

## **OFFICIAL STATEMENT**

### **NEW AND RENEWAL ISSUE**

### **BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES**

*In the opinion of Bond Counsel under existing statutes, regulations and court decisions interest on the Notes is excluded from gross income for Federal income tax purposes, and, under the existing statutes, interest on the Notes is exempt from New York State and New York City personal income taxes. In the opinion of Bond Counsel, interest on the Notes is not an item of tax preference for purposes of Federal alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals; however, interest on the Notes held by certain corporate taxpayers is included in the relevant income computation for calculation of Federal alternative minimum tax. No opinion is expressed regarding other Federal tax consequences arising with respect to the Notes. See "Tax Exemption" herein.*

*The District will not designate the Notes as "qualified tax-exempt obligations" pursuant to Section 265(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.*

## **CHAUTAUQUA LAKE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY, NEW YORK**

**\$10,250,000**

### **BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES, 2017 (the "Notes")**

**Dated Date: August 16, 2017**

**Maturity Dates: June 28, 2018**

The Notes are general obligations of the Chautauqua Lake Central School District, in Chautauqua County, New York (the "District"), and will contain a pledge of the faith and credit of the District for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Notes and, unless paid from other sources, the Notes are payable from ad valorem taxes which may be levied upon all the taxable real property within the District, without limitation as to rate or amount. (See "Tax Levy Limitation Law" herein).

The Notes will be issued as registered notes, and at the option of the purchaser, may be registered to The Depository Trust Company ("DTC" or the "Securities Depository") or may be registered in the name of the purchaser.

If the Notes will be issued as registered Notes, the Notes will be registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee of the DTC, New York, New York. DTC will act as securities depository for the Notes. Individual purchases may be made in book-entry form only, in the principal amount of \$5,000 or any integral multiple thereof. Purchasers will not receive certificates representing their ownership interest in the Notes. Payment of the principal of and interest on the Notes will be made by the District to DTC, which will in turn remit such principal and interest to its participants for subsequent disbursement to the beneficial Owners of the Notes as described herein. See "Book-Entry Only System" herein.

If the Notes are registered in the name of the purchaser, principal of and interest on the Notes will be payable in Federal Funds at such bank or trust company located and authorized to do business in the State of New York as may be selected by the successful bidder. In such case, the Notes will be issued in registered form in denominations of \$5,000, or multiples thereof, as may be determined by such successful bidder.

The Notes are offered when, as and if issued and received by the purchasers and subject to the receipt of the final approving opinion of Timothy R. McGill, Esq., Fairport, New York, Bond Counsel. It is anticipated that the Notes will be available for delivery through the offices of DTC in New York, New York or at such place as may be agreed upon with the Purchaser on or about August 16, 2017.

The Notes are dated August 16, 2017 and will bear interest from that date until June 28, 2018, the maturity date. The Notes are NOT subject to redemption prior to maturity.

THE DISTRICT DEEMS THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT TO BE FINAL FOR PURPOSES OF SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION RULE 15c2-12 (THE "RULE"), EXCEPT FOR CERTAIN INFORMATION THAT HAS BEEN OMITTED HEREFROM IN ACCORDANCE WITH SAID RULE AND THAT WILL BE SUPPLIED WHEN THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT IS UPDATED FOLLOWING THE SALE OF THE OBLIGATIONS HEREIN DESCRIBED. THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT WILL BE SO UPDATED UPON REQUEST OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER AS MORE FULLY DESCRIBED IN THE NOTICE OF SALE WITH RESPECT TO THE OBLIGATIONS HEREIN DESCRIBED. THE DISTRICT WILL COVENANT IN AN UNDERTAKING TO PROVIDE DISCLOSURE FOR THE NOTES AS DEFINED IN THE RULE. SEE "DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING," HEREIN.

Dated: July 26, 2017

No dealer, broker, salesman or other person has been authorized by the District to give any information or to make any representations, other than those contained in this Official Statement and if given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon as having been authorized by the foregoing. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy, nor shall there be any sale of the Notes by any person in any jurisdiction in which it is unlawful for such person to make such offer, solicitation or sale. The information set forth herein has been obtained by the District from sources which are believed to be reliable but it is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness. The information and expressions of opinion herein are subject to change without notice and neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the District since the date hereof.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>THE NOTES .....</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>TAX MATTERS .....</b>	<b>4</b>
DESCRIPTION .....	1	<b>LEGAL MATTERS .....</b>	<b>5</b>
AUTHORITY FOR AND PURPOSE OF ISSUE.....	1	<b>DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING .....</b>	<b>5</b>
NATURE OF OBLIGATION .....	2	PRIOR DISCLOSURE HISTORY .....	6
BOOK-ENTRY ONLY SYSTEM .....	2	<b>RATING.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>DOCUMENTS ACCOMPANYING DELIVERY OF THE NOTES .....</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>MUNICIPAL ADVISOR.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>MARKET FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCINGS OF THE STATE AND SCHOOL DISTRICTS OF THE STATE.....</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>7</b>

**APPENDIX A**

<b>THE DISTRICT .....</b>	<b>A-1</b>	<b>REAL PROPERTY TAX REBATE (CHAPTER 59).....</b>	<b>A-14</b>
GENERAL INFORMATION.....	A-1	<b>REAL PROPERTY TAX REBATE (CHAPTER 20).....</b>	<b>A-14</b>
DISTRICT ORGANIZATION .....	A-1	<b>NYSUT LAWSUIT .....</b>	<b>A-15</b>
FINANCIAL ORGANIZATION .....	A-2	<b>TAX COLLECTION PROCEDURE .....</b>	<b>A-15</b>
BUDGETARY PROCEDURE.....	A-2	<b>STAR - SCHOOL TAX EXEMPTION .....</b>	<b>A-15</b>
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND ACCOUNTING PROCEDURES.....	A-2	<b>TEN OF THE LARGEST TAXPAYERS.....</b>	<b>A-16</b>
SCHOOL ENROLLMENT TRENDS.....	A-2	<b>DISTRICT INDEBTEDNESS.....</b>	<b>A-16</b>
DISTRICT FACILITIES .....	A-2	CONSTITUTIONAL REQUIREMENTS .....	A-16
EMPLOYEES .....	A-3	STATUTORY PROCEDURE .....	A-17
EMPLOYEE PENSION BENEFITS .....	A-3	STATUTORY DEBT LIMIT AND NET INDEBTEDNESS.....	A-18
OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS .....	A-5	REMEDIES UPON DEFAULT .....	A-18
INVESTMENT POLICY/PERMITTED INVESTMENTS .	A-6	SHORT-TERM NOTE INDEBTEDNESS.....	A-19
<b>FINANCIAL FACTORS.....</b>	<b>A-7</b>	OUTSTANDING LONG-TERM BOND INDEBTEDNESS.....	A-20
REAL PROPERTY TAXES .....	A-7	TREND OF CAPITAL INDEBTEDNESS .....	A-20
STATE AID .....	A-7	OVERLAPPING AND UNDERLYING DEBT .....	A-20
OTHER REVENUES .....	A-9	DEBT RATIOS .....	A-21
RECENT EVENTS AFFECTING NEW YORK SCHOOL DISTRICTS.....	A-9	AUTHORIZED BUT UNISSUED INDEBTEDNESS.....	A-21
THE STATE COMPTROLLER’S FISCAL STRESS MONITORING SYSTEM .....	A-11	DEBT SERVICE SCHEDULE .....	A-21
NEW YORK STATE COMPTROLLER’S AUDIT .....	A-11	<b>ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC DATA....</b>	<b>A-21</b>
<b>TAX INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>A-12</b>	POPULATION .....	A-21
REAL PROPERTY TAX ASSESSMENTS AND RATES.....	A-12	INCOME.....	A-22
TAX LIMIT .....	A-12	EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT .....	A-22
TAX LEVY LIMITATION LAW .....	A-13	LARGEST EMPLOYEES .....	A-23
		<b>LITIGATION.....</b>	<b>A-23</b>

**CHAUTAUQUA LAKE SCHOOL DISTRICT  
CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY, NEW YORK**

**BOARD OF EDUCATION**

Jay Baker  
PRESIDENT

Mary Lee Talbot ..... Vice President  
Travis Bensink ..... Board Member  
Michael Ludwig ..... Board Member  
Kenneth Shearer ..... Board Member  
Amy Webb ..... Board Member  
Kim Weborg-Benson ..... Board Member

Benjamin Spitzer ..... Superintendent of Schools  
Beth Olson ..... Business Administrator

---

**BOND COUNSEL**

**Timothy R. McGill, Esq.**  
**Fairport, New York**

---

**MUNICIPAL ADVISOR**



**Capital Markets Advisors, LLC**  
**Hudson Valley \* Long Island \* Southern Tier \* Western New York**  
**(716) 662-3910**

## **OFFICIAL STATEMENT**

### **CHAUTAUQUA LAKE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY, NEW YORK**

#### **Relating to**

#### **\$10,250,000 BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES, 2017 (the "Notes")**

This Official Statement (the "Official Statement"), which includes the cover page, inside cover page, and appendices hereto, presents certain information relating to the Chautauqua Lake Central School District, in Chautauqua County, in the State of New York (the "District," "County" and "State," respectively), in connection with the sale of \$10,250,000 Bond Anticipation Notes, 2017 (the "Notes").

All quotations from and summaries and explanations of provisions of the Constitution and Laws of the State and acts and proceedings of the District contained herein do not purport to be complete and are qualified in their entirety by reference to the official compilations thereof, and all references to the Notes and the proceedings of the District relating thereto are qualified in their entirety by reference to the definitive form of the Notes and such proceedings.

## **THE NOTES**

### ***Description***

The Notes are dated August 16, 2017 and will bear interest from that date until maturity on June 28, 2018 at the annual rate or rates specified by the purchaser. The Notes will not be subject to redemption prior to maturity.

If the Notes will be issued as registered Notes, the Notes will be registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee of the DTC, New York, New York. DTC will act as securities depository for the Notes. Individual purchases may be made in book-entry form only, in the principal amount of \$5,000 or any integral multiple thereof. Purchasers will not receive certificates representing their ownership interest in the Notes. Payment of the principal of and interest on the Notes will be made by the District to DTC, which will in turn remit such principal and interest to its participants for subsequent disbursement to the beneficial Owners of the Notes as described herein. See "Book-Entry Only System" herein.

If the Notes are registered in the name of the purchaser, principal of and interest on the Notes will be payable in Federal Funds at such bank or trust company located and authorized to do business in the State of New York as may be selected by the successful bidder. In such case, the Notes will be issued in registered form in denominations of \$5,000, or multiples thereof, as may be determined by such successful bidder.

### ***Authority for and Purpose of Issue***

The Notes are issued pursuant to the Constitution and Laws of the State, including the Local Finance Law, the Education Law and bond resolution duly adopted by the Board of Education of the District on January 27, 2016, authorizing the District to issue bonds or bond anticipation notes in an amount not to exceed \$10,250,000, to finance various reconstruction projects of the District. Proceeds from the sale of the Notes will be used to renew and redeem bond anticipation notes in the amount of \$3,675,000 maturing on August 17, 2017 and to provide original financings for such project in the amount of \$6,575,000.

## ***Nature of Obligation***

Each Note when duly issued and paid for will constitute a contract between the District and the holder thereof.

The Notes will be general obligations of the District and will contain a pledge of the faith and credit of the District for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon. For the payment of such principal and interest the District has the power and statutory authorization to levy ad valorem taxes on all taxable real property in the District without limitation as to rate or amount. (See “Tax Levy Limitation Law” herein.)

Under the Constitution of the State, the District is required to pledge its faith and credit for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Notes, and the State is specifically precluded from restricting the power of the District to levy taxes on real estate therefor.

## ***Book-Entry Only System***

If the Notes are issued in book-entry form, through the Depository Trust Company (“DTC”), New York, New York, DTC will act as securities depository for the Notes. The Notes will be issued as fully-registered notes registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC’s partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered bond certificate will be issued for each maturity of the Notes and will be deposited with DTC. DTC, the world’s largest depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a “banking organization” within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a “clearing corporation” within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a “clearing agency” registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC’s participants (“Direct Participants”) deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants’ accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (“DTCC”). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly (“Indirect Participants”). The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at [www.dtcc.com](http://www.dtcc.com) and [www.dtc.org](http://www.dtc.org).

Purchases of the Notes under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Notes on DTC’s records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each bond (“Beneficial Owner”) is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants’ records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Notes are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in the Notes, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Notes is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Notes deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC’s partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of the Notes with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no

knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Notes; DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Notes are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Redemption notices shall be sent to DTC. If less than all of the Securities within an issue are being redeemed, DTC's practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such issue to be redeemed.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to the Notes unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC's MMI Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the County as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts the Notes are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

Principal and interest payments on the Notes will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from the County, on payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC or the District, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time.

Payment of principal and interest payments to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of the District, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as depository with respect to the Notes at any time by giving reasonable notice to the District. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, bond certificates are required to be printed and delivered.

The District may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry-only transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, bond certificates will be printed and delivered to DTC.

THE INFORMATION IN THIS SECTION CONCERNING DTC AND DTC'S BOOK-ENTRY SYSTEM HAS BEEN OBTAINED FROM SOURCES THAT THE DISTRICT BELIEVES TO BE RELIABLE, BUT THE DISTRICT TAKES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY THEREOF.

Source: The Depository Trust Company

### **DOCUMENTS ACCOMPANYING DELIVERY OF THE NOTES**

Upon the delivery of the Notes, the Purchaser will be furnished with the following items: (i) a Certificate of the President of the Board of Education to the effect that as of the date of this Official Statement and at all times subsequent thereto, up to and including the time of the delivery of the Notes, this Official Statement did not and does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements herein, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, and further stating that there has been no adverse material change in the financial condition of the District since the date of this Official Statement to the date of issuance of the Notes; and having attached thereto a copy of this Official Statement; and (ii) a Closing Certificate evidencing the due execution of the Notes, including statements that (a) no litigation of any nature is pending or, to the knowledge of the signers, threatened, restraining or enjoining the issuance and delivery of the Notes or the

levy and collection of taxes to pay the principal of and interest thereon, nor in any manner questioning the proceedings and authority under which the Notes were authorized or affecting the validity of the Notes thereunder, (b) neither the corporate existence or boundaries of the District nor the title of the signer to his office is being contested, and (c) no authority or proceedings for the issuance of the Notes have been repealed, revoked or rescinded, and (iii) an Arbitrage Certificate executed by the President of the Board of Education, as described under "Tax Matters" herein.

## **MARKET FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCINGS OF THE STATE AND SCHOOL DISTRICTS OF THE STATE**

The financial condition of the District as well as the market for the Notes could be affected by a variety of factors, some of which are beyond the District's control. There can be no assurance that adverse events in the State, including, for example, the seeking by a municipality of remedies pursuant to the Federal Bankruptcy Act or otherwise, will not occur which might affect the market price of and the market for the Notes. If a significant default or other financial crisis should occur in the affairs of the State or at any of its agencies or political subdivisions thereby further impairing the acceptability of obligations issued by borrowers within the State, both the ability of the District to arrange for additional borrowings and the market for and market value of outstanding debt obligations, including the Notes, could be adversely affected.

The District is dependent, in part, on financial assistance from the State. However, if the State should experience difficulty in borrowing funds in anticipation of the receipt of the State taxes in order to pay State aid to municipalities and school districts in the State, including the District, in this year or future years, the District may be affected by a delay, until sufficient State taxes have been received by the State to make State aid payments to the District.

Should the District fail to receive monies expected from the State in the amounts and at the times expected, the District is permitted to issue revenue anticipation notes in anticipation of the receipt of delayed State aid.

## **TAX MATTERS**

In the opinion of Timothy R. McGill, Esq. ("Bond Counsel"), based upon an analysis of existing laws, regulations, rulings, and court decisions, and assuming, among other matters, compliance with certain covenants, interest on the Notes is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code") and is excluded from adjusted gross income for purposes of personal income taxes imposed by the State of New York and The City of New York. Bond Counsel is of the further opinion that interest on the Notes is not a specific preference item for purposes of the Federal alternative tax imposed on individuals; however, interest on the Notes held by corporate taxpayers is included in the relevant income computation for calculation of Federal alternative minimum tax. Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding other federal income tax consequences caused by the receipt or accrual of interest on the Notes.

The Code imposes various restrictions, conditions and requirements relating to the exclusion from gross income for federal income tax purposes of interest on obligations such as the Notes. The District has covenanted to comply with certain restrictions designed to insure that interest on the Notes will not be included in federal gross income. Failure to comply with these covenants will result in interest on the Notes being included in gross income for federal income tax purposes as well as adjusted gross income for purposes of personal income taxes imposed by the State of New York or The City of New York, from the date of original issuance of the Notes. The opinion of Bond Counsel assumes compliance with these covenants. Bond Counsel has not undertaken to determine (or to inform any person) whether any actions taken (or not taken) or events occurring (or not occurring) after the date of issuance of the Notes may adversely affect the value of, or the tax status of interest on, the Notes. Further, no assurance can be given that pending or future legislation or amendments to the Code, if enacted into law, or any proposed legislation or amendments to the Code, will not adversely affect the value of, or the tax status of interest on, the Notes.

Certain requirements and procedures contained or referred to in the Arbitrage Certificate, and other relevant documents may be changed and certain actions (including, without limitation, economic defeasance of the Notes) may be taken or omitted under the circumstances and subject to the terms and conditions set forth in such documents. Bond Counsel expresses no opinion as to any Notes or the interest thereon if any such change occurs or action is taken or omitted upon the advice or approval of counsel other than Timothy R. McGill, Esq.

Although Bond Counsel is of the opinion that interest on the Notes is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes and is excluded from adjusted gross income for federal income taxes imposed by the State of New York and The City of New York, the ownership or disposition of, or the accrual or receipt of interest on, the Notes may otherwise affect a Owner's federal or state tax liability. The nature and extent of these other tax consequences will depend upon the particular tax status of the Owner or the Owner's other items of income or deduction. Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding any such other tax consequences.

### **LEGAL MATTERS**

Legal matters incident to the authorization, issuance and sale of the Notes are subject to the approving legal opinion of Timothy R. McGill, Esq., Bond Counsel.

### **DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING**

In accordance with the provisions of Rule 15c2-12, as the same may be amended or officially interpreted from time to time (the "Rule"), promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the District has agreed to provide or cause to be provided, in a timely manner not in excess of ten (10) business days after the occurrence of the event, during the period in which the Note is outstanding, to the Electronic Municipal Market Access ("EMMA") system of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board ("MSRB") or any other entity designated or authorized by the Commission to receive reports pursuant to the Rule, notice of the occurrence of any of the following events with respect to the Note:

- (a) principal and interest payment delinquencies
- (b) non-payment related defaults, if material
- (c) unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties
- (d) unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties
- (e) substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform
- (f) adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701 TEB) or other material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the Note, or other material events affecting the tax status of the Note
- (g) modifications to rights of Note holders, if material
- (h) note calls, if material and tender offers
- (i) defeasances
- (j) release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the Note, if material
- (k) rating changes
- (l) bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the District
- (m) the consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the District or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the District, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material
- (n) appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a trustee, if material

All notices provided to the MSRB shall be accompanied by identifying information as prescribed by the MSRB. There is no obligated person under the Rule other than the School District.



The District may from time to time choose to provide notice of the occurrence of certain other events, in addition to those listed above, if the District determines that any such other event is material with respect to the Note; but the District does not undertake to commit to provide any such notice of the occurrence of any material event except those events listed above.

The District reserves the right to terminate its obligation to provide the aforescribed notices of material events, as set forth above, if and when the District no longer remains an obligated person with respect to the Note within the meaning of the Rule. The District acknowledges that its undertaking pursuant to the Rule described under this heading is intended to be for the benefit of the holders of the Note (including holders of beneficial interests in the Note). The right of holders of the Note to enforce the provisions of the undertaking will be limited to a right to obtain specific enforcement of the District's obligations under its material event notices undertaking and any failure by the District to comply with the provisions of the undertaking will neither be a default with respect to the Note nor entitle any holder of the Note to recover monetary damages.

The District reserves the right to modify from time to time the specific types of information provided or the format of the presentation of such information, to the extent necessary or appropriate in the judgment of the District; provided that the District agrees that any such modification will be done in a manner consistent with the Rule.

An "Undertaking to Provide Notice of Material Events" to this effect shall be provided to the purchaser(s) at closing.

### ***Prior Disclosure History***

For the past five years, the District has compiled, in all material respects, with its continuing disclosure undertakings to provide audited annual financial statements and statements of annual financial information. That being said, over the past five years there have been a variety of ratings changes to the municipal bond insurers which insure the outstanding serial bonds of the District, and notices of these rating changes were not timely filed in accordance with the Rule. However, notices of these insurance ratings changes based on bond insurer downgrades were filed on August 1, 2014.

### **RATING**

The District has not applied for a rating on the Notes.

S&P Global Ratings ("S&P") has previously assigned an "A+" rating to the uninsured outstanding bonded indebtedness of the District.

Such ratings reflect only the view of such organization, and an explanation of the significance of such rating may be obtained only from such rating agency, at the following address: S&P Global Ratings, 55 Water Street, New York, NY 10041. There can be no assurance that such rating will continue for any specified period of time or that such rating will not be revised or withdrawn, if in the judgment of Moody's circumstances so warrant. Any such change or withdrawal of such rating may have an adverse effect on the market price of such bonds or the availability of a secondary market for such debt, including the Bonds.

### **MUNICIPAL ADVISOR**

Capital Markets Advisors, LLC has acted as Municipal Advisor to the District in connection with the sale of the Notes.

In preparing the Official Statement, the Municipal Advisor has relied upon governmental officials, and other sources, who have access to relevant data to provide accurate information for the Official Statement, and the Municipal Advisor has not been engaged, nor has it undertaken, to independently verify the accuracy of such information. The Municipal Advisor is not a public accounting firm and has not been engaged by the District to compile, review, examine or audit any information in the Official Statement in accordance with accounting standards. The Municipal Advisor is an independent advisory firm and is not

engaged in the business of underwriting, trading or distributing municipal securities or other public securities and therefore will not participate in the underwriting of the Notes.

### **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

Additional information may be obtained from the District's Business Administrator, Ms. Beth Olson, 100 North Erie Street, Mayville, NY 14757, phone: (716) 753-5800, email: bolson@clake.org, or from the District's Financial Advisor, Capital Markets Advisors, LLC, (716) 662-3910.

Any statements in this Official Statement involving matters of opinion or estimates, whether or not expressly so stated, are intended as such and not as representations of fact. No representation is made that any of such statements will be realized. This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract or agreement between the District and the original purchasers or holders of any of the Notes.

This Official Statement is submitted only in connection with the sale of the Notes by the District and may not be reproduced or used in whole or in part for any other purpose.

So far as any statements made in this Official Statement involve matters of opinion or estimates whether or not expressly stated, they are set forth as such and not as representations of fact, and no representation is made that any of the statements will be realized. Neither this Official Statement nor any statement which may have been made verbally or in writing is to be construed as a contract with the holders of the Notes.

Capital Markets Advisors, LLC may place a copy of this Official Statement on its website at [www.capmark.org](http://www.capmark.org). Unless this Official Statement specifically indicates otherwise, no statement on such website is included by specific reference or constitutes a part of this Official Statement. Capital Markets Advisors has prepared such website information for convenience, but no decisions should be made in reliance upon that information. Typographical or other errors may have occurred in converting original source documents to digital format, and neither the District nor Capital Markets Advisors assumes any liability or responsibility for errors or omissions on such website. Further, Capital Markets Advisors and the District disclaim any duty or obligation either to update or to maintain that information or any responsibility or liability for any damages caused by viruses in the electronic files on the website. Capital Markets Advisors and the District also assume no liability or responsibility for any errors or omissions or for any updates to dated website information.

This Official Statement has been duly executed and delivered by the President of the Board of Education.

### **CHAUTAUQUA LAKE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

By: /s/ Jay Baker  
Jay Baker  
President of the Board of Education

Dated: July 26, 2017

**APPENDIX A**  
**THE DISTRICT**

## **THE DISTRICT**

### ***General Information***

The Chautauqua Lake Central School District was formed on July 1, 1996 when the former Mayville Central School District and Chautauqua Central School District merged. The District is located in the Towns of Chautauqua, Ellery, North Harmony, Portland, Stockton and Westfield all within Chautauqua County. The District covers approximately 105 square miles and has an estimated population of 5,000.

The District is located in the extreme southwestern corner of New York State. The City of Buffalo lies approximately 70 miles to the northeast, while the City of Jamestown lies approximately 20 miles to the southeast. The School District is predominately rural/residential in character with some commercial facilities and agricultural production.

Major highways serving the District include State Highways 394 and 17, which connect the School District with Interstate Highway 90. Bus and rail service are available in the City of Jamestown, while air transportation is available in Jamestown and Buffalo.

The Village of Mayville provides public water to a limited area, while outlying areas use private wells. Sewer is provided by the North Chautauqua Sewer District and private septic systems. Electricity is provided by National Grid Power Corporation and natural gas by National Fuel Gas Distribution Company. Alltel and C & E Telephone provide telephone service. The County Sheriff's Department and the New York State Police provide police protection. Various volunteer companies provide fire protection and ambulance service.

The District provides public education for grades Pre K-12. Opportunities for higher education are available at the many colleges and universities in the Buffalo and Jamestown areas.

Commercial and financial services are located in the Village of Mayville, the county seat of Chautauqua County, as well as in nearby Jamestown and Dunkirk. Banking services are provided by M&T Bank, Community Bank N.A., and KeyBank, N.A.

Cultural facilities are available at the Chautauqua Institution, which attracts approximately 10,000 seasonal residents to the area. Recreational opportunities abound along the shoreline of Chautauqua Lake.

### ***District Organization***

Subject to the provisions of the State Constitution, the District operates pursuant to the Education Law, the Local Finance Law, other laws generally applicable to the District, and any special laws applicable to the District. Under such laws, there is no authority for the District to have a charter or adopt local laws.

The legislative power of the District is vested in the Board of Education (the "Board"). Under current law, an election is held within the District boundaries on the third Tuesday of May each year to elect members of the Board. Board members are generally elected for a term of three years.

In early July of each year, the Board meets for the purposes of reorganization. At that time, the Board elects a President and Vice President, and appoints a District Business Manager/Clerk and District Treasurer.

The major administrative officers of the District, whose duty it is to implement the policies of the Board and who are appointed by the Board, include the Superintendent of Schools, the District Business Administrator and the District Treasurer.

## ***Financial Organization***

Pursuant to the Local Finance Law, the President of the Board is the chief fiscal officer of the District. However, certain of the financial functions of the District are the responsibility of the Superintendent of Schools, the Business Administrator and the District Treasurer.

## ***Budgetary Procedure***

The District's fiscal year begins on July 1 and ends on June 30. Starting in the fall or winter of each year, the District's financial plan and enrollment projection are reviewed and updated and the first draft of the next year's proposed budget is developed by the central office staff. During the winter and early spring the budget is developed and refined in conjunction with the school building principals and department supervisors. Under current law, the budget is submitted to voter referendum on the third Tuesday of May each year. Summaries of the District's adopted budgets for the current and previous fiscal year may be found in Appendix B, herein.

The voters approved the District's 2017-18 budget on May 16, 2017.

## ***Financial Statements and Accounting Procedures***

The financial accounts of the District are maintained in accordance with the New York State Uniform System of Accounting for School Districts. Such accounts are audited annually by independent auditors, and financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principals are available for public inspection upon request. A copy of the District's most recent audited financial statement is contained in Appendix C herein.

## ***School Enrollment Trends***

The following table presents actual and projected school enrollment trends for the District.

**TABLE 1**  
**School Enrollment Trends**

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Actual Enrollment</u>	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Projected Enrollment</u>
2014-15	920	2017-18	920
2015-16	919	2018-19	920
2016-17	858		

*Source: District Officials.*

## ***District Facilities***

The District operates the following facilities; statistics relating to each are shown below.

**TABLE 2**  
**District Enrollment**

<u>Names</u>	<u>Grades</u>	<u>Enrollment For 2016-17</u>
Secondary School	7-12	460
Elementary School	Pre K - 6	<u>384</u>
	Total:	<u>844</u>

## ***Employees***

The District provides services through both full-time and part-time employees, all of whom are represented by the following units of organized labor.

**TABLE 3**  
**Employees**

<b><u>Number of Employees</u></b>	<b><u>Organization</u></b>	<b><u>Contract Expiration Date</u></b>
103	Chautauqua Lake CSD Teachers Association	6/30/17 *
49	Chautauqua Lake CSD Support Staff	6/30/19

*\*As of July 20, 2017 no agreement has been reached.*

*Source: District Officials.*

## ***Employee Pension Benefits***

All non-teaching and non-certified administrative employees of the School District eligible for pension or retirement benefits under the Retirement and Social Security Law of the State of New York are members of the New York and Local Employees' Retirement System ("ERS").

Teachers and certified administrators are members of the New York State Teachers' Retirement System ("TRS"). Payments to the Retirement System are deducted from the School District's State aid payments.

Both the ERS and the TRS (together, the "Retirement Systems") are non-contributing with respect to members hired prior to July 27, 1976. The Retirement Systems are non-contributory with respect to members working ten or more years. All members working less than ten years must contribute 3% of gross annual salary toward the cost of retirement programs.

The following table details the actual contributions to ERS and TRS for the past three audited fiscal years and the current budgeted year:

	<b><u>TRS</u></b>	<b><u>ERS</u></b>
2018 <i>Budget</i>	\$1,022,000	\$380,000
2017	803,902	343,237
2016	1,194,000	434,000
2015	1,107,000	467,000

In 2003, Chapter 49 of the Laws of 2003 amended the Retirement and Social Security Law and the Local Finance Law. The amendments empowered the State Comptroller to implement a comprehensive structural reform program for the ERS. The reform program established a minimum contribution for any local governmental employer equal to 4.5% of pensionable salaries for bills which were due December 15, 2003 and for all fiscal years thereafter, as a minimum annual contribution where the actual rate would otherwise be 4.5% or less due to the investment performance of the fund. In addition, the reform program instituted a billing system to match the budget cycle of municipalities and school districts that will advise such employers over one year in advance concerning actual pension contribution rates for the next annual billing cycle. Under the previous method, the requisite ERS contributions for a fiscal year could not be determined until after the local budget adoption process was complete.

Due to recent poor performance of the investment portfolio of the State Retirement System ("SRS"), New York State Comptroller Thomas DiNapoli has announced that the employer contribution rates for required pension contributions to the SRS in 2011 and subsequent years will increase. To help mitigate the impact of such increases, legislation has been enacted that permits local governments and school district to amortize a portion of such contributions. Under such new legislation, local governments and school district that choose to amortize will be required to set aside and reserve funds with the SRS for certain future rate increases. The District is currently not amortizing their pension contributions.

Under the new system, a contribution for a given fiscal year will be based on the valuation of the pension fund on the prior April 1 of the calendar year proceeding the contribution due date instead of the following April 1 in the year of contribution so that the exact amount may now be included in a budget .

On December 10, 2009, the Governor signed into law pension reform legislation that will provide (according to a Division of the Budget analysis) more than \$35 billion in long-term savings to State taxpayers over the next thirty years. The legislation creates a new Tier V pension level, the most significant reform of the State's pension system in more than a quarter-century. Key components of Tier V include:

- Raising the minimum age of which most civilians can retire without penalty from 55 to 62 and imposing a penalty of up to 38 percent for any civilian who retires prior to age 62.
- Requiring employees to continue contributing three percent of their salaries toward pension costs so long as they accumulate additional pension credits.
- Increasing the minimum years of service required to draw a pension from five years to 10 years.
- Capping the amount of overtime that can be considered in the calculation of pension benefits for civilians at \$15,000 per year, and for police and firefighters at 15 percent of non-overtime wages.

Members of the NYS Teachers Retirement System will have a separate Tier V benefit structure that will achieve equivalent savings as other civilian public employees. It includes:

- Raising the minimum age an individual can retire without penalty from 55 to 57 years.
- Contributing 3.5 percent of their annual wages to pension costs rather than 3.0 percent and continuing this increased contribution so long as they accumulate additional pension credits.
- Increasing the two percent multiplier threshold for final pension calculations from 20 to 25 years.

In accordance with constitutional requirements, these new pension reforms would apply only to public employees hired in the future.

On March 16, 2012, the Governor signed into law the new Tier VI pension program, effective for new ERS and TRS employees hired after April 1, 2012. The Tier VI legislation provides, among other things, for increased employee contribution rates of between 3% and 6%, an increase in the retirement age from 62 to 63 years, a readjustment of the pension multiplier, and a change in the time period for final average salary calculation from 3 years to 5 years. Tier VI employees will vest in the system after ten years of employment and will continue to make employee contributions throughout employment.

Pension reform legislation enacted in 2003 and 2004 changed the cycle of ERS billing to match budget cycles of the District. Under the previous method, the District was unsure of how much it paid to the system until after its budget was implemented. Under the current method the contribution for a given fiscal year will be based on the value of the pension fund on the prior April 1 instead of the following April 1 so that the District will be able to more accurately include the cost of the contribution into its budget. The reform legislation also (i) required the District to make a minimum contribution of 4.5% of payroll every year, including years in which the investment performance of the fund would make a lower contribution possible and (ii) moved the annual payment date for contributions from December 15th to February 1st, effective December 15, 2004.

The New York State TRS has adopted an Employer Contribution Rate of 11.72%, applicable to 2016-17 salaries. This is a decrease of 12% from last year's rate of 13.26%. The estimated contribution rate for 2017-18 is 9.80%.

Due to poor performance of the investment portfolio of the State Retirement System, New York State Comptroller Thomas DiNapoli has announced that the employer contribution rates for required pension contributions to the SRS will continue to increase. To help mitigate the impact of their ERS increases, legislation has been enacted that permits local governments and school district to amortize a portion of such contributions. Under such legislation, local governments and school district that choose to amortize a portion of their ERS contributions will be required to set aside and reserve funds with the SRS for certain future rate increases.

In Spring 2013, the State and TRS approved a Stable Contribution Option ("SCO") that gives school districts the ability to better manage the spikes in Actuarially Required Contribution rates ("ARCs"). ERS

followed suit and modified its existing SCO, which was adopted in 2010. Each plan allows school districts to pay the SCO amount in lieu of the ARC amount, which is higher, and defer the difference in payment amounts as described below. The plan, which was approved in Governor Cuomo's 2014-15 budget would let districts contribute 14.13% of employee costs toward pensions. The District has not opted into the pension smoothing plan.

The TRS SCO deferral plan is available to school districts for the next 7 years. Under the TRS SCO plan, payment of the deferred amount will commence in year six of the program (2018-19) and continue for five years. School districts can elect to no longer participate in the plan at any time, resume paying the ARC and begin repayment of deferred amounts over five years. Under the ERS SCO, payment of deferred amounts begins the year immediately following the deferral and the repayment period is 12 years. Once made, the election to participate in the ERS SCO is permanent. However, the school districts can choose not to defer payment in any given year. In both plans, interest on the deferred amounts is based on the yield of 10-year U.S. Treasury securities plus 1%.

The primary benefit of participation in the SCO plans is the elimination of the uncertainty in the volatility of future pension contribution ARCs in the near term, thereby providing school districts with significant assistance in its ability to create a stable and reliable fiscal plan.

The plan, which was approved in Governor Cuomo's 2017-18 budget would let districts contribute 14.13% of employee costs toward pensions. The District has not opted into the pension smoothing plan.

### ***Other Post Employment Benefits***

It should also be noted that the District provides post-retirement healthcare benefits to various categories of former employees. These costs may be expected to rise substantially in the future. There is now an accounting rule that will require governmental entities, such as the District, to account for post-retirement healthcare benefits as it accounts for vested pension benefits.

GASB 45 and OPEB. OPEB refers to "other post-employment benefits," meaning other than pension benefits. OPEB consist primarily of health care benefits, and may include other benefits such as disability benefits and life insurance. Until now, these benefits have generally been administered on a pay-as-you-go basis and have not been reported as a liability on governmental financial statements.

GASB 45 will require municipalities and school districts to account for OPEB liabilities much like they already account for pension liabilities, generally adopting the actuarial methodologies used for pensions, with adjustments for the different characteristics of OPEB and the fact that most municipalities and school districts have not set aside any funds against this liability. Unlike GASB 27, which covers accounting for pensions, GASB 45 does not require municipalities or school districts to report a net OPEB obligation at the start.

Under GASB 45, based on actuarial valuation, an annual required contribution ("ARC") will be determined for each municipality or school district. The ARC is the sum of (a) the normal cost for the year (the present value of future benefits being earned by current employees) plus (b) amortization of the unfunded accrued liability (benefits already earned by current and former employees but not yet provided for), using an amortization period of not more than 30 years. If a municipality or school district contributes an amount less than the ARC, a net OPEB obligation will result, which is required to be recorded as a liability on its financial statements.

GASB 45 does not require that the unfunded liability actually be funded, only that the District account for its unfunded accrued liability and compliance in meeting its ARC. Actuarial valuation will be required every 2 years for the District.

In April 2015, the State Comptroller announced legislation to create an optional investment pool to help the State and local governments fund retiree health insurance and other post-employment benefits. The State Comptroller's proposal would authorize the creation of irrevocable OPEB trusts so that the State and its local governments can help fund their OPEB liabilities, establish an OPEB investment fund in the sole



custody of the State Comptroller for the investment of OPEB assets of the State and participating eligible local governments, designate the president of the Civil Service Commission as the trustee of the State's OPEB trust and the governing boards as trustee for local governments and allow school districts to transfer certain excess reserve balances to an OPEB trust once it is established. Under the State Comptroller's proposal, there are no limits on how much a local government can deposit into the trust.

The District was in compliance with the requirements of GASB 45 by the applicable effective date, and a summary of the actuarial valuation is included as part of the District's June 30, 2016 Financial Audit attached herein as Appendix C.

The District was not required to have an updated actuarial evaluation for the year ended June 30, 2016. The following table summarizes the District's annual OPEB for the years ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014:

<u>FYE:</u>	<u>Annual OPEB Cost</u>	<u>% of Annual OPEB Cost Contributed</u>	<u>Net OPEB Obligation</u>
6/30/15	\$65,846	83%	\$50,741
6/30/14	\$66,621	69%	\$39,262

*Source: 2016 Audited Financial Statements*

As of June 30, 2015, the plan was 0% funded. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits was \$505,500, and the actuarial value of assets was \$0, resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of \$505,500.

Actuarial Valuation will be required every 2 years for OPEB plans with more than 200 members, every 3 years if there are less than 200 members.

***Investment Policy/Permitted Investments***

Pursuant to State law, including Sections 10 and 11 of the General Municipal Law (the "GML"), the District is generally permitted to deposit moneys in banks and trust companies located and authorized to do business in the State. All such deposits, including special time deposit accounts and certificates of deposit, in excess of the amount insured under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, are required to be secured in accordance with the provisions of and subject to the limitations of Section 10 of the GML.

The District may also temporarily invest moneys in: (1) obligations of the United States of America; (2) obligations guaranteed by agencies of the United States of America where the payment of principal and interest are guaranteed by the United States of America; (3) obligations of the State of New York; (4) with the approval of the New York State Comptroller, in tax anticipation notes or revenue anticipation notes issued by any municipality, school district, or district corporation, other than those bonds issued by the District; (5) certificates of participation issued by political subdivisions of the State pursuant to Section 109-b(10) of the GML; (6) obligations of a New York public benefit corporation which are made lawful investments for municipalities pursuant to the enabling statute of such public benefit corporation; or (7) in the case of moneys held in certain reserve funds established by the District pursuant to law, in obligations of the District.

All of the foregoing instruments and investments are required to be payable or redeemable at the option of the owner within such times as the proceeds will be needed to meet expenditures for purposes for which the moneys were provided and, in the case of instruments and investments purchased with the proceeds of bonds or notes, shall be payable or redeemable in any event, at the option of the owner, within two years of the date of purchase. Unless registered or inscribed in the name of the District, such instruments and investments must be purchased through, delivered to and held in custody of a bank or trust company in the State pursuant to a written custodial agreement as provided in Section 10 of the GML.

The Board of Education had adopted an investment policy and such policy conforms with applicable laws of the State governing the deposit and investment of public moneys. All deposits and investments of the District are made in accordance with such policy.

## FINANCIAL FACTORS

District finances are operated primarily through its General Fund. All taxes and most other revenues are paid into this fund and all current operating expenditures are made from it. A Statement of Revenues and Expenditures for the five-year period ending June 30, 2016 is contained in Appendix B. As reflected in Appendix B, the District derives the bulk of its annual revenues from a tax on real property. Capital improvements are generally financed by the issuance of bonds and bond anticipation notes.

### ***Real Property Taxes***

The District derives a major portion of its revenues from a tax on real property (see “Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance-General Fund” in Appendix B, herein). Property taxes accounted for 54.0% of total general fund revenues for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, while State aid accounted for 36.0%.

The following table sets forth total general fund revenues and real property tax revenues during the last five audited fiscal years and the amount budgeted for the current fiscal year.

**TABLE 4**  
**Property Taxes**

<u>Fiscal Year</u> <u>Ending June 30:</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Revenues</u>	<u>Real Property</u> <u>Taxes</u> <sup>(1)</sup>	<u>Real Property</u> <u>Tax Revenues to</u> <u>Revenues</u>
2013	\$18,027,645	\$9,904,186	54.9%
2014	19,081,054	10,273,795	53.8%
2015	19,921,826	11,057,461	55.5%
2016	20,895,827	11,282,107	54.0%
2017	21,290,495	10,731,024	50.4%
2018 <i>Budget</i> <sup>(2)</sup>	22,902,956	11,522,353	50.3%

(1) General Fund only.

(2) Adopted budget of \$22,902,956 includes \$21,902,956 of estimated revenues and \$1,000,000 of appropriated Fund Balance.

*Source: Audited Financial Statements and Adopted Budget of the District.*

### ***State Aid***

The District receives State aid for operating and other purposes at various times throughout its fiscal year, pursuant to formulas and payment schedules set forth by statute.

The following table sets forth total general fund revenues and State aid revenues during the last five audited fiscal years and the amount budgeted for the current fiscal year.

*(The remainder of this page was intentionally left blank)*

**TABLE 5**  
**State Aid**

<b><u>Fiscal Year</u></b> <b><u>Ending June 30:</u></b>	<b><u>Total</u></b> <b><u>Revenues</u></b>	<b><u>Total</u></b> <b><u>State Aid</u></b>	<b><u>Total Revenues</u></b> <b><u>Consisting of State Aid</u></b>
2013	\$18,027,645	\$7,611,650	42.2%
2014	19,081,054	7,503,191	39.3%
2015	19,921,826	7,335,678	36.8%
2016	20,895,827	7,517,623	36.0%
2017	21,290,495	7,682,291	36.0%
2017 <i>Budget</i>	22,902,956	8,118,387	35.4%

(1) Adopted budget of \$22,902,956 includes \$21,902,956 of estimated revenues and \$1,000,000 of appropriated Fund Balance.

*Source: Audited Financial Statements and Adopted Budget of the District.*

The District also receives a portion of its revenues in the form of State aid. However, there is no assurance that the State appropriation for State aid to school districts will continue, either pursuant to existing formulas or in any form whatsoever. The State is not constitutionally obligated to maintain or continue such aid. State budgetary restrictions which eliminate or substantially reduce State aid could have a material adverse effect upon the District, requiring either a counterbalancing increase in revenues from other sources to the extent available, or a curtailment of expenditures.

In addition to the amount of State Aid budgeted by the District, the State is expected to make payments of STAR aid representing tax savings provided by school districts to their taxpayers under the STAR (see “STAR-School Tax Exemption”) Program. The District expects to receive timely receipt of STAR aid for the current fiscal year.

In January 2001, the State Supreme Court issued a decision in *Campaign for Fiscal Equity (“CFE”) v. New York* mandating that the system of apportionment of state aid to school districts within the State be restructured by the Governor and the State Legislature. On June 25, 2002, the Appellate Division of the State Supreme Court reversed that decision. On June 26, 2003, the State Court of Appeals, the highest court in the State, reversed the Appellate Division, holding that the State must, by July 30, 2004, ascertain the actual cost of providing a sound basic education, enact reforms to the system of school funding and ensure a system of accountability for such reforms. The Court of Appeals further modified the decision of the Appellate Division by deciding against a Statewide remedy and instead limited its ruling solely to the New York City school system.

A case related to the *Campaign for Fiscal Equity, Inc. v. State of New York* was heard on appeal on May 30, 2017 in *New Yorkers for Students’ Educational Rights (“NYSER”) v. State of New York*. The NYSER lawsuit asserts that the State has failed to comply with the original decision in the Court of Appeals in the Campaign for Fiscal Equity case, and asks the Court of Appeals to require the State to develop new methodologies, formulas and mechanisms for determining State aid, to fully fund the “foundation aid” formula, to eliminate the supermajority requirement for voter approval of budgets which increase school district property tax levies above the property tax cap limitation, and related matters. It is not possible to predict the outcome of this litigation.

While the increases in State aid following this case have been targeted to high needs schools and other schools did share in the overall increase of State aid. The District is unable to predict whether this pattern of distribution will continue beyond that which is included in later legislation dealing with foundation aid. Increased State aid for New York City schools and other high needs schools may result in reductions in the future of State aid to certain school districts, including the District.

In any event, the outcome of this matter will not affect the validity of any obligations issued by the District, including the Notes, nor the ability of the District to levy taxes on the taxable real property in the District to pay the Notes and the interest thereon as the same shall become due and payable.

The Gap Elimination Adjustment (“GEA”) law was first introduced for the 2010-11 fiscal year (although it existed in 2009-10 and was called "Deficit Reduction Assessment") as a way to help close the State's then \$10 billion budget deficit. Under legislation, a portion of the funding shortfall at the state level is divided among all school districts throughout the State and reflected as a reduction in school district state aid. The GEA is a negative number, money that is deducted from the aid originally due to the District. Since the program began, the GEA and Deficit Reduction Assessment reduction in State aid for the District has amounted to approximately \$644,985 annually. As a result, the District has been forced to reduce programs, services, and staff accordingly. Beginning in the 2014-15 fiscal year, the State made modest restorations to the GEA. In the 2014-15 fiscal year, the GEA was reduced by \$400,881, dropping the total GEA to \$244,104. In the 2015-16 fiscal year, it has been further reduced by \$179,929, yielding a remaining GEA of \$64,175. In the 2016-17 fiscal year, the GEA was eliminated.

The Smart Schools Bond Act was passed as part of the Enacted 2014-2015 State Budget. The Smart Schools Bond Act authorizes the issuance of \$2 billion of general obligation bonds to finance improved educational technology and infrastructure to improve learning and opportunity for students throughout the State. The District's estimated allocation of funds is \$928,906.

There can be no assurance that the State appropriation for State aid to school districts will be continued in future years, either pursuant to existing formulas or in any form whatsoever. The State aid appropriated and apportioned to the District can be paid only if the State has such monies available therefore. The availability of such monies and the timeliness of such payment could be affected by a delay in the adoption of the State budget. In any event, State aid appropriated and apportioned to the District can be paid only if the State has such monies available therefor.

No delay in payment of State aid for the District's 2017-18 fiscal year is presently anticipated, although no assurance can be given that there will not be a delay in payment thereof. Should the District fail to receive monies expected from the State in the amounts and at the times expected, the District is permitted to issue revenue anticipation notes in anticipation of the receipt of delayed State aid.

If the State should experience difficulty in borrowing funds in anticipation of the receipt of the State taxes in order to pay State aid to municipalities and school districts in the State, including the District, in this year or future years, the District may be affected by a delay, until sufficient State taxes have been received by the State to make State aid payments to the District.

### ***Other Revenues***

In addition to property taxes and State Aid, the District receives other revenues from miscellaneous sources as shown in Appendix B.

### ***Recent Events Affecting New York School Districts***

School district fiscal year (2012-13): The State Legislature adopted the State budget on March 30, 2012. The budget included an increase of \$751 million in State aid for school districts.

School district fiscal year (2013-14): The State Legislature adopted the State budget on March 29, 2013. The budget included an increase of \$1.0 billion in State aid for school districts.

School district fiscal year (2014-15): The State Legislature adopted the State budget on April 1, 2014. The budget included an increase of \$807 million in State aid for school districts totaling \$21.88 billion in State aid for New York school districts.

School district fiscal year (2015-16): The State Legislature adopted the State budget on April 1, 2015. The budget provides for school aid of approximately \$23.5 billion, which represents an increase of approximately \$1.3 billion, or 7.4%, in total school aid spending from the 2014-15 school year. The budget continues a three-year appropriation methodology established in the 2011-12 State fiscal year and limits future school aid increases to growth as measured by the total personal income of residents of the State.

School district fiscal year (2016-17): The State Legislature adopted the State budget on March 31, 2016. The budget includes an increase of \$991 million in State aid for school districts over the 2015-16 budget, \$863 million of which consists of traditional operating aid. In addition to the \$408 million of expense based aid, the budget includes a \$266 million increase in Foundation Aid and a \$189 million restoration to the Gap Elimination Adjustment. The majority of the remaining increase includes \$100 million in Community Schools Aid, a newly adopted aid category, to support school districts that wish to create community schools. The funds may only be used for certain purposes such as providing health, mental health and nutritional services to students and their families. The budget includes School Aid spending of \$24.8 billion, a \$1.5 billion increase (6.5% increase) from the prior fiscal year.

School district fiscal year (2017-18): Governor Cuomo presented his Executive Budget for the fiscal year 2017-2018 to the public on January 17, 2017. The Executive Budget allocates \$961 million in State Aid to school districts. The Executive Budget recommends that Foundation Aid be increased by \$428 million. The Governor's proposal essentially replaces the foundation aid formula with a new formula based on prior year aid plus a base increase and a community schools aid increase for districts with failing or persistently failing schools or a concentration of English language learners. The Executive Budget sets aside \$50 million of the Foundation Aid increase to be used for community schools, putting the total amount set aside for community schools at \$150 million. The Executive Budget also allocates \$22 million to be used to create a new tier of Charter School Transitional Aid to reimburse school districts for tuition payments made to charter schools. The Governor also proposes that the State allocate an additional \$35 million for public after-school programs in the State's 16 Empire State Poverty Reduction Initiative communities, which would bring the total funding of these programs to \$100 million annually. In addition, the Executive Budget includes a \$5 million increase to expand prekindergarten programs in high need school districts. Preference for these funds will be given to high need districts which currently do not have prekindergarten programs.

The State budget provisions relating to school districts for the forthcoming School District fiscal year were adopted on April 9, 2017 and signed by the Governor on April 12, 2017.

The budget increases Education Aid by \$1.1 billion, including a \$700 million increase in Foundation Aid, without revision to the formula, bringing the new Education Aid total to \$25.8 billion or an increase of 4.4 percent. It is reported in the press that approximately \$3.6 billion in Foundation Aid will continue to be due in order to fully phase-in and implement the existing formula.

Expense-based aids to support school construction, pupil transportation, BOCES and special education were continued in full, as has been customary in recent years. Transportation Aid increased 5.5 percent and Building Aid increased 4.8 percent.

The budget continues to link school aid increases for 2017-18 and 2018-19 with teacher and principal evaluation plans approved by September 1 of the current year in compliance with Education Law section 3012-d.

The budget includes a provision to permit school districts to authorize and establish conditions for the partial payment of property taxes.

The School District presently anticipates an increase in its State Aid not related to building aid for its 2017-2018 fiscal year in an amount of \$307,590.

It should also be noted that the School District receives federal aid for certain programs. In its last audited fiscal year, the School District received \$12,000 in such direct federal aid. It is not possible to predict whether such aid will continue in the future, or if continued, whether it will be funded at present levels.

The District cannot predict at this time whether there will be any reductions in and/or delays in the receipt of State aid during the District's 2017-18 fiscal year. The District believes that it would mitigate the impact of any delays or the reduction in State aid by reducing expenditures, increasing revenues, appropriating other available funds on hand, and/or by any combination of the foregoing.

## ***The State Comptroller's Fiscal Stress Monitoring System***

The New York State Comptroller has reported that New York State's school districts and municipalities are facing significant fiscal challenges. As a result, the Office of the State Comptroller has developed a Fiscal Stress Monitoring System ("FSMS") to provide independent, objectively measured and quantifiable information to school district and municipal officials, taxpayers and policy makers regarding the various levels of fiscal stress under which the State's school districts and municipalities are operating.

The fiscal stress scores are based on financial information submitted as part of each school district's ST-3 report filed with the State Education Department annually, and each municipality's annual report filed with the State Comptroller. Using financial indicators that include year-end fund balance, cash position and patterns of operating deficits, the system creates an overall fiscal stress score which classifies whether a school district or municipality is in "significant fiscal stress", in "moderate fiscal stress," as "susceptible to fiscal stress" or "no designation". Entities that do not accumulate the number of points that would place them in a stress category will receive a financial score but will be classified in a category of "no designation." This classification should not be interpreted to imply that the entity is completely free of fiscal stress conditions. Rather, the entity's financial information, when objectively scored according to the FSMS criteria, did not generate sufficient points to place them in one of the three established stress categories.

The most current applicable report of the State Comptroller designates the District as "No Designation" (see <http://www.osc.state.ny.us/localgov/fiscalmonitoring/pdf/schools/schoolsummarylist.pdf>).

## ***New York State Comptroller's Audit***

Many school districts throughout the state can be subject to an audit of the New York State Office of the Comptroller ("OSC") pursuant to Article V, Section 1 of the State Constitution and the State Comptroller's authority as set forth in Article 3 of the New York State General Municipal Law.

On May 27, 2016, OSC, Division of Local Government and School Accountability released an audit of the District to review the District's nonresident tuition billing procedures for the period July 1, 2013 through November 19, 2015. The audit found that the District under-billed the Ripley Central School District according to the contract, one non-resident student was not billed for tuition costs, and that District officials had not regularly review and monitor the tuition rate being charged in comparison to net costs per student and the State-calculated tuition rate. The audit recommended that the District regularly monitor the tuition rate being charged and clarify and update its policy related to determining the residency of students, including the requirements for allowing students to attend tuition-free.

The link to the most recent OSC report is as follows:  
<http://www.osc.state.ny.us/localgov/audits/schools/2016/chautauqualake.pdf>.

The OSC has not conducted any other audits of the District in the past five years.

*(The remainder of this page has been intentionally left blank.)*

## TAX INFORMATION

### *Real Property Tax Assessments and Rates*

**TABLE 6**  
**Real Property Tax Assessments and Rates**  
(Fiscal Years Ending June 30:)

<b>Roll Year:</b>	<b><u>2012</u></b>	<b><u>2013</u></b>	<b><u>2014</u></b>	<b><u>2015</u></b>	<b><u>2016</u></b>
<b>Tax Year:</b>	<b><u>2013</u></b>	<b><u>2014</u></b>	<b><u>2015</u></b>	<b><u>2016</u></b>	<b><u>2017</u></b>
<b>Town of Chautauqua</b>					
Assessed Value	\$977,025,189	\$978,893,738	\$976,360,603	\$1,013,750,496	\$1,019,948,150
Equalization Rate <sup>(2)</sup>	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Full Value	977,025,189	978,893,738	976,360,603	1,013,750,496	1,019,948,150
Tax Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	8.642	8.9172	9.1219	9.0219	8.9662
<b>Town of Ellery</b>					
Assessed Value	19,853,822	20,171,977	19,739,392	19,912,326	19,669,399
Equalization Rate <sup>(2)</sup>	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	94.00
Full Value	19,853,822	20,171,977	19,739,392	19,912,326	20,924,893
Tax Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	8.642	8.9172	9.1219	9.0219	9.5385
<b>Town of North Harmony</b>					
Assessed Value	183,429,095	195,315,497	194,902,418	195,076,318	196,636,563
Equalization Rate <sup>(2)</sup>	96.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Full Value	191,071,974	195,315,497	194,902,418	195,076,318	196,636,563
Tax Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	9.002	8.9172	9.1219	9.0219	8.9662
<b>Town of Portland</b>					
Assessed Value	3,639,181	3,665,104	3,740,146	3,703,315	3,827,382
Equalization Rate <sup>(2)</sup>	54.00	54.00	57.00	57.00	57.00
Full Value	6,739,224	6,787,230	6,561,660	6,497,044	6,714,705
Tax Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	16.003	16.5133	16.0034	15.8278	15.7302
<b>Town of Stockton</b>					
Assessed Value	6,948,068	7,232,689	7,225,352	7,123,212	8,076,933
Equalization Rate <sup>(2)</sup>	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Full Value	6,948,068	7,232,689	7,225,352	7,123,212	8,076,933
Tax Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	8.642	8.9172	9.1219	9.0219	8.9662
<b>Town of Westfield</b>					
Assessed Value	3,181,645	2,731,222	2,719,171	2,705,835	2,800,938
Equalization Rate <sup>(2)</sup>	80.00	80.00	80.00	80.00	76.00
Full Value	3,977,056	3,414,028	3,398,964	3,382,294	3,685,445
Tax Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	10.802	11.1465	11.4024	11.2773	11.7976
<b>Total:</b>					
Assessed Value	\$1,194,077,000	\$1,208,010,227	\$1,204,687,082	\$1,242,271,502	\$1,250,959,365
Full Value	\$1,205,615,333	\$1,211,815,158	\$1,208,188,388	\$1,245,741,690	\$1,255,986,689
Tax Levy	\$10,418,502	\$10,806,020	\$11,021,016	\$11,238,923	\$11,261,424

(1) Per \$1,000

(2) The equalization rates shown here were used to apportion the school tax levies and may not be the same as those required for debt limit purposes.

Source: School Officials

### ***Tax Limit***

The Constitution does not limit the amount that may be raised by the District-wide tax levy on real estate in any fiscal year. The District is not subject to constitutional real property taxing limitations. See, however, the discussion below — “Tax Levy Limitation Law,” herein.

## ***Tax Levy Limitation Law***

On June 24, 2011, Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011 was signed into law by the Governor (“Chapter 97” or the “Tax Levy Limitation Law”). The Tax Levy Limitation Law applies to all local governments, including school districts (with the exception of New York City, and the counties comprising New York City and school districts in New York City, Buffalo, Rochester, Syracuse, and Yonkers which five are indirectly subject through their parent city’s tax levy limitation.)

Prior to the enactment of the Tax Levy Limitation Law, there was no statutory limitation on the amount of real property taxes that a school district could levy as part of its budget if its budget had been approved by a simple majority of its voters. In the event the budget had been defeated by the voters, the school district was required to adopt a contingency budget. Under a contingency budget, school budget increases were limited to the lesser of four percent (4%) of the prior year’s budget or one hundred twenty percent (120%) of the consumer price index (“CPI”).

Chapter 97 now requires that a school district submit its proposed tax levy to the voters each year beginning with the 2012-2013 fiscal year.

Chapter 97 restricts, among other things, the amount of real property taxes that may be levied by or on behalf of a school district in a particular year. It expires on June 15, 2020. Pursuant to the Tax Levy Limitation Law, the tax levy of a school district cannot increase by more than the lesser of (i) two percent (2%) or (ii) the annual increase in the CPI, over the amount of the prior year’s tax levy. Certain adjustments would be permitted for taxable real property full valuation increases or changes in physical or quantity growth in the real property base as defined in Section 1220 of the Real Property Tax Law. A school district could exceed the tax levy limitation for the coming fiscal year only if the voters of such school district first approve a tax levy by at least 60% affirmative vote of those voting to override such limitation for such coming fiscal year only. Tax levies that do not exceed the limitation will only require approval by at least 50% of those voting. In the event that the voters reject a tax levy and the district does not go out for a second vote, or if a second vote is likewise defeated, Chapter 97 provides that the tax levy for the new fiscal year may not exceed the tax levy for the prior fiscal year.

A school district’s calculation of each fiscal year’s tax levy limit is subject to review by the Commissioner of Education and the Commissioner of Taxation and Finance prior to adoption of each fiscal year budget.

There are exceptions for school districts to the tax levy limitation provided in Chapter 97, including expenditures made on account of certain tort settlements and certain increases in the average actuarial contribution rates of the New York State and Local Employees’ Retirement System, and the Teachers’ Retirement System. School districts are also permitted to carry forward a certain portion of their unused levy limitation from a prior year.

There is also an exception for school districts for “Capital Local Expenditures” subject to voter approval where required by law. This term is defined in a manner that does not include certain items for which a school district may issue debt including the payment of judgments or settled claims, including tax certiorari payments, and cashflow borrowings including tax anticipation notes, revenue anticipation notes, budget notes and deficiency notes. “Capital Local Expenditures”, are defined as “the taxes associated with budgeted expenditures resulting from the financing, refinancing, acquisition, design, construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, improvement, furnishing and equipping of or otherwise providing for school district capital facilities or school district capital equipment, including debt service and lease expenditures, and transportation capital debt service, subject to the approval of the qualified voters where required by law”. The portion of the tax levy necessary to support “Capital Local Expenditures” is defined as the “Capital Tax Levy”, and this is an exclusion from the tax levy limitation.

On February 19, 2013, the New York State United Teachers organization filed a lawsuit against the State challenging Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011 as applied to school districts on multiple federal and state constitutional grounds.



### ***Real Property Tax Rebate (Chapter 59)***

Chapter 59 of the Laws of 2014 ("Chapter 59") includes provisions which provide a refundable personal income tax credit to real property taxpayers in school districts and certain municipal units of government. Real property owners in school districts are eligible for this credit in the 2014 and 2015 taxable years of those property owners. Real property taxpayers in certain other municipal units of government are eligible for this credit in the 2015 and 2016 taxable years of those real property taxpayers. The eligibility of real property taxpayers for the tax credit in each year depends on such jurisdiction's compliance with the provisions of the Tax Levy Limitation Law. School district budgets must comply in their 2014-2015 and 2015-2016 fiscal years. Other municipal units of government must have their budgets in compliance for their 2015 and 2016 fiscal years. Such budgets must be within the tax cap limits set by the Tax Levy Limitation Law for the real property taxpayers to be eligible for this personal income tax credit. The affected jurisdictions include counties, cities (other than any city with a population of one million or more and its counties), towns, villages, school districts (other than the dependent school districts of New York City, Buffalo, Rochester, Syracuse and Yonkers, the latter four of which are indirectly affected by applicability to their respective city) and independent special districts.

Certain additional restrictions on the amount of the personal income tax credit are set forth in Chapter 59 in order for the tax cap to qualify as one which will provide the tax credit benefit to such real property taxpayers. The refundable personal income tax credit amount is increased in the second year if compliance occurs in both taxable years.

For the second taxable year of the program, the refundable personal income tax credit for real property taxpayers is additionally contingent upon adoption by the school district or municipal unit of a state approved "government efficiency plan" which demonstrates "three year savings and efficiencies of at least one per cent per year from shared services, cooperation agreements and/or mergers or efficiencies".

Municipalities, school districts and independent special districts must provide certification of compliance with the requirements of the new provisions to certain state officials in order to render their real property taxpayers eligible for the personal income tax credit.

### ***Real Property Tax Rebate (Chapter 20)***

Chapter 20 introduced a new real property tax rebate program that will provide state-financed tax rebate checks and credits to taxpayers who are eligible for the STAR exemption (see "STAR - School Tax Exemption," herein) in the years 2016-2019. Residents of New York City are not eligible for the Chapter 20 Real Property Tax Rebate. For 2016, eligible taxpayers who reside outside New York City but within the Metropolitan Commuter Transportation District ("MCTD") will receive \$130, and eligible taxpayers who reside outside the MCTD will receive \$185. Credits in 2017-2019 vary based on a taxpayer's personal income level and STAR tax savings.

Similarly to the Chapter 59 Real Property Tax Rebate, under Chapter 20 the eligibility of real property taxpayers for the tax credit in each year depends on such jurisdiction's compliance with the provisions of the Tax Levy Limitation Law. Unlike Chapter 59, however, for many taxpayers only the compliance of the school district in which the taxpayer resides is relevant. Municipal compliance with the Tax Levy Limitation Law is only required in the case of the "Big 4" cities that have fiscally dependent school districts. In such cases, the joint school/city levy must remain in compliance with the Tax Levy Limitation Law. In either scenario, the relevant jurisdiction (independent school district or joint city/school district) must certify its compliance with the provisions of the Tax Levy Limitation Law.

While the provisions of Chapter 59 and Chapter 20 do not directly further restrict the taxing power of the affected municipalities, school districts and special districts, they do provide an incentive for such tax levies to remain within the tax cap limits established by the Tax Levy Limitation Law. The implications of this for future tax levies and for operations and services of the District are uncertain at this time.

## ***NYSUT Lawsuit***

On February 20, 2013, the New York State United Teachers (“NYSUT”) organization filed a lawsuit against the State challenging the Tax Levy Limitation Law as applied to school districts on multiple federal and state constitutional grounds. The Board of Education of the District did not join the NYSUT lawsuit as a plaintiff. On September 23, 2014, a justice of the New York State Supreme Court dismissed each of NYSUT’s causes of action but granted NYSUT’s motion to amend the complaint. After the ruling, NYSUT amended its complaint to include a challenge to the Chapter 59 Real Property Tax Rebate, also on federal and state constitutional grounds. On March 16, 2015, all causes of action contained in the amended complaint were dismissed. NYSUT has stated that the organization will appeal the decision; therefore, the ultimate outcome of this litigation cannot be determined at this time. On May 5, 2016 the state Appellate Division’s Third Department has upheld a lower court’s decision to dismiss a suit brought in 2013. An appeal by NYSUT was dismissed on October 20, 2016 by the Court of Appeals, New York’s highest court, on the ground that no substantial constitutional question was directly involved and thereafter leave to appeal was denied on January 14, 2017 by the Court of Appeals. See also “State Aid” for a discussion of the New Yorkers for Students’ Educational Rights v. State of New York case which includes a challenge to the supermajority requirements regarding school district property tax increases.

## ***Tax Collection Procedure***

The real property taxes of the District are collected by the Town. Such taxes are due on September 6, and may be paid without penalty through October 6. The Town pays to the District the amounts collected on a periodic basis. The penalty on unpaid taxes is 2% from October 6 to October 31 and additional 1% for each month thereafter. On or about November 6, the District files a report of any uncollected District taxes with the County. The County thereafter on or before April pays to the District the full amount of its uncollected taxes. Thus, the full amount of the District’s real property tax levy is collected by the District in the fiscal year of the levy. The County has the power to issue and sell tax anticipation notes to fund the reimbursement of uncollected taxes due to the District.

The District is not responsible for the collection of taxes of any other unit of government.

## ***STAR - School Tax Exemption***

The STAR (School Tax Relief) program provides State-funded exemptions from school property taxes to homeowners for their primary residences. School districts are reimbursed by the State for real property taxes exempted pursuant to the STAR Program.

<b><u>Towns of:</u></b>	<b><u>Enhanced Exemption</u></b>	<b><u>Basic Exemption</u></b>
Chautauqua	\$65,500	\$30,000
Ellery	61,570	28,200
North Harmony	65,500	30,000
Portland	65,500	30,000
Stockton	65,500	30,000
Westfield	49,780	22,800

*Date Certified 04/07/2017*

The enhanced or basic STAR exemption is the amount that an assessment will be reduced prior to the levy of school taxes. For example, if a home is assessed at \$150,000 and the enhanced STAR exemption for a municipality is \$50,000, the school taxes on the property would be paid on a taxable assessment of \$100,000 ( $\$150,000 - \$50,000 = \$100,000$ ).

The maximum savings for each of the municipalities within the District for the 2016-17 are as follows:

<b><u>Towns of:</u></b>	<b><u>Enhanced Maximum Exemption</u></b>	<b><u>Basic Maximum Exemption</u></b>
Chautauqua	\$530	\$265
Ellery	544	271
North Harmony	555	274
Portland	554	274
Stockton	571	282
Westfield	542	270

Date Certified 04/06/2017

The District received full reimbursement of such exempt taxes from the State during the current fiscal year.

### ***Ten of the Largest Taxpayers***

The following table presents the taxable valuations of the District's ten largest taxpayers on the 2016 Assessment Roll of the District used to levy 2016-2017 taxes.

**TABLE 7**  
**Taxable Assessments**

<b><u>Taxpayer</u></b>	<b><u>Nature of Business</u></b>	<b><u>Taxable Valuation</u></b> <sup>(1)</sup>	<b><u>% of Taxable Assessed Valuation</u></b>
Joseph Kanfer Trust	Private	\$ 7,376,900	0.72%
Chautauqua Institution	Resort	6,758,200	0.66%
Chautauqua Hotel Co., Inc.	Commercial	4,176,700	0.41%
Metzgar Robert D	Private	3,180,000	0.31%
National Grid	Utility	3,172,383	0.31%
Kohl Stewart A	Private Property	3,043,200	0.30%
National Fuel Gas Dist Corp	Utility	1,961,057	0.19%
Kennedy Todd B	Private Property	1,134,000	0.11%
Chautauqua Inns, LTD.	Hospitality	800,000	0.08%
Northshore at Chautauqua	Condo/Timeshare	276,800	0.03%
		<u>\$ 53,566,321</u>	<u>3.13%</u>

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents 5.25% of the Town's 2016 Assessed Valuation of \$1,019,948,150 to levy 2016-17 taxes.

Source: District Officials

## **DISTRICT INDEBTEDNESS**

### ***Constitutional Requirements***

The New York State Constitution limits the power of the District (and other municipalities and school districts of the State) to issue obligations and to otherwise contract indebtedness. Such constitutional and statutory limitations include the following, in summary form, and are generally applicable to the District and the Notes.

***Purpose and Pledge.*** The District shall not give or loan any money or property to or in aid of any individual or private corporation or private undertaking or give or loan its credit to or in aid of any of the foregoing or any public corporation.

The District may contract indebtedness only for a District purpose and shall pledge its faith and credit for the payment of principal of and interest thereon.

***Payment and Maturity.*** Except for certain short-term indebtedness contracted in anticipation of taxes or to be paid within three fiscal year periods, indebtedness shall be paid in annual installments commencing no later than two years after the date such indebtedness shall have been contracted and ending no later than the

period of probable usefulness of the object or purpose determined by statute; no installment may be more than fifty per centum in excess of the smallest prior installment, unless the District has authorized the issuance of indebtedness having substantially level or declining annual debt service. The District is required to provide an annual appropriation for the payment of interest due during the year on its indebtedness and for the amounts required in such year for amortization and redemption of its serial bonds, bond anticipation notes and capital notes.

**General.** The District is further subject to constitutional limitation by the general constitutionally imposed duty on the State Legislature to restrict the power of taxation and contracting indebtedness to prevent abuses in the exercise of such power; however, as has been noted under “Nature of Obligation”, the State Legislature is prohibited by a specific constitutional provision from restricting the power of the District to levy taxes on real estate for the payment of interest on or principal of indebtedness theretofore contracted.

### ***Statutory Procedure***

In general, the State Legislature has, by the enactment of the Local Finance Law, authorized the powers and procedure for the District to borrow and incur indebtedness subject, of course, to the constitutional provisions set forth above. The power to spend money, however, generally derives from other law, including the Education Law.

The District is generally required by such laws to submit propositions for the expenditure of money for capital purposes to the qualified electors of the District. Upon approval thereby, the Board of Education may adopt a bond resolution authorizing the issuance of bonds and notes in anticipation of the bonds. With respect to certain school building construction projects, the District is not permitted to spend in excess of \$100,000 until the plans and specification for such project have been approved by the Commissioner of Education of the State.

The Board of Education, as the finance board of the District, has the power to enact tax anticipation note resolutions. Such resolutions may authorize the issuance of tax anticipation notes in an aggregate principal amount necessary to fund anticipated cash flow deficits but in no event exceeding the amount of real property taxes levied or to be levied by the District, less any tax anticipation notes previously issued and less the amount of such taxes previously received by the District.

The Local Finance Law also provides a twenty-day statute of limitations after publication of a bond resolution, together with a statutory form of notice which, in effect, stops legal challenges to the validity of obligations authorized by such bond resolution except for alleged constitutional violations. The District has complied with such procedure with respect to the bond resolution under which the Notes are being issued.

The Board of Education, as the finance board of the District, also has the power to authorize the sale and issuance of bonds and notes, including the Notes. However, such finance board may delegate the power to sell such bonds and notes to the President of the Board of Education, the chief fiscal officer of the District, pursuant to the Local Finance Law.

**Debt Limit.** Pursuant to the Local Finance Law, the District has the power to contract indebtedness for any District purpose authorized by the Legislature of the State of New York provided the aggregate amount thereof shall not exceed ten per centum of the full valuation of taxable real estate of the District and subject to certain enumerated exclusions and deductions such as State aid for building purposes. The constitutional and statutory method for determining full valuation consists of taking the assessed valuation of taxable real estate for the last completed assessment roll and applying thereto the ratio (equalization rate) which such assessed valuation bears to the full valuation; such ratio is determined by the State Board of Real Property Services. The State Legislature is required to prescribe the manner by which such ratio shall be determined by such authority.

## ***Statutory Debt Limit and Net Indebtedness***

The debt limit of the District is \$125,598,669. This is calculated by taking 10% of the current full value of the taxable real property of the District.

**TABLE 8**  
**Statutory Debt Limit and Net Indebtedness**

(As of July 26, 2017)

<u>Town</u>	<u>Assessed Valuation</u>	<u>Equalization Rate</u>	<u>Full Valuation</u>
Chautauqua	\$1,019,948,150	100.00%	\$1,019,948,150
Ellery	19,669,399	3.88%	20,924,893
North Harmony	196,636,563	100.00%	196,636,563
Portland	3,827,382	57.00%	6,714,705
Stockton	8,076,933	100.00%	8,076,933
Westfield	2,800,938	76.00%	<u>3,685,445</u>
Total Full Valuation of Taxable Real Property			\$1,255,986,689
Debt Limit (10% of Full Valuation)			\$125,598,669
Outstanding Indebtedness (Principal Only):			
Bonds <sup>(1)</sup>			\$10,455,000
Bond Anticipation Notes			<u>3,675,000</u>
Gross Indebtedness			\$14,130,000
Less: Exclusions <sup>(2)</sup>			<u>0</u>
Total Net Indebtedness			<u>\$14,130,000</u>
Net Debt-Contracting Margin			<u>\$111,468,669</u>
Percentage of Debt-Contracting Margin Exhausted			<u>11.25%</u>

(1) All future payments of both principal and interest will be provided for from the income of a portfolio of non-callable direct obligations of the United States of America held in an irrevocable trust fund. The Local Finance Law of the State does not provide for the exclusion of such debt from the District's debt statement.

(2) In prior years the District received State debt service building aid in a calculated amount of approximately 72.1% of its outstanding bonded indebtedness. Given the new "assumed amortization" of State building aid as provided in Chapter 383 of the Laws of 2001, no assurance can be given regarding the direct or indirect effect that "assumed amortization" will have on the net indebtedness of the District, or the timing or amount of such Building aid in connection with school facilities financed with the proceeds of the issuance of bonds or notes. See also "State Aid" herein.

## ***Remedies Upon Default***

Section 99-b of the State Finance Law (the "SFL") provides for a covenant between the State of New York (the "State") and the purchasers and the holders and owners from time to time of the bonds and notes issued by school districts in the State for school purposes that it will not repeal, revoke or rescind the provisions of Section 99-b of the SFL, or amend or modify the same so as to limit, impair or impede the rights and remedies granted thereby.

Said section provides that in the event a holder or owner of any bond or note issued by a school district for school purposes shall file with the State Comptroller, a verified statement describing such bond or note and alleging default in the payment thereof or the interest thereon or both, it shall be the duty of the State Comptroller to immediately investigate the circumstances of the alleged default and prepare and file in his office a certificate setting forth his determinations with respect thereto and to serve a copy thereof by registered mail upon the chief fiscal officer of the school district which issued the bond or note. Such investigation by the State Comptroller shall set forth a description of all such bonds and notes of the school district found to be in default and the amount of principal and interest thereon past due.

Upon the filing of such a certificate in the office of the State Comptroller, he shall thereafter deduct and withhold from the next succeeding allotment, apportionment or payment of such State aid or assistance due

to such school district such amount thereof as may be required to pay (a) the school district's contribution to the State Teachers' Retirement System, and (b) the principal of and interest on such bonds and notes of such school district then in default. In the event such State aid or assistance initially so withheld shall be insufficient to pay said amounts in full, the State Comptroller shall similarly deduct and withhold from each succeeding allotment, apportionment or payment of such State aid or assistance due such school district such amount or amounts thereof as may be required to cure such default. Allotments, apportionments and payments of such State aid so deducted or withheld by the State Comptroller for the payment of principal and interest on the bonds and notes shall be forwarded promptly to the paying agent or agents for the bonds and notes in default of such school district for the sole purpose of the payment of defaulted principal of and interest on such bonds or notes. If any such successive allotments, apportionments or payment of such State aid so deducted or withheld shall be less than the amount of all principal and interest on the bonds and notes in default with respect to which the same was so deducted or withheld, then the State Comptroller shall promptly forward to each paying agent an amount in the proportion that the amount of such bonds and notes in default payable to such paying agent bears to the total amount of the principal and interest then in default on such bonds and notes of such school district. The State Comptroller shall promptly notify the chief fiscal officer of such school district of any payment or payments made to any paying agent or agents of defaulted bonds or notes pursuant to said section SFL.

Under current law, provision is made for contract creditors (including the Noteholders) of the District to enforce payments upon such contracts, if necessary, through court action, although the present statute limits interest on the amount adjudged due to creditors to nine per centum per annum from the date due to the date of payment. As a general rule, property and funds of a municipal corporation servicing the public welfare and interest have not been judicially subjected to execution or attachment to satisfy a judgment, although judicial mandates have been issued to officials to appropriate and pay judgments out of current funds or the proceeds of a tax levy.

Remedies for enforcement of payment are not expressly included in the District's contract with holders of its bonds and notes, although any permanent repeal by statute or constitutional amendment of a Bondholders remedial right to judicial enforcement of the contract should, in the opinion of Bond Counsel, be held unconstitutional.

In recent times, certain events and legislation affecting remedies on default have resulted in litigation. While courts of final jurisdiction have upheld and sustained the rights of bondholders, such courts might hold that future events including financial crises as they may occur in the State and in municipalities of the State require the exercise by the State of its emergency and police powers to assure the continuation of essential public services.

There is in the Constitution of the State, Article VIII, Section 2, the following provision relating to the annual appropriation of monies for the payment of due principal of and interest on indebtedness of every county, city, town, village and school district in the State: "If at any time the respective appropriating authorities shall fail to make such appropriations, a sufficient sum shall be set apart from the first revenues thereafter received and shall be applied to such purposes. The fiscal officer of any county, city, town, village or school district may be required to set aside and apply such revenues as aforesaid at the suit of any holder of obligations issued for such indebtedness."

The constitutional provision providing for first revenue set asides does not apply to tax anticipation notes, revenue anticipation notes, or bond anticipation notes.

No principal or interest payment on District indebtedness is past due. The District has never defaulted in the payment of the principal of and interest on any indebtedness.

### ***Short-Term Note Indebtedness***

The District currently has \$3,675,000 of short-term note indebtedness outstanding due on August 17, 2017.

### ***Outstanding Long-Term Bond Indebtedness***

The following table provides information relating to long-term bond indebtedness outstanding at year end for the last five fiscal years.

**TABLE 9**  
**Outstanding Long-Term Bond Indebtedness**

<b><u>Fiscal Year</u></b>	<b><u>Total Bonded Debt</u></b>
<b><u>Ending June 30:</u></b>	
2013	12,470,000
2014	13,635,000
2015	12,620,000
2016	11,555,000
2017	10,455,000

Source: Audited Financial Statements of the District.

### ***Trend of Capital Indebtedness***

The following table sets forth the amount of direct capital indebtedness outstanding for each of the last five fiscal years as of June 30 of each respective year, excluding refunded debt.

**TABLE 10**  
**Direct Capital Indebtedness Outstanding**  
(For Fiscal Year Ending June 30:)

	<b><u>2013</u></b>	<b><u>2014</u></b>	<b><u>2015</u></b>	<b><u>2016</u></b>	<b><u>2017</u></b>
BANs	\$ 2,515,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 3,675,000
Bonds	<u>12,470,000</u>	<u>13,635,000</u>	<u>12,620,000</u>	<u>11,555,000</u>	<u>10,455,000</u>
Total	<u>\$14,985,000</u>	<u>\$13,635,000</u>	<u>\$12,620,000</u>	<u>\$11,555,000</u>	<u>\$14,130,000</u>

Source: Audited Financial Statements of the District.

### ***Overlapping and Underlying Debt***

In addition to the District, other political subdivisions have the power to issue bonds and to levy taxes or cause taxes to be levied on taxable real property in the District. The real property taxpayers of the District are responsible for a proportionate share of outstanding debt obligations of these subdivisions. Such taxpayers' share of overlapping and underlying debt is based on the amount of the District's equalized property values taken as a percentage of each separate unit's total values. The table on the following page presents the amount of overlapping and underlying debt and the District's share of this debt. Authorized but unissued debt has not been included.

**TABLE 11**  
**Statement of Direct and Overlapping Indebtedness**

<b><u>Issuer</u></b>	<b><u>Net Debt Outstanding</u></b>	<b><u>As of</u></b>	<b><u>District Share</u></b>	<b><u>Amount Applicable To District</u></b>
Chautauqua County	\$48,520,161	03/31/17	18.16%	8,811,261
Chautauqua Town	1,384,722	12/31/15	98.70%	1,108,470
Ellery Town	0	12/31/15	3.88%	0
North Harmony Town	174,456	12/31/15	72.69%	28,227
Portland Town	1,659,660	12/31/15	3.19%	5,145
Stockton Town	0	12/31/15	6.77%	0
Westfield Town	835,000	12/31/15	1.14%	1,921
Mayville Village	1,385,000	03/31/17	100.00%	<u>1,442,967</u>
Total Net Overlapping Debt				11,397,991
Total Net Direct Debt				<u>14,130,000</u>
Net Direct and Overlapping Debt				<u>\$25,527,991</u>

Source: NYS Comptroller's Office

***Debt Ratios***

The following table presents certain debt ratios relating to the District’s direct and overlapping indebtedness.

**TABLE 12**  
**Debt Ratios**

	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Debt Per Capita</u> <sup>(1)</sup>	<u>Debt to Full Value</u> <sup>(2)</sup>
Net Direct Debt	\$14,130,000	\$2,826	1.13%
Net Direct and Overlapping Debt	\$25,527,991	\$5,105	2.03%

<sup>(1)</sup> The population of the District is currently estimated by District Officials to be 5,000.

<sup>(2)</sup> The District’s full value of taxable real property for 2016 roll year is \$1,255,986,689.

***Authorized and Unissued Indebtedness***

Following the issuance of the Notes, the District will have no authorized but unissued indebtedness.

***Debt Service Schedule***

The following table shows the debt service requirements to maturity on the District’s outstanding bonded indebtedness (as of July 26, 2017).

**TABLE 13**  
**Bond Principal and Interest Maturity Table**

<u>Fiscal Year</u> <u>Ending June 30</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u> <sup>(1)</sup>	<u>Total</u> <u>Debt Service</u>
2018	1,155,000	473,088	1,628,088
2019	1,205,000	422,219	1,627,219
2020	1,250,000	369,119	1,619,119
2021	1,310,000	313,938	1,623,938
2022	955,000	256,025	1,211,025
2023	690,000	211,575	901,575
2024	725,000	180,475	905,475
2025	755,000	147,725	902,725
2026	795,000	111,775	906,775
2027	695,000	73,925	768,925
2028	595,000	39,825	634,825
2029	<u>325,000</u>	<u>10,075</u>	<u>335,075</u>
Totals:	<u>\$10,455,000</u>	<u>\$2,609,763</u>	<u>\$13,064,763</u>

<sup>(1)</sup> Columns may be off slightly due to rounding.

**ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

***Population***

The District estimates its population to be approximately 4,611. The following table presents population trends for the Town of Chautauqua (the “Town”), County and State, based upon recent census data. Data provided in the following table is not necessarily representative of the District.



**TABLE 14**  
**Population Trend**

	<u>2000</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>Percentage Change 90/00</u>
Town	4,666	4,533	(2.9%)
County	139,750	133,503	(4.7%)
State	18,976,457	19,378,102	2.1%

Source: US Census Bureau

***Income***

The following table presents median per capita income for the Town, County and State. Data provided in the following table is not necessarily representative of the District.

**TABLE 15**  
**Per Capita Income**

	<u>2000</u>	<u>2010</u>
Town	\$19,003	\$22,730
County	16,840	21,033
State	23,402	30,948

Source: New York State Department of Commerce; New York State Department of Economic Development.

***Employment and Unemployment***

Employment and unemployment data are not compiled for the District or the Town. The following tables provide information concerning employment and unemployment in the County and State. Data provided in the following tables is not necessarily representative of the District.

**TABLE 16**  
**Civilian Labor Force**  
(Thousands)

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
County	61.3	60.0	58.1	57.8	56.4
State	9,612.2	9,623.1	9,570.7	9,591.2	9,584.5

Source: New York State Department Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

**TABLE 17**  
**Yearly Average Unemployment Rates**

<u>Year</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>State</u>
2012	8.5%	8.5%
2013	8.0%	7.7%
2014	6.8%	6.3%
2015	6.0%	5.3%
2016	5.8%	4.8%

Source: New York State Department Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Information not seasonally adjusted.

*(The remainder of this page was intentionally left blank.)*

**TABLE 18**  
**Monthly Unemployment Rates**

<u>Month</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>State</u>
April 2016	5.7%	4.6%
May	5.1%	4.3%
June	5.4%	4.7%
July	5.5%	5.0%
August	5.3%	4.9%
September	5.7%	4.9%
October	5.7%	4.8%
November	5.8%	4.5%
December	6.2%	4.5%
January 2017	7.0%	4.9%
February	7.1%	5.0%
March	6.1%	4.4%
April	5.9%	4.2%
May	5.4%	4.3%

*Source: New York State Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Information not seasonally adjusted.*

***Largest Employers***

**TABLE 19**  
**Largest Employers**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Type of Product or Service</u>	<u>Approximate Number of Employees</u>
Chautauqua County	Municipal Government	673
Chautauqua Institute	Education / Recreational Facility	1,720
Chautauqua Lake CSD	Public Education	205
Food Is Good	Retail – Grocery	100

*Source: School District Officials.*

**LITIGATION**

The District is subject to a number of lawsuits in the ordinary conduct of its affairs. The District does not believe, however, that such suits, individually or in the aggregate, are likely to have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the District.

There is no action, suit, proceedings or investigation, at law or in equity, before or by any court, public board or body pending or, to the best knowledge of the District, threatened against or affecting the District to restrain or enjoin the issuance, sale or delivery of the Bonds or the levy and collection of taxes or assessments to pay same, or in any way contesting or affecting the validity of the Bonds or any proceedings or authority of the District taken with respect to the authorization, issuance or sale of the Bonds or contesting the corporate existence or boundaries of the District.

**END OF APPENDIX A**

**APPENDIX B**  
**FINANCIALS**

**Chautauqua Lake Central School District**  
**Statement of Budgeted Appropriations and Estimated Revenues**  
**General Fund**  
**Fiscal Year Ending June 30:**

	<b>Adopted</b> <u>2016-17</u>	<b>Adopted</b> <u>2017-18</u>
<u>Estimated Revenues:</u>		
Real Property Taxes	\$11,301,784	\$11,522,353
Charges For Services	1,676,500	1,426,500
Use of Money & Property	118,000	118,000
Sale of Property & Compensation for Loss	250,750	250,750
Miscellaneous	260,000	454,966
State Aid	7,671,467	8,118,387
Federal Aid	12,000	12,000
Subtotal - Estimated Revenues	21,290,501	21,902,956
Appropriated Fund Balance	750,000	1,000,000
Total Est. Revenue and Appropriated Fund Balance	\$22,040,501	\$22,902,956

<u>Estimated Appropriations:</u>		
General Support	\$2,669,976	\$2,917,053
Instruction	11,332,109	11,422,913
Public Safety and Transportation	1,213,060	1,277,350
Community Services	16,000	23,850
Employee Benefits	4,809,200	4,687,600
Interfund Transfers	2,000,150	2,574,190
Total Appropriations	\$22,040,495	\$22,902,956

Source: Adopted Budget of the District

Administrative	\$1,779,856	\$1,764,738
Program	16,255,859	16,372,463
Capital	4,004,780	4,765,755
	\$22,040,495	\$22,902,956

**Chautauqua Lake Central School District**  
**Comparative Balance Sheet**  
**General Fund**  
**Fiscal Year Ending June 30:**

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
<b><u>Assets:</u></b>		
Receivables:		
Unrestricted	\$2,577,536	\$3,318,675
Restricted	2,757,012	998,223
Receivables:		
Due from other funds	395,041	256,140
State and Federal Aid	727,961	102,925
Due from Other Governments	185,062	589,499
Mortgages Receivable	<u>915,667</u>	<u>665,667</u>
 Total	 <u><u>\$7,558,279</u></u>	 <u><u>\$5,931,129</u></u>
 <b><u>Liabilities:</u></b>		
Accounts Payable	\$242,155	\$259,114
Accrued Liabilities	36,894	47,999
Due to Other Funds	116,443	84,325
Due to Teachers' Retirement Systems	1,229,309	924,656
Due to Employees' Retirement Systems	94,993	80,680
Total Liabilities	<u>1,719,794</u>	<u>1,396,774</u>
 <b><u>Deferred Inflows of Resources:</u></b>		
Deferred Revenue	<u>915,667</u>	<u>665,667</u>
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	<u>915,667</u>	<u>665,667</u>
 <b><u>Fund Equity</u></b>		
Non-Spendable Fund Balance		
Restricted Fund Balance	2,757,012	998,223
Assigned Fund Balance	982,858	802,983
Unassigned Fund Balance	<u>1,182,948</u>	<u>2,067,482</u>
Total Fund Equity	<u>4,922,818</u>	<u>3,868,688</u>
 Total Liabilities and Fund Equity	 <u><u>\$7,558,279</u></u>	 <u><u>\$5,931,129</u></u>

Source: Audited Financial Statements of the District although this summary table itself has not been audited.

**Chautauqua Lake Central School District**  
**Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance**  
**General Fund**  
**Fiscal Year Ending June 30:**

Revenues:	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
Real Property Taxes and Tax Items	\$9,670,052	\$9,904,186	\$10,273,795	\$11,057,461	\$11,282,107
Other Tax Items	552,548	34,888	31,543	0	0
Charges for Services	64,040	58,115	902,006	934,629	1,543,925
Use of Money and Property	114,380	118,447	121,595	119,680	117,031
Sale of Property	120,997	87,755	81,465	250,487	250,025
Miscellaneous	552,290	206,297	160,535	210,692	173,062
State Sources	6,545,018	7,611,650	7,503,191	7,335,678	7,517,623
Medicaid Reimbursement	158,989	6,307	6,924	13,199	12,054
	<u>\$17,778,314</u>	<u>\$18,027,645</u>	<u>\$19,081,054</u>	<u>\$19,921,826</u>	<u>\$20,895,827</u>
Expenditures:					
General Support	\$2,334,810	\$2,301,638	\$2,372,135	\$2,703,490	\$2,755,784
Instruction	9,091,778	8,734,610	9,472,589	9,614,312	10,586,183
Pupil Transportation	1,139,135	908,910	1,101,738	989,002	1,100,171
Community Service	14,720	10,264	9,870	13,363	22,076
Employee Benefits	3,416,513	3,698,969	4,152,460	4,547,996	3,971,385
	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>206,438</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total Expenditures	<u>\$15,996,956</u>	<u>\$15,654,391</u>	<u>\$17,315,230</u>	<u>\$17,868,163</u>	<u>\$18,435,599</u>
Excess Revenues (Expenditures)	1,781,358	2,373,254	1,765,824	2,053,663	2,460,228
Other Sources and (Uses):					
Operating Transfers - Net	<u>(2,133,467)</u>	<u>(1,968,922)</u>	<u>(1,525,142)</u>	<u>(1,635,094)</u>	<u>(3,514,358)</u>
Excess Revenues (Expenditures) and other sources (uses)	(352,109)	404,332	240,682	418,569	(1,054,130)
Adjustments	(28,639)	14,827	96,736	403,510	0
Transfer of Capital Reserve	0	0	0	0	0
Fund Balance - Beg. of Fiscal Year	<u>3,724,910</u>	<u>3,344,162</u>	<u>3,763,321</u>	<u>4,100,739</u>	<u>4,922,818</u>
Fund Balance - End of Fiscal Year	<u><u>\$3,344,162</u></u>	<u><u>\$3,763,321</u></u>	<u><u>\$4,100,739</u></u>	<u><u>\$4,922,818</u></u>	<u><u>\$3,868,688</u></u>

Source: Audited Financial Statements of the District although this summary table itself has not been audited.

**APPENDIX C**

**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT  
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED  
JUNE 30, 2016**

**Can be accessed on the Electronic Municipal Market Access (“EMMA”) website  
of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (“MSRB”)  
at the following link:**

**<https://emma.msrb.org/ES994466-ES778651-ES1179961.pdf>**

**The audited financial statements referenced above are hereby incorporated into the  
attached Official Statement.**

**\* Such Financial Statements and opinion are intended to be representative only as  
of the date thereof. Buffamante, Whipple, Buttafaro, P.C. has not been requested  
by the District to further review and/or update such Financial Statements or opinion  
in connection with the preparation and dissemination of this Official Statement.**