</b>	<b>151,671,204</b>	<b>154,048,541</b>	<b>154,190,674</b>
<b>EXPENDITURES:</b>					
Current:					
General Support	14,352,177	13,296,323	12,697,591	12,353,978	11,839,516
Instruction	79,646,756	80,309,230	80,276,658	79,771,188	80,984,893
Pupil Transportation	7,280,228	7,212,086	6,950,453	6,575,957	6,423,004
Employee Benefits	37,321,459	36,772,152	39,326,571	39,535,080	36,616,234
Debt Service	6,766,255	6,299,143	6,320,040	6,286,256	6,227,355
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>145,366,875</b>	<b>143,888,934</b>	<b>145,571,313</b>	<b>144,522,459</b>	<b>142,091,002</b>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures	(318,403)	3,544,197	6,099,891	9,526,082	12,099,672
<b>OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):</b>					
Operating Transfers - In	600,000	0	0	0	0
Operating Transfers - Out	(4,516,455)	(4,056,462)	(1,540,921)	(1,353,188)	(2,906,698)
<b>Total Other Financing Uses</b>	<b>(3,916,455)</b>	<b>(4,056,462)</b>	<b>(1,540,921)</b>	<b>(1,353,188)</b>	<b>(2,906,698)</b>
<b>Net Change in Fund Balance</b>	<b>(4,234,858)</b>	<b>(512,265)</b>	<b>4,558,970</b>	<b>8,172,894</b>	<b>9,192,974</b>
Fund Equity - Beginning of Year	27,006,543	22,771,685	22,259,420	26,818,390	34,991,284
<b>Fund Equity - End of Year</b>	<b>\$ 22,771,685</b>	<b>\$ 22,259,420</b>	<b>\$ 26,818,390</b>	<b>\$ 34,991,284</b>	<b>\$ 44,184,258</b>

The financial data presented on this page has been excerpted from the audited financial statements of the District. Such presentation, however, has not been audited. Complete copies of the District's audited financial statements are available upon request to the District.

**LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT OF SHRUB OAK  
STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED REVENUES AND APPROPRIATIONS  
GENERAL FUND**

	<b>Adopted Budget 2016-2017</b>	<b>Adopted Budget 2017-2018</b>
<b>ESTIMATED REVENUES:</b>		
Real Property Taxes *	\$ 113,040,523	\$ 113,888,327
Real Property Tax Items	15,000	15,000
Non-Property Taxes	1,500,000	1,500,000
Charges and Fees	898,671	890,823
Use of Money and Property	260,000	285,000
Sale of Property and Compensation for Loss	135,000	75,000
Refund of Prior Year Expenses	220,000	220,000
Interfund Revenue	0	0
State Sources	39,437,971	40,247,615
Federal Aid	95,000	95,000
Reserve for ERS/TRS	0	0
	<hr/>	<hr/>
<b>TOTAL ESTIMATED REVENUES</b>	<b>\$ 155,602,165</b>	<b>\$ 157,216,765</b>
	<hr/>	<hr/>
<b>APPROPRIATIONS:</b>		
General Support	13,604,026	13,664,240
Instruction	83,993,382	84,820,545
Pupil Transportation	8,534,228	7,991,103
Employee Benefits	40,643,405	39,507,636
Debt Service	6,799,124	7,733,241
Interfund Transfers	7,600,000	9,000,000
	<hr/>	<hr/>
<b>TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS</b>	<b>\$ 161,174,165</b>	<b>\$ 162,716,765</b>
	<hr/>	<hr/>
<b>APPROPRIATED FUND BALANCE</b>	<b>\$ 5,572,000</b>	<b>\$ 5,500,000</b>
	<hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/>

\* Includes STAR reimbursement.

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**



**APPENDIX C**

**LINK TO  
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT  
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED  
JUNE 30, 2016**

**Can be accessed on the Electronic Municipal Market Access (“EMMA”) website  
of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (“MSRB”)  
at the following link:**

**<https://emma.msrb.org/ES1182018.pdf>**

**The audited financial statements referenced above are hereby incorporated into the attached Official Statement.**

**\* Such Financial Statements and opinion are intended to be representative only as of the date thereof. PKF O'Connor Davies, LLP has not been requested by the District to further review and/or update such Financial Statements or opinion in connection with the preparation and dissemination of this Official Statement.**

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

**APPENDIX D**

**FORM OF BOND COUNSEL OPINION**

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP  
28 Liberty Street  
New York, New York 10005

August 24, 2017

The Board of Education of  
Lakeland Central School District of Shrub Oak,  
in the County of Westchester, New York

We have acted as Bond Counsel to the Lakeland Central School District of Shrub Oak (the "School District"), in the County of Westchester, a school district of the State of New York and have examined a record of proceedings relating to the authorization, sale and issuance of the \$3,374,196 Bond Anticipation Notes for Vehicles - 2017 (the "Note"), dated and delivered on the date hereof.

We have examined a record of proceedings relating to the Note for purposes of this opinion. In such examination, we have assumed the genuineness of all signatures, the authenticity of all documents submitted to us as originals and the conformity with originals of all documents submitted to us as copies thereof.

Based upon and subject to the foregoing, and in reliance thereon, as of the date hereof, we are of the following opinions:

1. The Note is a valid and legally binding general obligations of the School District for which the School District has validly pledged its faith and credit and, unless paid from other sources, all the taxable real property within the School District is subject to the levy of ad valorem real estate taxes to pay the Note without limitation as to rate or amount. The enforceability of rights or remedies with respect to such Note may be limited by bankruptcy, insolvency, or other laws affecting creditors' rights or remedies heretofore or hereafter enacted.

2. Under existing statutes and court decisions and assuming continuing compliance with certain tax certifications described herein, (i) interest on the Note is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes pursuant to Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and (ii) interest on the Note is not treated as a preference item in calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations under the Code; such interest, however, is included in the adjusted current earnings of certain corporations for purposes of calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed on such corporations.

The Code establishes certain requirements that must be met subsequent to the issuance of the Note in order that the interest on the Note be and remain excludable from gross income under Section 103 of the Code. These requirements include, but are not limited to, requirements relating to the use and expenditure of proceeds of the Note, restrictions on the investment of proceeds of the Note prior to expenditure and the requirement that certain earnings be rebated to the federal government. Noncompliance with such requirements may cause the interest on the Note to become subject to federal income taxation retroactive to the date of issuance thereof, irrespective of the date on which such noncompliance occurs or is ascertained.

On the date of issuance of the Note, the School District will execute a Tax Certificate relating to the Note containing provisions and procedures pursuant to which such requirements can be satisfied. In executing the Tax Certificate, the School District represents that it will comply with the provisions and procedures set forth therein and that it will do and perform all acts and things necessary or desirable to assure that the interest on the Note will, for federal income tax purposes, be excluded from gross income.

In rendering the opinion in this paragraph 2, we have relied upon and assumed (i) the material accuracy of the School District's representations, statements of intention and reasonable expectations, and certifications of fact contained in the Tax Certificate with respect to matters affecting the status of the interest on the Note, and (ii) compliance by the School District with the procedures and representations set forth in the Tax Certificate as to such tax matters.

3. Under existing statutes, interest on the Note is exempt from personal income taxes of New York State and its political subdivisions, including The City of New York.

Except as stated in paragraphs 2 and 3 above, we express no opinion as to any other federal, state or local tax consequences with respect to the Note or the ownership or disposition thereof. Further, we express no opinion herein as to the effect of any action hereafter taken or not taken in reliance upon an opinion of other counsel on the exclusion from gross income for federal income tax purposes of the interest on the Note, or under state and local tax law.

We render our opinion under existing statutes and court decisions as of the date of issuance of the Note, and we assume no obligation to update, revise or supplement this opinion after the issue date to reflect any action hereafter taken or not taken, or any facts or circumstances, or any change in law or in interpretations thereof, or otherwise, that may hereafter arise or occur, or for any other reason.

We give no assurances as to the adequacy, sufficiency or completeness of the Official Statement or any proceedings, reports, correspondence, financial statements or other documents, containing financial or other documents, containing financial or other information relative to the School District which have been or may hereafter be furnished or disclosed to purchasers of ownership interests in said Note.

Very truly yours,

**APPENDIX E**

**FORM OF UNDERTAKING TO PROVIDE TIMELY NOTICES OF EVENTS**

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**



## UNDERTAKING TO PROVIDE NOTICES OF EVENTS

### Section 1. Definitions

“EMMA” shall mean Electronic Municipal Market Access System implemented by the MSRB.

“GAAP” shall mean generally accepted accounting principles as in effect from time to time in the United States.

“Holder” shall mean any registered owner of the Securities and any beneficial owner of Securities within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

“Issuer” shall mean Lakeland Central School District of Shrub Oak, in the County of Westchester, a School District of the State of New York.

“MSRB” shall mean the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board established in accordance with the provisions of Section 15B(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

“Purchaser” shall mean the financial institution referred to in the Certificate of Determination, executed by the President of the Board of Education as of August 24, 2017.

“Rule 15c2-12” shall mean Rule 15c2-12 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended through the date of this Undertaking, including any official interpretations thereof.

“Securities” shall mean the Issuer’s \$3,374,196 Bond Anticipation Notes for Vehicles - 2017, dated August 24, 2017, maturing on August 24, 2018, and delivered on the date hereof.

Section 2. Obligation to Provide Notices of Events. (a) The Issuer hereby undertakes, for the benefit of Holders of the Securities, to provide or cause to be provided to the Electronic Municipal Market Access (“EMMA”) System implemented by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board established pursuant to Section 15B(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or any successor thereto or to the functions of such Board contemplated by the Undertaking, in a timely manner, not in excess of ten (10) business days after the occurrence of any such event, notice of any of the following events with respect to the Securities:

- (1) principal and interest payment delinquencies;
- (2) non-payment related defaults, if material;
- (3) unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties;
- (4) unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties;
- (5) substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform;
- (6) adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB) or other material notices of determinations with respect to the tax status of the Securities, or other material events affecting the tax status of the Securities;
- (7) modifications to rights of Securities holders, if material;
- (8) Bond calls, if material, and tender offers;
- (9) defeasances;

- (10) release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the Securities, if material;
- (11) rating changes;
- (12) bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the Issuer;

Note to clause (12): For the purposes of the event identified in clause (12) above, the event is considered to occur when any of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent or similar officer for the Issuer in a proceeding under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or in any other proceeding under state or federal law in which a court or government authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the Issuer, or if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the existing governing body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and orders of a court or governmental authority, or the entry of an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the Issuer;

- (13) the consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the Issuer or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Issuer, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material; and
- (14) appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a trustee, if material.

(b) Nothing herein shall be deemed to prevent the Issuer from disseminating any other information in addition to that required hereby in the manner set forth herein or in any other manner. If the Issuer disseminates any such additional information, the Issuer shall have no obligation to update such information or include it in any future materials disseminated hereunder.

(c) Nothing herein shall be deemed to prevent the Issuer from providing notice of the occurrence of certain other events, in addition to those listed above, if the Issuer determines that any such other event is material with respect to the Securities; but the Issuer does not undertake to commit to provide any such notice of the occurrence of any event except those events listed above.

Section 3. Remedies. If the Issuer shall fail to comply with any provision of this Undertaking, then any Holder of Securities may enforce, for the equal benefit and protection of all Holders similarly situated, by mandamus or other suit or proceeding at law or in equity, this Undertaking against the Issuer and any of the officers, agents and employees of the Issuer, and may compel the Issuer or any such officers, agents or employees to perform and carry out their duties under this Undertaking; provided that the sole and exclusive remedy for breach of this Undertaking shall be an action to compel specific performance of the obligations of the Issuer hereunder and no person or entity shall be entitled to recover monetary damages hereunder under any circumstances. Failure to comply with any provision of this Undertaking shall not constitute an event of default on the Securities.

Section 4. Parties in Interest. This Undertaking is executed to assist the Purchaser to comply with (b)(5) of the Rule and is delivered for the benefit of the Holders. No other person shall have any right to enforce the provisions hereof or any other rights hereunder.

Section 5. Amendments. Without the consent of any holders of Securities, the Issuer at any time and from time to time may enter into any amendments or changes to this Undertaking for any of the following purposes:

- (a) to comply with or conform to any changes in Rule 15c2-12 (whether required or optional);
- (b) to add a dissemination agent for the information required to be provided hereby and to make any necessary or desirable provisions with respect thereto;
- (c) to evidence the succession of another person to the Issuer and the assumption of any such successor of the duties of the Issuer hereunder;
- (d) to add to the duties of the Issuer for the benefit of the Holders, or to surrender any right or power herein conferred upon the Issuer;
- (e) to cure any ambiguity, to correct or supplement any provision hereof which may be inconsistent with any other provision hereof, or to make any other provisions with respect to matters or questions arising under this Undertaking which, in each case, comply with Rule 15c2-12 or Rule 15c2-12 as in effect at the time of such amendment or change;

provided that no such action pursuant to this Section 5 shall adversely affect the interests of the Holders in any material respect. In making such determination, the Issuer shall rely upon an opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel.

Section 6. Termination. This Undertaking shall remain in full force and effect until such time as all principal, redemption premiums, if any, and interest on the Securities shall have been paid in full or the Securities shall have otherwise been paid or legally defeased in accordance with their terms. Upon any such legal defeasance, the Issuer shall provide notice of such defeasance to the EMMA System. Such notice shall state whether the Securities have been defeased to maturity or to redemption and the timing of such maturity or redemption.

Section 7. Undertaking to Constitute Written Agreement or Contract. This Undertaking shall constitute the written agreement or contract for the benefit of Holders of Securities, as contemplated under Rule 15c2-12.

Section 8. Governing Law. This Undertaking shall be governed by the laws of the State of New York determined without regard to principles of conflict of law.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has duly authorized, executed and delivered this Undertaking as of **August 24, 2017**.

**LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT  
OF SHRUB OAK**

By \_\_\_\_\_  
President of the Board of Education and Chief Fiscal Officer

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**