

PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT DATED JUNE 23, 2017

NEW AND RENEWAL ISSUE

BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES

In the opinion of Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, Bond Counsel to the District, under existing statutes and court decisions and assuming continuing compliance with certain tax certifications described herein, (i) interest on the Notes is excluded from gross income for Federal income tax purposes pursuant to Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and (ii) interest on the Notes is not treated as a preference item in calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations under the Code; such interest, however, is included in the adjusted current earnings of certain corporations for purposes of calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed on such corporations. In addition, in the opinion of Bond Counsel to the District, under existing statutes, interest on the Notes is exempt from personal income taxes of New York State and its political subdivisions, including The City of New York. See "Tax Matters" herein.

*The District **WILL NOT** designate the Notes as "qualified tax-exempt obligations" pursuant to the provisions of Section 265(b)(3) of the Code.*

**BREWSTER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT
PUTNAM COUNTY, NEW YORK**

\$39,870,387

**BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES FOR VARIOUS SCHOOL PURPOSES - 2017
(the "Notes")**

Date of Issue: July 13, 2017

Maturity Date: July 13, 2018

The Notes are general obligations of the Brewster Central School District, in Putnam County, New York (the "District"), and will contain a pledge of the faith and credit of the District for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Notes and, unless paid from other sources, the Notes are payable from ad valorem taxes which may be levied upon all the taxable real property within the District without limitation as to rate or amount. (See "*Nature of the Obligation*" herein).

The Notes will not be subject to redemption prior to maturity.

At the option of the purchaser(s), the Notes will be issued in (i) registered form registered in the name of the successful bidder(s) or (ii) registered book-entry form registered to Cede & Co., as the partnership nominee for The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York ("DTC").

If the Notes are issued registered in the name of the successful bidder(s), a single note certificate will be issued for those Notes bearing the same rate of interest in the aggregate principal amount awarded to such purchaser(s) at such interest rate(s). Principal of and interest on such Notes will be payable in Federal Funds by the District to the registered owner(s).

If the Notes are issued in book-entry form, such notes will be delivered to DTC, which will act as securities depository for the Notes. Beneficial owners will not receive certificates representing their interest in the Notes. Individual purchases may be made in denominations of \$5,000 or integral multiples thereof, except for one odd denomination. A single note certificate will be issued for those Notes bearing the same rate of interest and CUSIP number in the aggregate principal amount awarded to such purchaser(s) at such interest rate. Principal of and interest on said Notes will be paid in Federal Funds by the District to Cede & Co., as nominee for DTC, which will in turn remit such principal and interest to its participants for subsequent distribution to the beneficial owners of the Notes as described herein. Transfer of principal and interest payments to beneficial owners by participants of DTC will be the responsibility of such participants and other nominees of beneficial owners. The District will not be responsible or liable for payments by DTC to its participants or by DTC participants to beneficial owners or for maintaining, supervising or reviewing the records maintained by DTC, its participants or persons acting through such participants. (See "*Book-Entry-Only System*" herein).

The Notes are offered when, as and if issued and received by the purchaser(s) and subject to the final approving opinion of Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, New York, New York, Bond Counsel, and certain other conditions. It is expected that delivery of the Notes will be made on or about July 13, 2017 in New York, New York, or such place agreed to by the purchaser(s) and the District.

THIS PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT IS IN A FORM "DEEMED FINAL" BY THE DISTRICT FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION RULE 15c2-12 (THE "RULE"). FOR A DESCRIPTION OF THE DISTRICT'S AGREEMENT TO PROVIDE NOTICE OF EVENTS AS DESCRIBED IN THE RULE, SEE "*DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING*," HEREIN.

DATED: June __, 2017

This Preliminary Offering Statement and the information contained herein are subject to completion or amendment without notice. Under no circumstances shall this Preliminary Offering Statement constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy, nor shall there be any sale of the securities, in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation, or sale would be unlawful prior to registration or qualification under the securities laws of such jurisdiction.

**BREWSTER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT
PUTNAM COUNTY, NEW YORK**

BOARD OF EDUCATION

Dr. Stephen O. Jambor.....President
Sonia Mesika..... Vice President
Kristin Cacal Trustee
Scott Dakin..... Trustee
Alberta Kozma Trustee
Mary Cay Nilsen Trustee
Diane E. O'Brien Trustee

DISTRICT OFFICIALS

Valerie Henning-Piedmonte, Ed.D Superintendent
Victor KarlssonSchool Business Administrator
Susan Tofte District Treasurer
Susan GavinDistrict Clerk

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

**PKF O'Connor Davies, LLP
Harrison, New York**

BOND COUNSEL

**Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP
New York, New York**

MUNICIPAL ADVISOR



**Capital Markets Advisors, LLC
Hudson Valley * Long Island * Southern Tier * Western New York
(845) 227-8678**

No person has been authorized by the Brewster Central School District to give any information or to make any representations not contained in this Official Statement and, if given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon as having been authorized. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy, nor shall there be any sale of the Notes by any person in any jurisdiction in which it is unlawful for such person to make such offer, solicitation or sale. The information, estimates and expressions of opinion herein are subject to change without notice, and neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no changes in the affairs of the District since the date hereof.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>		<u>Page</u>
THE NOTES	1	APPENDIX A - THE DISTRICT	
Description	1	THE DISTRICT	A-1
Authority for and Purpose of the Notes	1	General Information	A-1
Nature of the Obligation	2	District Organization	A-1
REMEDIES UPON DEFAULT	2	Financial Organization	A-1
No Past Due Debt	3	Financial Statements and Accounting	
Bankruptcy	4	Procedures	A-1
SECTION 99-B OF THE STATE FINANCE LAW	4	Budgetary Procedure	A-1
BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM	5	School Enrollment Trends	A-2
MARKET FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCINGS		District Facilities	A-2
OF THE MUNICIPALITIES OF THE STATE.....	6	Employees	A-2
THE STATE COMPTROLLER’S FISCAL STRESS		Employee Benefits.....	A-2
MONITORING SYSTEM AND OSC		Other Post Employment Benefits	A-4
COMPLIANCE REVIEWS.....	7	Investment Policy	A-5
LITIGATION	7	FINANCIAL FACTORS.....	A-6
TAX MATTERS	8	Real Property Taxes.....	A-6
Opinion of Bond Counsel	8	State Aid.....	A-7
Certain Ongoing Federal Tax Requirements		Events Affecting New York School	
and Certifications	8	Districts	A-8
Certain Collateral Federal Tax		Other Revenues	A-9
Consequences.....	9	Independent Audits.....	A-9
Original Issue Discount	9	REAL PROPERTY TAXES.....	A-10
Note Premium.....	9	Assessed and Full Valuations	A-10
Information Reporting and Backup		Tax Limit.....	A-10
Withholding	10	The Tax Levy Limit Law.....	A-11
Miscellaneous	10	Tax Collection Procedures.....	A-11
DOCUMENTS ACCOMPANYING DELIVERY OF		STAR - School Tax Exemption	A-12
THE NOTES.....	10	Ten of the Largest Taxpayers	A-12
Absence of Litigation	10	DISTRICT INDEBTEDNESS.....	A-13
Legal Matters.....	10	Constitutional Requirements	A-13
Closing Certificates	11	Statutory Procedure	A-13
DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING.....	11	Statutory Debt Limit and Net Indebtedness.....	A-14
Compliance History	11	Short-Term Indebtedness.....	A-15
MUNICIPAL ADVISOR	11	Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes	A-15
RATING	12	Energy Performance Contract Lease	A-15
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	12	Bond Anticipation Notes	A-15
APPENDIX B - UNAUDITED SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND BUDGETS		Trend of Capital Indebtedness	A-16
APPENDIX C - LINK TO INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30,		Overlapping and Underlying Debt.....	A-16
2016		Debt Ratios	A-17
APPENDIX D - FORM OF BOND COUNSEL OPINION		Authorized But Unissued Debt.....	A-17
APPENDIX E - FORM OF UNDERTAKING TO PROVIDE NOTICES OF EVENTS		Debt Service Schedule.....	A-17
		ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC DATA ...	A-18
		Population.....	A-18
		Income	A-18
		Employment	A-19
		Financial Institutions	A-20
		Transportation.....	A-20
		Utilities	A-20
		Housing Data.....	A-21

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

OFFICIAL STATEMENT

**BREWSTER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT
PUTNAM COUNTY, NEW YORK**

relating to

\$39,870,387

**BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES FOR VARIOUS SCHOOL PURPOSES - 2017
(the "Notes")**

This Official Statement, which includes the cover page, the inside cover page and the appendices hereto, presents certain information relating to the Brewster Central School District, in the Putnam County, in the State of New York (the "District," "County" and "State," respectively). It has been prepared by the District in connection with the sale of \$39,870,387 Bond Anticipation Notes for Various School Purposes - 2017 (the "Notes").

All quotations from and summaries and explanations of provisions of the Constitution and laws of the State as well as the acts and proceedings of the District contained herein do not purport to be complete and are qualified in their entirety by reference to the official compilations thereof and all references to the Notes as well as the proceedings of the District relating thereto are qualified in their entirety by reference to the definitive form of such obligations and such proceedings.

THE NOTES

Description

The Notes will be dated and will mature on the date as reflected on the cover page hereof.

The Notes will not be subject to redemption prior to maturity. Interest will be calculated on a 30-day month and 360-day year basis, payable at maturity.

Authority for and Purpose of the Notes

Authorization. The Notes are issued pursuant to the State Constitution and statutes of the State, including among others, the Education Law and the Local Finance Law, and various bond resolutions adopted by the Board of Education as set forth below.

Purpose. A \$34,822,117 portion of the proceeds of the Notes, together with \$1,118,819 of available funds will be used to redeem \$35,940,936 Bond Anticipation Notes for Various School Purposes – 2016 maturing on July 14, 2017. Note proceeds in the amount of \$750,000 for the purchase of school buses and \$4,298,270 for building improvements will provide original financing for such purposes.

<u>Date Authorized</u>	<u>Original Issue Date</u>	<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Amount Outstanding</u>	<u>Note Paydown</u>	<u>New Money</u>	<u>Amount of the Notes</u>
06/11/13	07/25/13	School Buses	\$ 172,000	\$ 86,000	\$ -0-	\$ 86,000
06/24/14	07/24/14	School Buses	289,980	96,659	-0-	193,321
06/23/15	07/16/15	School Buses	383,986	95,989	-0-	287,997
06/07/16	07/14/16	School Buses	490,000	98,000	-0-	392,000
05/16/17	07/13/18	School Buses	-0-	-0-	750,000	750,000
01/13/15	07/16/15	Building Improvements	34,604,970	742,171	4,298,270	38,161,069
			<u>\$35,940,936</u>	<u>\$1,118,819</u>	<u>\$5,048,270</u>	<u>\$39,870,387</u>

Nature of the Obligation

Each Note when duly issued and paid for will constitute a contract between the District and the holder thereof.

The Notes will be general obligations of the District and will contain a pledge of the faith and credit of the District for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon. For the payment of such principal and interest the District has the power and statutory authorization to levy ad valorem taxes on all taxable real property in the District without limitation as to rate or amount.

Under the Constitution of the State, the Town is required to pledge its faith and credit for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Notes, and the State is specifically precluded from restricting the power of the District to levy taxes on real estate for the payment of interest on or principal of indebtedness theretofore contracted. However, the Tax Levy Limit Law imposes a statutory limitation on the District's power to increase its annual tax levy. As a result, the power of the District to levy real estate taxes on all the taxable real property within the District is subject to statutory limitations set forth in Tax Levy Limit Law, unless the District complies with certain procedural requirements to permit the District to levy certain year-to-year increases in real property taxes. (See "*Tax Levy Limit Law*" herein.).

REMEDIES UPON DEFAULT

Neither the Notes, nor the proceedings with respect thereto, specifically provide any remedies which would be available to owners of the Notes should the District default in the payment of principal of or interest on the Notes, nor do they contain any provisions for the appointment of a trustee to enforce the interests of the owners of the Notes upon the occurrence of any such default. The Notes are general obligation contracts between the District and the owners for which the faith and credit of the District are pledged and while remedies for enforcement of payment are not expressly included in the District's contract with such owners, any permanent repeal by statute or constitutional amendment of a noteholder's remedial right to judicial enforcement of the contract should, in the opinion of Bond Counsel, be held unconstitutional.

Upon default in the payment of principal of or interest on the Notes at the suit of the owner, a Court has the power, in proper and appropriate proceedings, to render judgment against the District. The present statute limits interest on the amount adjudged due to contract creditors to nine per centum per annum from the date due to the date of payment. As a general rule, property and funds of a municipal corporation serving the public welfare and interest have not been judicially subjected to execution or attachment to satisfy a judgment. A Court also has the power, in proper and appropriate proceedings, to order payment of a judgment on such notes from funds lawfully available therefor or, in the absence thereof, to order the District to take all lawful action to obtain the same, including the raising of the required amount in the next annual tax levy. In exercising its discretion as to whether to issue such an order, the Court may take into account all relevant factors, including the current operating needs of the District and the availability and adequacy of other remedies. Upon any default in the payment of the principal of or interest on the Notes, the owners of such Notes could, among other remedies, seek to obtain a writ of mandamus from a Court ordering the governing body of the District to assess, levy and collect an ad valorem tax, upon all taxable property of the District subject to taxation by the District sufficient to pay the principal of and interest on the Notes as the same shall come due and payable (and interest from the due date to date of payment) and otherwise to observe the covenants contained in the Notes and the proceedings with respect thereto all of which are included in the contract with the owners of the Notes. The mandamus remedy, however, may be impracticable and difficult to enforce. Further, the right to enforce payment of the principal of or interest on the Notes may be limited by bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium and similar laws and equitable principles, which may limit the specific enforcement of certain remedies.

In 1976, the New York Court of Appeals, the State's highest court, held in *Flushing National Bank v. Municipal Assistance Corporation for the City of New York*, 40 N.Y.2d 731 (1976), that the New York State legislation purporting to postpone the payment of debt service on New York City obligations was an unconstitutional moratorium in violation of the New York State constitutional faith and credit mandate included in all municipal debt obligations. While that case can be viewed as a precedent for protecting the remedies of Noteholders, there can be

no assurance as to what a Court may determine with respect to future events, including financial crises as they may occur in the State and in municipalities of the State, that require the exercise by the State of its emergency and police powers to assure the continuation of essential public services. (See also, *Flushing National Bank v. Municipal Assistance Corporation for the City of New York*, 41 N.Y.2d 644 (1977), where the Court of Appeals described the pledge as a direct Constitutional mandate.)

As a result of the Court of Appeals decision, the constitutionality of that portion of Title 6-A of Article 2 of the Local Finance Law enacted at the 1975 Extraordinary Session of the State legislature authorizing any county, city, town or village with respect to which the State has declared a financial emergency to petition the State Supreme Court to stay the enforcement against such municipality of any claim for payment relating to any contract, debt or obligation of the municipality during the emergency period, is subject to doubt. In any event, no such emergency has been declared with respect to the District.

Pursuant to Article VIII, Section 2 of the State Constitution, the District is required to provide an annual appropriation of monies for the payment of due and payable principal of and interest on indebtedness. Specifically this constitutional provision states: "If at any time the respective appropriating authorities shall fail to make such appropriations, a sufficient sum shall be set apart from the first revenues thereafter received and shall be applied to such purposes. The fiscal officer of any county, city, town, village or school district may be required to set aside and apply such revenues as aforesaid at the suit of any holder of obligations issued for any such indebtedness." This constitutes a specific non-exclusive constitutional remedy against a defaulting municipality or school district; however, it does not apply in a context in which monies have been appropriated for debt service but the appropriating authorities decline to use such monies to pay debt service. However, Article VIII, Section 2 of the Constitution of the State also provides that the fiscal officer of any county, city, town, village or school district may be required to set apart and apply such revenues at the suit of any holder of any obligations of indebtedness issued with the pledge of the faith of the credit of such political subdivision. In *Quirk v. Municipal Assistance Corp.*, 41 N.Y.2d 644 (1977), the Court of Appeals described this as a "first lien" on revenues, but one that does not give holders a right to any particular revenues. It should thus be noted that the pledge of the faith and credit of a political subdivision in the State is a pledge of an issuer of a general obligation note to use its general revenue powers, including, but not limited to, its property tax levy, to pay debt service on such obligations, but that such pledge may or may not be interpreted by a court of competent jurisdiction to include a constitutional or statutory lien upon any particular revenues. The Constitutional provision providing for first revenue set asides does not apply to tax anticipation notes, revenue anticipation notes or bond anticipation notes.

While the courts in the State have historically been protective of the rights of holders of general obligation debt of political subdivisions, it is not possible to predict what a future court might hold.

In prior years, certain events and legislation affecting a holder's remedies upon default have resulted in litigation. While courts of final jurisdiction have generally upheld and sustained the rights of noteholders, such courts might hold that future events, including a financial crisis as such may occur in the State or in political subdivisions of the State, may require the exercise by the State or its political subdivisions of emergency and police powers to assure the continuation of essential public services prior to the payment of debt service.

No Past Due Debt

No principal or interest payment on District indebtedness is past due. The District has never defaulted in the payment of the principal of and/or interest on any indebtedness.. However, due to an administrative oversight by DTC, and by no fault of the District, an April 15, 2017 interest payment was made 1 day late to holders of the District bonds. Interest payments are automatically withdrawn by DTC from the Districts bank account. Although the District followed the proper procedures to authorize the deduction in a timely manner, an administrative oversight by DTC resulted in the deduction being made 1 day late. The District has since obtained an email from DTC acknowledging the mistake.

Bankruptcy

The Federal Bankruptcy Code (Chapter IX) allows public bodies, such as municipalities, recourse to the protection of a Federal Court for the purpose of adjusting outstanding indebtedness. Title 6-A of the Local Finance Law specifically authorizes any municipality in the State or its emergency control board to file a petition under any provision of Federal bankruptcy law for the composition or adjustment of municipal indebtedness. While this Local Finance Law provision does not apply to school districts, there can be no assurance that it will not become applicable in the future. As such, the undertakings of the District should be considered with reference, specifically, to Chapter IX, and, in general, to other bankruptcy laws affecting creditors' rights and municipalities. Bankruptcy proceedings by the District if authorized by the State in the future could have adverse effects on noteholders including (a) delay in the enforcement of their remedies, (b) subordination of their claims to those supplying goods and services to the District after the initiation of bankruptcy proceedings and to the administrative expenses of bankruptcy proceedings and (c) imposition without their consent of a reorganization plan reducing or delaying payment of the Notes.

The above references to said Chapter IX are not to be construed as an indication that the State will consent in the future to the right of the District to file a petition with any United States district court or court of bankruptcy under any provision of the laws of the United States, now or hereafter in effect for the composition or adjustment of municipal indebtedness or that the District is currently considering or expects to resort to the provisions of Chapter IX if authorized to do so in the future.

SECTION 99-B OF THE STATE FINANCE LAW

Section 99-b of the State Finance Law (the "SFL") provides for a covenant between the State and the purchasers and the holders and owners from time to time of the bonds and notes issued by school districts in the State for school purposes that it will not repeal, revoke or rescind the provisions of Section 99-b of the SFL, or amend or modify the same so as to limit, impair or impede the rights and remedies granted thereby.

Said section provides that in the event a holder or owner of any bond or note issued by a school district for school purposes shall file with the State Comptroller, a verified statement describing such bond or note and alleging default in the payment thereof or the interest thereon or both, it shall be the duty of the State Comptroller to immediately investigate the circumstances of the alleged default and prepare and file in his office a certificate setting forth his determinations with respect thereto and to serve a copy thereof by registered mail upon the chief fiscal officer of the school district which issued the bond or note. Such investigation by the State Comptroller shall set forth a description of all such bonds and notes of the school district found to be in default and the amount of principal and interest thereon past due.

Upon the filing of such a certificate in the office of the State Comptroller, he shall thereafter deduct and withhold from the next succeeding allotment, apportionment or payment of such State aid or assistance due to such school district such amount thereof as may be required to pay (a) the school district's contribution to the State Teachers' Retirement System, and (b) the principal of and interest on such bonds and notes of such school district then in default. In the event such State aid or assistance initially so withheld shall be insufficient to pay said amounts in full, the State Comptroller shall similarly deduct and withhold from each succeeding allotment, apportionment or payment of such State aid or assistance due such school district such amount or amounts thereof as may be required to cure such default. Allotments, apportionments and payments of such State aid so deducted or withheld by the State Comptroller for the payment of principal and interest on the bonds and notes shall be forwarded promptly to the paying agent or agents for the bonds and notes in default of such school district for the sole purpose of the payment of defaulted principal of and interest on such bonds or notes. If any such successive allotments, apportionments or payment of such State aid so deducted or withheld shall be less than the amount of all principal and interest on the bonds and notes in default with respect to which the same was so deducted or withheld, then the State Comptroller shall promptly forward to each paying agent an amount in the proportion that the amount of such bonds and notes in default payable to such paying agent bears to the total amount of the principal and interest then in default on such bonds and notes of such school district. The State Comptroller shall promptly notify the chief fiscal officer of such school district of any payment or payments made to any paying agent or agents of defaulted bonds or notes pursuant to said section of the SFL.

BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM

The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”), New York, New York, will act as securities depository for any Notes issued as book-entry-only Notes. Such Notes will be issued as fully-registered notes registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC’s partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully registered note certificate will be issued for each note bearing the same rate of interest and CUSIP and deposited with DTC.

DTC is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a “banking organization” within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a “clearing corporation” within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a “clearing agency” registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC’s participants (“Direct Participants”) deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants’ accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (“DTCC”). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly (“Indirect Participants”). The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com and www.dtc.org.

Purchases of the Notes under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Notes on DTC’s records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each note (“Beneficial Owner”) is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants’ records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Notes are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in the Notes, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Notes is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Notes deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC’s partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of the Notes with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Notes; DTC’s records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Notes are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time.

Redemption notices shall be sent to DTC. If less than all of the notes within an issue are being redeemed, DTC’s practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such issue to be redeemed.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to the Notes unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC’s Money Market Instruments (MMI) Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the District as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.’s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts the Notes are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

Principal and interest payments on the Notes will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from the District, on payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC or the District, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of principal and interest payments to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of the District, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as depository with respect to the Notes at any time by giving reasonable notice to the District. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, note certificates are required to be printed and delivered.

The District may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry-only transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, note certificates will be printed and delivered to DTC.

The information in this section concerning DTC and DTC's book-entry system has been obtained from sources that the District believes to be reliable, but the District takes no responsibility for the accuracy thereof.

Source: The Depository Trust Company

MARKET FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCINGS OF THE MUNICIPALITIES OF THE STATE

There are certain potential risks associated with an investment in the Notes, and investors should be thoroughly familiar with this Official Statement, including its appendices, in order to make an informed investment decision. Investors should consider, in particular, the following factors:

The District's credit rating could be affected by circumstances beyond the District's control. Economic conditions such as the rate of unemployment and inflation, termination of commercial operations by corporate taxpayers and employers, as well as natural catastrophes, could adversely affect the assessed valuation of District property and its ability to maintain fund balances and other statistical indices commensurate with its current credit rating. As a consequence, a decline in the District's credit rating could adversely affect the market value of the Notes.

If and when an owner of any of the Notes should elect to sell all or a part of the Notes prior to maturity, there can be no assurance that a market will have been established, maintained and continue in existence for the purchase and sale of any of the Notes. The market value of the Notes is dependent upon the ability of holder to potentially incur a capital loss if such Notes are sold prior to its maturity.

There can be no assurance that adverse events including, for example, the seeking by another municipality in the State or elsewhere of remedies pursuant to the Federal Bankruptcy Act or otherwise, will not occur which might affect the market price of and the market for the Notes. In particular, if a significant default or other financial crisis should occur in the affairs of the State or any of its municipalities, public authorities or other political subdivisions thereby possibly further impairing the acceptability of obligations issued by those entities, both the ability of the District to arrange for additional borrowing(s) as well as the market for and market value of outstanding debt obligations, including the Notes, could be adversely affected.

The District is dependent in part upon financial assistance from the State in the form of State aid as well as grants and loans to be received ("State Aid"). The District's receipt of State aid may be delayed as a result of the State's failure to adopt its budget timely and/or to appropriate State Aid to municipalities and school districts. Should the District fail to receive all or a portion of the amounts of State Aid expected to be received from the State in the amounts and at the times anticipated, occasioned by a delay in the payment of such moneys or by a reduction in

State Aid or its elimination, the District is authorized pursuant to the Local Finance Law (“LFL”) to provide operating funds by borrowing in anticipation of the receipt of such uncollected State Aid, however, there can be no assurance that, in such event, the District will have market access for any such borrowing on a cost effective basis. The elimination of or any substantial reduction in State Aid would likely have a materially adverse effect upon the District requiring either a counterbalancing increase in revenues from other sources to the extent available or a curtailment of expenditures. (See also “*State Aid*” herein.)

Future amendments to applicable statutes whether enacted by the State or the United States of America affecting the treatment of interest paid on municipal obligations, including the Bonds and the Notes, for income taxation purposes could have an adverse effect on the market value of the Notes (see “Tax Matters” herein).

The enactment of the Tax Levy Limit Law, which imposes a tax levy limitation upon municipalities, school districts and fire districts in the State, including the District, without providing exclusion for debt service on obligations issued by municipalities and fire districts, may affect the market price and/or marketability for the Notes. (See “The Tax Levy Limit Law” herein.)

Federal or State legislation imposing new or increased mandatory expenditures by municipalities, school districts and fire districts in the State, including the District could impair the financial condition of such entities, including the District and the ability of such entities, including the District to pay debt service on the Notes.

THE STATE COMPTROLLER’S FISCAL STRESS MONITORING SYSTEM AND OSC COMPLIANCE REVIEWS

The New York State Comptroller has reported that New York State’s school districts and municipalities are facing significant fiscal challenges. As a result, the Office of the State Comptroller (“OSC”) has developed a Fiscal Stress Monitoring System (“FSMS”) to provide independent, objectively measured and quantifiable information to school district and municipal officials, taxpayers and policy makers regarding the various levels of fiscal stress under which the State’s school districts and municipalities are operating.

The fiscal stress scores are based on financial information submitted as part of each school district’s ST-3 report filed with the State Education Department annually, and each municipality’s annual report filed with the State Comptroller. Using financial indicators that include year-end fund balance, cash position and patterns of operating deficits, the system creates an overall fiscal stress score which classifies whether a school district or municipality is in “significant fiscal stress”, in “moderate fiscal stress,” as “susceptible to fiscal stress” or “no designation”. Entities that do not accumulate the number of points that would place them in a stress category will receive a financial score but will be classified in a category of “no designation.” This classification should not be interpreted to imply that the entity is completely free of fiscal stress conditions. Rather, the entity’s financial information, when objectively scored according to the FSMS criteria, did not generate sufficient points to place them in one of the three established stress categories.

The most current applicable report of the State Comptroller designates the District as “No Designation.”

See the State Comptroller’s official website for more information on FSMS. Reference to such website implies no warranty of accuracy of information therein.

LITIGATION

The District is subject to a number of claims and lawsuits in the ordinary conduct of its affairs. Except as noted below, in the opinion of the School Attorney, such claims and suits, individually or in the aggregate, are not likely to have a material adverse effect on the financial position of the District.

The School Attorney has indicated there are presently one special education hearings pending against the District, seeking tuition reimbursement. Although an exact amount of an adverse finding could not be determined by the

School Attorney, it was indicated such findings would not have a material impact the finances of the District. The District prevailed in two administrative decisions regarding the compliant, and expects a favorable decision in federal court on the remaining appeal.

The District is also party to various tax certiorari proceedings instituted by various taxpayers under Article 7 of the Real Property Tax Law. In these actions, taxpayers have claimed that real property assessments as presently determined are excessive. Such claims seek to have the property assessment reduced and, generally, request a refund for a portion of the taxes previously paid. It is not possible to provide an estimate of the District's potential exposure with respect to all pending certiorari claims. However, most claims are settled for amounts substantially below the assessment reduction specified in the original filing. The District maintains a reserve for tax certiorari settlements. For the 2015 and 2016 fiscal years the District paid \$65,259 and \$37,688 in tax refunds pursuant to tax certiorari claims. For 2017, as of November 2, 2016, the District has paid \$94,218 in tax refunds pursuant to tax certiorari claims. At June 30, 2016, the District's (audited) reserve balance for tax certioraris was \$196,877. If necessary, the District may issue debt to finance judgments or settled claims.

TAX MATTERS

Opinion of Bond Counsel

In the opinion of Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, Bond Counsel to the District, under existing statutes and court decisions and assuming continuing compliance with certain tax certifications described herein, (i) interest on the Notes is excluded from gross income for Federal income tax purposes pursuant to Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and (ii) interest on the Notes is not treated as a preference item in calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations under the Code; such interest, however, is included in the adjusted current earnings of certain corporations for purposes of calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed on such corporations. The Tax Certificate of the District (the "Tax Certificate"), which will be delivered concurrently with the delivery of the Notes will contain provisions and procedures relating to compliance with applicable requirements of the Code. In rendering its opinion, Bond Counsel has relied on certain representations, certifications of fact, and statements of reasonable expectations made by the District in connection with the Notes, and Bond Counsel has assumed compliance by the District with certain ongoing provisions and procedures set forth in the Tax Certificate relating to compliance with applicable requirements of the Code to assure the exclusion of interest on the Notes from gross income pursuant to Section 103 of the Code.

In addition, in the opinion of Bond Counsel to the District, under existing statutes, interest on the Notes is exempt from personal income taxes of New York State and its political subdivisions, including The City of New York.

Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding any other Federal or state tax consequences with respect to the Notes. Bond Counsel renders its opinion under existing statutes and court decisions as of the issue date, and assumes no obligation to update, revise or supplement its opinion after the issue date to reflect any action hereafter taken or not taken, or any facts or circumstances that may hereafter come to its attention, or changes in law or in interpretations thereof that may hereafter occur, or for any other reason. Bond Counsel expresses no opinion on the effect of any action hereafter taken or not taken in reliance upon an opinion of other counsel on the exclusion from gross income for Federal income tax purposes of interest on the Notes, or under state and local tax law.

Certain Ongoing Federal Tax Requirements and Certifications

The Code establishes certain ongoing requirements that must be met subsequent to the issuance and delivery of the Notes in order that interest on the Notes be and remain excluded from gross income under Section 103 of the Code. These requirements include, but are not limited to, requirements relating to use and expenditure of gross proceeds of the Notes, yield and other restrictions on investments of gross proceeds, and the arbitrage rebate requirement that certain excess earnings on gross proceeds be rebated to the Federal government. Noncompliance with such requirements may cause interest on the Notes to become includable in gross income for Federal income tax purposes retroactive to their issue date, irrespective of the date on which such noncompliance occurs or is discovered. The District, in executing the Tax Certificate, will certify to the effect that the District will comply with the provisions and procedures set forth therein and that it will do and perform all acts and things necessary or desirable to assure the exclusion of interest on the Notes from gross income under Section 103 of the Code.

Certain Collateral Federal Tax Consequences

The following is a brief discussion of certain collateral Federal income tax matters with respect to the Notes. It does not purport to address all aspects of Federal taxation that may be relevant to a particular owner of a Note. Prospective investors, particularly those who may be subject to special rules, are advised to consult their own tax advisors regarding the Federal tax consequences of owning and disposing of the Notes.

Prospective owners of the Notes should be aware that the ownership of such obligations may result in collateral Federal income tax consequences to various categories of persons, such as corporations (including S corporations and foreign corporations), financial institutions, property and casualty and life insurance companies, individual recipients of Social Security and railroad retirement benefits, individuals otherwise eligible for the earned income tax credit, and taxpayers deemed to have incurred or continued indebtedness to purchase or carry obligations the interest on which is excluded from gross income for Federal income tax purposes. Interest on the Notes may be taken into account in determining the tax liability of foreign corporations subject to the branch profits tax imposed by Section 884 of the Code.

Original Issue Discount

“Original issue discount” (“OID”) is the excess of the sum of all amounts payable at the stated maturity of a Note (excluding certain “qualified stated interest” that is unconditionally payable at least annually at prescribed rates) over the issue price of that maturity. In general, the “issue price” of a maturity means the first price at which a substantial amount of the Notes of that maturity was sold (excluding sales to bond houses, brokers, or similar persons acting in the capacity as underwriters, placement agents, or wholesalers). In general, the issue price for each maturity of Notes is expected to be the initial public offering price set forth in this Official Statement. Bond Counsel further is of the opinion that, for any Notes having OID (a “Discount Note”), OID that has accrued and is properly allocable to the owners of the Discount Notes, under Section 1288 of the Code is excludable from gross income for Federal income tax purposes to the same extent as other interest on the Notes.

In general, under Section 1288 of the Code, OID on a Discount Note accrues under a constant yield method, based on periodic compounding of interest over prescribed accrual periods using a compounding rate determined by reference to the yield on that Discount Note. An owner’s adjusted basis in a Discount Note is increased by accrued OID for purposes of determining gain or loss on sale, exchange, or other disposition of such Discount Note. Accrued OID may be taken into account as an increase in the amount of tax-exempt income received or deemed to have been received for purposes of determining various other tax consequences of owning a Discount Note even though there will not be a corresponding cash payment.

Owners of Discount Notes should consult their own tax advisors with respect to the treatment of original issue discount for Federal income tax purposes, including various special rules relating thereto, and the state and local tax consequences of acquiring, holding, and disposing of Discount Notes.

Note Premium

In general, if an owner acquires a Note for a purchase price (excluding accrued interest) or otherwise at a tax basis that reflects a premium over the sum of all amounts payable on the Note after the acquisition date (excluding certain “qualified stated interest” that is unconditionally payable at least annually at prescribed rates), that premium constitutes “bond premium” on that Note (a “Premium Note”). In general, under Section 171 of the Code, an owner of a Premium Note must amortize the bond premium over the remaining term of the Premium Note, based on the owner’s yield over the remaining term of the Premium Note, determined based on constant yield principles (in certain cases involving a Premium Note callable prior to its stated maturity date, the amortization period and yield may be required to be determined on the basis of an earlier call date that results in the lowest yield on such Note). An owner of a Premium Note must amortize the bond premium by offsetting the qualified stated interest allocable to each interest accrual period under the owner’s regular method of accounting against the bond premium allocable to that period. In the case of a tax-exempt Premium Note, if the bond premium allocable to an accrual period exceeds the qualified stated interest allocable to that accrual period, the excess is a nondeductible loss. Under certain circumstances, the owner of a Premium Note may realize a taxable gain upon disposition of the Premium Note even though it is sold or redeemed for an amount less than or equal to the owner’s original acquisition cost. Owners of

any Premium Note should consult their own tax advisors regarding the treatment of bond premium for Federal income tax purposes, including various special rules relating thereto, and state and local tax consequences, in connection with the acquisition, ownership, amortization of bond premium on, sale, exchange, or other disposition of Premium Notes.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

Information reporting requirements apply to interest on tax-exempt obligations, including the Notes. In general, such requirements are satisfied if the interest recipient completes, and provides the payor with, a Form W-9, "Request for Taxpayer Identification Number and Certification," or if the recipient is one of a limited class of exempt recipients. A recipient not otherwise exempt from information reporting who fails to satisfy the information reporting requirements will be subject to "backup withholding," which means that the payor is required to deduct and withhold a tax from the interest payment, calculated in the manner set forth in the Code. For the foregoing purpose, a "payor" generally refers to the person or entity from whom a recipient receives its payments of interest or who collects such payments on behalf of the recipient.

If an owner purchasing a Note through a brokerage account has executed a Form W-9 in connection with the establishment of such account, as generally can be expected, no backup withholding should occur. In any event, backup withholding does not affect the excludability of the interest on the Notes from gross income for Federal income tax purposes. Any amounts withheld pursuant to backup withholding would be allowed as a refund or a credit against the owner's Federal income tax once the required information is furnished to the Internal Revenue Service.

Miscellaneous

Tax legislation, administrative actions taken by tax authorities, or court decisions, whether at the Federal or state level, may adversely affect the tax-exempt status of interest on the Notes under Federal or state law or otherwise prevent beneficial owners of the Notes from realizing the full current benefit of the tax status of such interest. In addition, such legislation or actions (whether currently proposed, proposed in the future, or enacted) and such decisions could affect the market price or marketability of the Notes.

Prospective purchasers of the Notes should consult their own tax advisors regarding the foregoing matters.

DOCUMENTS ACCOMPANYING DELIVERY OF THE NOTES

Absence of Litigation

Upon delivery of the Notes, the District shall furnish a certificate of the School Attorney, dated the date of delivery of the Notes, to the effect that there is no controversy or litigation of any nature pending or threatened to restrain or enjoin the issuance, sale, execution or delivery of the Notes, or in any way contesting or affecting the validity of the Notes or any of the proceedings taken with respect to the issuance and sale thereof or the application of moneys to the payment of the Notes, and further stating that there is no controversy or litigation of any nature now pending or threatened by or against the District wherein an adverse judgment or ruling could have a material adverse impact on the financial condition of the District or adversely affect the power of the District to levy, collect and enforce the collection of taxes or other revenues for the payment of its Notes, which has not been disclosed in this Official Statement.

Legal Matters

Legal matters incident to the authorization, issuance and sale of the Notes are subject to the final approving opinion of Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, New York, New York, Bond Counsel. Bond Counsel's opinion will be in substantially the form attached hereto as Appendix D.

Closing Certificates

Upon the delivery of the Notes, the purchasers will be furnished with the following items: (i) a Certificate of the President of the Board of Education and certain officers of the District to the effect that as of the date of this Official Statement and at all times subsequent thereto, up to and including the time of the delivery of the Notes, this Official Statement did not and does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements herein, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, and further stating that there has been no adverse material change in the financial condition of the District since the date of this Official Statement to the date of issuance of the Notes; and having attached thereto a copy of this Official Statement; (ii) a Certificate signed by an officer of the District evidencing payment for the Notes; and (iii) a Signature Certificate evidencing the due execution of the Notes, including statements that (a) no litigation of any nature is pending or, to the knowledge of the signers, threatened, restraining or enjoining the issuance and delivery of the Notes or the levy and collection of taxes to pay the principal of and interest thereon, nor in any manner questioning the proceedings and authority under which the Notes were authorized or affecting the validity of the Notes thereunder, (b) neither the corporate existence or boundaries of the District nor the title of the signers to their respective offices is being contested, and (c) no authority or proceedings for the issuance of the Notes have been repealed, revoked or rescinded, and (iv) a Tax Certificate executed by the chief fiscal officer of the District, as described under “*Tax Matters*” herein.

DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING

This Official Statement is in a form “deemed final” by the District for the purposes of Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12 (the “Rule”). In order to assist the purchasers in complying with the Rule with respect to the Notes, the District will execute an Undertaking to Provide Notices of Events for the benefit of holders of and owners of beneficial interests in the Notes, the form of which is attached hereto as Appendix E to this Preliminary Official Statement.

Compliance History

Since 2007, there have been in excess of 50 rating actions reported by Moody’s Investors Service, Standard & Poor’s Rating Corporation and Fitch Ratings affecting the municipal bond insurance companies, some of which had insured bonds previously issued by the District. Due to widespread knowledge of these rating actions, material event notices were not filed by the District in each instance.

MUNICIPAL ADVISOR

Capital Markets Advisors, LLC, Hopewell Junction, New York, (the “Municipal Advisor”) is an independent municipal advisor registered with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board. The Municipal Advisor has served as the independent financial advisor to the District in connection with this transaction.

In preparing the Official Statement, the Municipal Advisor has relied upon governmental officials, and other sources, who have access to relevant data to provide accurate information for the Official Statement. The Municipal Advisor has not been engaged, nor has it undertaken, to independently verify the accuracy of such information. The Municipal Advisor is not a public accounting firm and has not been engaged by the District to compile, review, examine or audit any information in the Official Statement in accordance with accounting standards. The Municipal Advisor is not a law firm and does not provide legal advice with respect to this or any debt offerings of the District. The Municipal Advisor is an independent advisory firm and is not engaged in the business of underwriting, trading or distributing municipal securities or other public securities and therefore will not participate in the underwriting of the Notes.

RATING

The District did not request a rating of the Notes.

The District's outstanding uninsured bonds are assigned a rating of "AA" by S&P Global Ratings ("S&P").

Such rating reflects only the views of such rating agency and any desired explanation of the significance of such rating should be obtained from S&P at the following address: S&P Global Ratings, 55 Water Street, New York, New York 10041. There can be no assurance that such rating will continue for any specified period of time or that such rating will not be revised or withdrawn, if in the judgment of S&P, circumstances so warrant. Any such change or withdrawal of such rating may have an adverse effect on the market price of the Notes or the availability of a secondary market for the Notes.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information may be obtained from Victor Karlsson, School Business Administrator, 30 Farm to Market Road, Brewster, New York 10509, (845) 279-8000 x 6117, e-mail: vkarlsson@brewsterschools.org or from the District's Municipal Advisor, Capital Markets Advisors, LLC, 1075 Route 82, Suite 4, Hopewell Junction, New York 12533, (845) 227-8678.

Any statements in this official statement involving matters of opinion or estimates, whether or not expressly so stated, are intended as such and not as representations of fact. No representation is made that any of such statements will be realized. This Official statement is not to be construed as a contract or agreement between the District and the purchasers or holders of any of the Notes.

Capital Markets Advisors, LLC may place a copy of this Official Statement on its website at www.capmark.org. Unless this Official Statement specifically indicates otherwise, no statement on such website is included by specific reference or constitutes a part of this Official Statement. Capital Markets Advisors, LLC has prepared such website information for convenience, but no decisions should be made in reliance upon that information. Typographical or other errors may have occurred in converting original source documents to digital format, and neither the District nor Capital Markets Advisors, LLC assumes any liability or responsibility for errors or omissions on such website. Further, Capital Markets Advisors, LLC and the District disclaim any duty or obligation either to update or to maintain that information or any responsibility or liability for any damages caused by viruses in the electronic files on the website. Capital Markets Advisors, LLC and the District also assume no liability or responsibility for any errors or omissions or for any updates to dated website information.

This Official Statement is submitted only in connection with the sale of the Notes by the District and may not be reproduced or used in whole or in part for any other purpose.

BREWSTER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT
PUTNAM COUNTY, NEW YORK

By: _____
Stephen O. Jambor
President of the Board of Education and
Chief Fiscal Officer

DATED: June __, 2017

APPENDIX A

THE DISTRICT

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

THE DISTRICT

General Information

The District is located in the southeast portion of Putnam County, New York, approximately 50 miles north of New York City. The District includes the Town of Southeast, Town of Patterson, and a minor portion of the Town of Carmel. Approximately 80% of the total full valuation of the District lies within the Town of Southeast.

The majority of the population for the District resides in the Town of Southeast. The District maintains five school buildings with a total of 3,119 students enrolled for the 2016-17 fiscal year.

Residents of the District receive their basic municipal services from the towns making up the District. The County is responsible for providing social and certain health related programs.

The District is primarily residential in nature. Residents are employed locally and throughout the County and surrounding areas. Unemployment rates reported for the County in recent years have been substantially less than both State and national averages (see “*Economic and Demographic Data*” herein).

District Organization

Subject to the provisions of the State Constitution, the District operates pursuant to the Education Law, the Local Finance Law, other laws generally applicable to the District, and any special laws applicable to the District.

The legislative power of the District is vested in the Board of Education, which consists of seven members including the President and Vice President. Board members are elected for a term of three years. The administrative officers of the District who are appointed by the Board to implement the policies of the District include: Superintendent of Schools, School Business Administrator, District Clerk, and District Treasurer.

Financial Organization

Pursuant to the Local Finance Law, the President of the Board of Education is the chief fiscal officer of the District. However, certain of the financial functions of the District are the responsibility of the Superintendent of Schools, the School Business Administrator and the District Clerk.

Financial Statements and Accounting Procedures

The financial accounts of the District are maintained in accordance with the New York State Uniform System of Accounting for School Districts. Such accounts are audited annually by independent auditors, and are available for public inspection upon request.

Budgetary Procedure

The District’s fiscal year begins on July 1 and ends on June 30. Starting in the fall or winter of each year, the District’s financial plan and enrollment projection are reviewed and updated and the first draft of the next year’s proposed budget is developed by the central office staff. During the winter and early spring the budget is developed and refined in conjunction with the school building principals and department supervisors. The District’s budget is subject to the provisions of the Tax Levy Limit Law, which imposes a limitation on the amount of real property taxes that a school district may levy, and by law is submitted to voter referendum on the third Tuesday of May each year. (See “*The Tax Levy Limit Law*” herein).

The Budget for the 2017-18 fiscal year was approved by a majority of the voters of the District on May 16, 2017. See Appendix B for a summaries of the 2016-17 and 2017-18 budgets.

School Enrollment Trends

School enrollment history and projections are outlined below.

<u>Fiscal Year Ended June 30:</u>	<u>Enrollment History ⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Fiscal Year Ended June 30:</u>	<u>Enrollment Projections</u>
2013	3,240	2018	2,896
2014	3,291	2019	3,073
2015	3,248	2020	3,067
2016	3,162		
2017	3,119		

(1) Actual enrollment counts reflect only in-district students on a specific date in time, and are subject to change throughout the year. Special Education students placed out-of-district, and Private School students are excluded from these counts.

Source: Basic Educational Data System for history and outside consultant for projections.

District Facilities

The District operates five school buildings, statistics relating to each are shown below.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Year of Original Construction or Addition</u>	<u>Grades</u>
Brewster High School	840	1973,2002	9-12
Henry H. Wells Middle School	856	1959,1997,2012	6-8
Garden Street Elementary School	405	1925,1938	Closed
John F. Kennedy Elementary School	602	1964,1971	K-2
C.V. Starr Intermediate School	634	1998	3-5

The District is presently undergoing a renovation and/or expansion as part of the current District wide improvement program. The Notes provided funding for such purposes.

Employees

The District provides services through approximately 690 employees, union and non-union. Union employees are represented by the following units of organized labor.

<u>No. of Employees</u>	<u>Union</u>	<u>Contract Expiration Date</u>
281	Brewster Teachers’ Association	June 30, 2020
284	Local 200 - Putnam County Public Service Employees	June 30, 2017
17	Administrators Association of Brewster	June 30, 2020
25	Clerical Chapter of the Brewster Teachers' Assoc.	June 30, 2017
83	Substitute Teacher Chapter of the Brewster Teachers’ Assoc.	June 30, 2019

Source: District officials.

Employee Benefits

New York State Certified employees (teachers and administrators) are members of the New York State Teachers Retirement System (“TRS”). Payments to the TRS are generally deducted from State aid payments. All non-NYS certified/civil service employees of the District eligible for pension or retirement benefits under the Retirement and Social Security Law of the State of New York are members of the New York State and Local Employee's

Retirement System (“ERS”). Both the TRS and ERS are non-contributory with respect to members hired prior to July 1, 1976. Other than as discussed below, all members of the respective systems hired on or after July 1, 1976 with less than 10 year’s full-time service contribute 3% (ERS) or 3.5% (TRS) of their gross annual salary toward the cost of retirement programs.

On December 10, 2009 a new Tier V was signed into law. The law is effective for new ERS and TRS employees hired after January 1, 2010 and on or before April 1, 2012. Tier V ERS employees will contribute 3% of their salaries and TRS employees will contribute 3.5% of their salaries. There is no provision for these contributions to cease after a certain period of service.

On March 16, 2012, Governor Cuomo signed into law Chapter 18 of the Laws of 2012, which legislation provides for a new Tier VI for employees hired on or after April 1, 2012. The new pension tier has progressive contribution rates between 3% and 6% with no provision for these contributions to cease after a certain period of service; it increases the retirement age for new employees from 62 to 63 and includes provisions allowing early retirement with penalties. Under Tier VI, the pension multiplier will be 1.75% for the first 20 years of service and 2% thereafter; vesting will occur after 10 years; the time period for calculation of final average salary is increased from three years to five years; and the amount of overtime to be used to determine an employee’s pension is capped at \$15,000, indexed for inflation, for civilian and non-uniform employees and at 15% of base pay for uniformed employees outside of New York City. It also includes a voluntary, portable, defined contribution plan option for new non-union employees with salaries of \$75,000 or more.

Pension reform legislation enacted in 2003 and 2004 changed the cycle of ERS billing to match budget cycles of the District. The reform legislation also required the District to make a minimum contribution of 4.5% of payroll every year, including years in which the investment performance of the fund would otherwise make a lower contribution possible.

Due to prior poor performance of the investment portfolio of TRS and ERS, the employer contribution rates for required pension contributions to the TRS and ERS in 2011 and certain subsequent years have increased. To help mitigate the impact of such increases, legislation was enacted to permit school districts to amortize a portion of the contributions to the ERS only. Under such legislation, school districts that choose to amortize will be required to set aside and reserve funds with the ERS for certain future rate increases.

In Spring 2013, the State and TRS approved a Stable Contribution Option (“SCO”) that gives school districts the ability to better manage the spikes in Actuarially Required Contribution rates (“ARCs”). ERS followed suit and modified its existing SCO. Each plan allows school districts to pay the SCO amount in lieu of the ARC amount, which is higher, and defer the difference in payment amounts as described below.

The TRS SCO deferral plan is available to school districts for a total of seven years. Under the TRS SCO plan, payment of the deferred amount will commence in year six of the program (2018-19) and continue for five years. School districts can elect to no longer participate in the plan at any time, resume paying the ARC and begin repayment of deferred amounts over five years. Under the ERS SCO, payment of deferred amounts begins the year immediately following the deferral and the repayment period is 12 years. Once made, the election to participate in the ERS SCO is permanent. However, the school districts can choose not to defer payment in any given year. In both plans, interest on the deferred amounts is based on the yield of 10-year U.S. Treasury securities plus 1%.

The primary benefit of participation in the SCO plans is the elimination of the uncertainty in the volatility of future pension contribution ARCs in the near term, thereby providing school districts with significant assistance in its ability to create a stable and reliable fiscal plan.

According to District officials, the District presently has \$645,217 and \$544,360 in outstanding amortized ERS payments correlating to the 2014 and 2015 fiscal years. During fiscal 2015 the district paid off amounts amortized for 2012 and in fiscal 2016 the District paid off amounts amortized for 2013. District officials do not expect to amortize payments in the foreseeable future. For additional details see “Note 3 - D” in the Audited Financial Statements for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Retirement Billing Procedures

TRS. TRS contributions are paid as a reduction in State aid payments due September 15, October 15 and November 15 of the succeeding fiscal year. Any deficiency or excess in TRS contributions are settled on a current basis in the month of January.

ERS. The District's contributions to ERS are due on or before February 1. Such contributions are based on salary estimates for the State fiscal year ending on March 31 of the next calendar year.

The amounts contributed to ERS and TRS for the last five fiscal years and the amounts budgeted for the most recent fiscal year are as follows:

Fiscal Year Ended June 30:	ERS	TRS
2012	\$1,182,091	\$3,934,044
2013	1,667,503	3,851,332
2014	1,555,519	5,538,435
2015	2,050,693	6,163,599
2016	2,490,586	4,769,300
2017 (Budget)	1,954,227	4,403,811
2018 (Budget)	1,804,442	3,761,948

See "Note 3 – D-Pension Plans" in the Audited Financial Statements for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Source: Audited Financial Statements and Adopted Budgets of the District. This summary is not audited.

Other Post Employment Benefits

The District provides post-retirement healthcare benefits to various categories of former employees. These costs may be expected to rise substantially in the future. School Districts and Boards of Cooperative Education Services, unlike other municipal units of government in the State, have been prohibited from reducing retiree health benefits or increasing health care contributions received or paid by retirees below the level of benefits or contributions afforded to or required from active employees. This protection from unilateral reduction of benefits had been extended annually by the New York State Legislature until recently when legislation was enacted to make permanent these health insurance benefit protections for retirees. Legislative attempts to provide similar protection to retirees of other local units of government in the State have not succeeded as of the date hereof. Nevertheless, many such retirees of all varieties of municipal units in the State do presently receive such benefits.

GASB Statement No. 45 ("GASB 45") of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB"), requires state and local governments to account for and report their costs associated with post-retirement healthcare benefits and other nonpension benefits ("OPEB"). GASB 45 generally requires that employers account for and report the annual cost of the OPEB and the outstanding obligations and commitments related to OPEB in essentially the same manner as they currently do for pensions. Under previous rules, these benefits have generally been administered on a pay-as-you-go basis and have not been reported as a liability on governmental financial statements. Only current payments to existing retirees were recorded as an expense.

GASB 45 requires that state and local governments adopt the actuarial methodologies to determine annual OPEB costs. Annual OPEB cost for most employers will be based on actuarially determined amounts that, if paid on an ongoing basis, generally would provide sufficient resources to pay benefits as they come due.

Under GASB 45, based on actuarial valuation, an annual required contribution ("ARC") will be determined for each state or local government. The ARC is the sum of (a) the normal cost for the year (the present value of future benefits being earned by current employees) plus (b) amortization of the unfunded accrued liability (benefits already earned by current and former employees but not yet provided for), using an amortization period of not more than 30 years. If a municipality contributes an amount less than the ARC, a net OPEB obligation will result, which is required to be recorded as a liability on its financial statements.

GASB 45 does not require that the unfunded liabilities actually be funded, only that the District account for its unfunded accrued liability and compliance in meeting its ARC.

Actuarial valuation will be required every two years for the District. The District is in compliance with the requirements of GASB 45. The District has determined that its unfunded actuarial accrued liability ("UAAL") for OPEB as of July 1, 2015 was \$112,800,883. For the year ended June 30, 2016, the District's ARC was \$5,018,757.

Should the District be required to fund its unfunded actuarial accrued OPEB liability, it could have a material adverse impact upon the District's finances and could force the District to reduce services, raise taxes or both. At the present time, however, there is no current or planned requirement for the District to partially fund its actuarial accrued OPEB liability. At this time, New York State has not developed guidelines for the creation and use of reserve funds or irrevocable trusts for the funding of OPEB. The District continues funding the expenditure on a pay-as-you-go basis.

Legislation was introduced last year in the State Legislature to authorize local governments and other public entities to establish trusts to accumulate and disburse funds through governing board appropriation for payment of OPEB liabilities. This legislation would authorize the establishment of a trust by resolution of the local government's governing body which would serve as the trustee (unless trustee authority is delegated to the local government's chief fiscal officer). Trust investments would be held by the State Comptroller as sole custodian for investment in accordance with the written investment policy developed by the trustee and the written agreement between the trust and the State Comptroller. Trust funds would not be subject to local government creditor claims, and local government officers would not be subject to liability for loss on investments in the trust. The District can't predict whether the bill will be enacted into law.

See "Note 3 - D" in the Audited Financial Statements for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Investment Policy

Pursuant to Section 39 of the State's General Municipal Law, the District has an investment policy applicable to the investment of all moneys and financial resources of the District. The responsibility for the investment program has been delegated by the Board of Education to the Assistant Superintendent for Business who was required to establish written operating procedures consistent with the District's investment policy guidelines. According to the investment policy of the District, all investments must conform to the applicable requirements of law and provide for: the safety of the principal; sufficient liquidity; and a reasonable rate of return.

Authorized Investments. The District has designated three banks or trust companies, excluding NYCLASS, which are located and authorized to conduct business in the State to receive deposits of money. The District is permitted to invest in special time deposits or certificates of deposit.

In addition to bank deposits, the District is permitted to invest moneys in direct obligations of the United States of America, obligations guaranteed by agencies of the United States where the payment of principal and interest are further guaranteed by the United States of America and obligations of the State. Other eligible investments for the District include: revenue and tax anticipation notes issued by any municipality, school district or district corporation other than the District (investment subject to approval of the State Comptroller); obligations of certain public authorities or agencies; obligations issued pursuant to Section 109(b) of the General Municipal Law (certificates of participation) and certain obligations of the District but only with respect to moneys of a reserve fund established pursuant to Section 6 of the General Municipal Law. The District may also utilize repurchase agreements to the extent such agreements are based upon direct or guaranteed obligations of the United States of America. Repurchase agreements are subject to the following restrictions, among others: all repurchase agreements are subject to a master repurchase agreement; trading partners are limited to banks or trust companies authorized to conduct business in the State or primary reporting dealers as designated by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York; securities may not be substituted; and the custodian for the repurchase security must be a party other than the trading partner. All purchased obligations, unless registered or inscribed in the name of the District, must be purchased through, delivered to and held in the custody of a bank or trust company located and authorized to conduct business in the State.

Collateral Requirements. All District deposits in excess of the applicable insurance coverage provide by the Federal Deposit Insurance Act must be secured in accordance with the provisions of and subject to the limitations of Section 10 of the General Municipal Law of the State. Such collateral must consist of the “eligible securities,” “eligible surety bonds” or “eligible letter of credit” as described in the law.

Eligible securities pledged to secure deposits must be held by the depository or third party bank or trust company pursuant to written security and custodial agreements. The District's security agreements provide that the aggregate market value of pledged securities must equal or exceed the principal amount of deposit, the agreed upon interest, if any, and any costs or expenses arising from the collection such deposits in the event of a default. Securities not registered or inscribed in the name of the District must be delivered, in a form suitable for transfer or with an assignment in blank, to the District or its designated custodial bank. The custodial agreements used by the District provide that pledged securities must be kept separate and apart from the general assets of the custodian and will not, under any circumstances, be commingled with or become part of the backing for any other deposit or liability. The custodial agreement must also provide that the custodian shall confirm the receipt, substitution or release of the collateral, the frequency of revaluation of eligible securities and the substitution of collateral when a change in the rating of a security may cause ineligibility.

An eligible irrevocable letter of credit may be issued, in favor of the District, by a qualified bank other than the depository bank. Such letters may have a term not to exceed 90 days and must have an aggregate value equal to 140% of the deposit obligations and the agreed upon interest. Qualified banks include those with commercial paper or other unsecured or short-term debt ratings within one of the three highest categories assigned by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization or a bank that is in compliance with applicable Federal minimum risk-based capital requirements.

An eligible surety bond must be underwritten by an insurance company authorized to do business in the State which has claims paying ability rated in the highest rating category for claims paying ability by at least two nationally recognized statistical rating organizations. The surety bond must be payable to the District in an amount equal to 100% of the aggregate deposits and the agreed interest thereon.

FINANCIAL FACTORS

District finances are operated primarily through its General Fund. All taxes and most other revenues are paid into this fund and all current operating expenditures are made from it. A Statement of Revenues and Expenditures for the five-year period ending June 30, 2016 is contained in Appendix B. As reflected in Appendix B, the District derives the bulk of its annual revenues from a tax on real property. Capital improvements are generally financed by the issuance of bonds, bond anticipation notes and the use of funds reserved for capital improvements.

Real Property Taxes

The District derives a major portion of its revenues from a tax on real property (see “*Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance-General Fund*” in Appendix B, herein). Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011, as amended, which imposes a tax levy limitation upon the municipalities, school districts and fire districts in the State, including the District. (See “*The Tax Levy Limit Law*” herein.)

(The remainder of this page has been left intentionally blank.)

The following table sets forth total general fund revenues and real property tax revenue during the last five audited fiscal years, and real property tax revenue budgeted for the two most recent fiscal years.

Real Property Taxes to General Fund Revenue

<u>Fiscal Years Ended June 30:</u>	<u>General Fund Revenue ⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Real Property Tax Revenue ⁽²⁾</u>	<u>Real Property to General Fund Revenue %</u>
2012	\$80,111,720	\$65,223,986	81.4%
2013	81,543,470	66,587,894	81.7
2014	84,593,421	67,910,901	80.3
2015	85,436,834	68,888,717	80.6
2016	88,166,867	70,209,440	79.6
2017 (Budget) ⁽³⁾	90,085,986	71,329,219	79.2
2018 (Budget) ⁽³⁾	92,758,301	72,513,451	78.2

(1) Excludes other financing sources.

(2) Inclusive of PILOT, interest and penalties on real property taxes and Other Tax Items, which represents STAR tax payments made to the District by the State.
(See "STAR - School Tax Exemption" herein).

(3) Excludes the appropriation of approximately \$2.6 million in fund balance for 2016-17 and 2017-18.

Source: Audited Financial Statements and Adopted Budgets of the District. This summary is not audited.

State Aid

The District receives State aid for operating and other purposes at various times throughout its fiscal year, pursuant to formulas and payment schedules set forth by statute.

The following table sets forth total general fund revenue and State aid revenues during the last five fiscal years, and the amount budgeted for the two most recent fiscal year.

State Aid to General Fund Revenue

<u>Fiscal Year Ended June 30:</u>	<u>General Fund Revenue ⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>State Aid</u>	<u>State Aid to Revenue (%)</u>
2012	\$80,111,720	\$13,671,264	17.1%
2013	81,543,470	14,291,259	17.5
2014	84,593,421	15,686,574	18.5
2015	85,436,834	15,641,911	18.6
2016	88,166,867	17,290,746	19.6
2017 (Budget) ⁽²⁾	90,085,986	18,127,767	20.1
2018 (Budget) ⁽²⁾	92,758,301	19,338,895	20.8

(1) Excludes other financing sources.

(2) Excludes the appropriation of approximately \$2.6 million in fund balance for 2016-17 and 2017-18.

Source: Audited Financial Statements and Adopted Budgets of the District. This summary is not audited.

In addition to the amount of State Aid budgeted annually by the District, the State makes payments of STAR aid representing tax savings provided by school districts to their taxpayers under the STAR Program (see "STAR-School Tax Exemption"). The District expects to receive timely STAR aid from the State for the current fiscal year.

There can be no assurance that the State appropriation for State aid to school districts will be continued in future years, either pursuant to existing formulas or in any form whatsoever. State aid appropriated and apportioned to the District can be paid only if the State has such monies available therefore. The availability of such monies and the

timeliness of such payment could be affected by a delay in the adoption of the State budget or other circumstances including State fiscal stress. In any event, State aid appropriated and apportioned to the District can be paid only if the State has such monies available therefore.

Should the District fail to receive State aid expected from the State in the amounts and at the times expected, occasioned by a delay in the payment of such monies or by a reduction in State aid, the District is authorized by the Local Finance Law to provide operating funds by borrowing in anticipation of the receipt of uncollected State aid.

Events Affecting New York School Districts

School district fiscal year (2011-2012): The total reduction in State aid for school districts' 2011-2012 fiscal year was \$1.3 billion or 6.1 percent from the previous year, and all aid was received on time.

School district fiscal year (2012-2013): The State Legislature adopted the State budget on March 30, 2012. The budget included an increase of \$751 million in State aid for school districts.

School district fiscal year (2013-2014): The State Legislature adopted the State budget on March 29, 2013. The budget included an increase of \$1.0 billion in State aid for school districts.

School district fiscal year (2014-2015): The State Legislature adopted the State budget on March 31, 2014. The budget included an increase of \$1.1 billion in State aid for school districts.

The Smart Schools Bond Act was passed as part of the Enacted 2014-2015 State Budget. The Smart Schools Bond Act authorizes the issuance of \$2 billion of general obligation bonds to finance improved educational technology and infrastructure to improve learning and opportunity for students throughout the State. The District's estimated allocation of funds is \$1.6 million.

School district fiscal year (2015-2016): The State Legislature adopted the State budget on March 31, 2015. The budget includes an increase of \$1.4 billion in State aid for school districts that is tied to changes in the teacher evaluation and tenure process. School districts must obtain approval of their revised teacher evaluation plans by November 15, 2015 in order to receive their allotted increase in State aid.

School district fiscal year (2016-2017): The State Legislature adopted the State budget on March 31, 2016. The budget includes an increase of \$991 million in State aid for school districts over the 2015-16 budget, \$863 million of which consists of traditional operating aid. In addition to the \$408 million of expense based aid, the Governor's budget includes a \$266 million increase in Foundation Aid and a \$189 million restoration to the Gap Elimination Adjustment. The majority of the remaining increase includes \$100 million in Community Schools Aid, a newly adopted aid category, to support school districts that wish to create community schools. The funds may only be used for certain purposes such as providing health, mental health and nutritional services to students and their families.

School district fiscal year (2017-2018): The State's 2017-2018 Enacted Budget provides for school aid of approximately \$25.8 billion, an increase of \$1.1 billion in school aid spending from the 2016-2017 school year. The majority of the increases have been targeted to high need school districts. Expense-based aids to support school construction, pupil transportation, BOCES and special education were continued in full, as is the State's usual practice. Transportation aid increased by 5.5% and building aid increased by 4.8%. The State 2017-18 Enacted Budget continues to link school aid increases for 2017-18 and 2018-19 to teacher and principal evaluation plans approved by September 1 of the current year in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d. In addition, the State 2017-18 Enacted Budget allows the Governor to reduce aid to school districts mid-year if receipts from the Federal government are less than what was expected. The Legislature then will have 90 days to approve the Governor's plan.

The District believes that it would mitigate the impact of any delays or the reduction in State aid by reducing expenditures, increasing revenues, appropriating other available funds on hand, and/or by any combination of the foregoing. (See also "*Market Factors Affecting Financings of the Municipalities of the State*" herein).

Other Revenues

In addition to property taxes and State Aid, the District receives other revenues from miscellaneous sources as shown in Appendix B.

Independent Audits

The District retained the firm of PKF O'Connor Davies, LLP, Certified Public Accountants, to audit its financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 - 2016. Appendix B, attached hereto, presents excerpts from the District's most recent audited reports covering the last five fiscal years. Appendix C contains a link to the last fiscal year audit.

State Audits. In addition, the District is subject to audit by the State Comptroller to review compliance with legal requirements and the rules and regulations established by the State. See *“The State Comptroller’s Fiscal Stress Monitoring System and OSC Compliance Reviews”* herein.

A report reviewing the financial conditions of in the District for the period July 1, 2012 to December 4, 2013 was made available on November 07, 2014. Results of the audit and corresponding recommendations have been discussed with District officials and comments from the District have been included as a part of the audit report. Furthermore, the State audit report and subsequent recommendations reflect only the viewpoint of the State and are intended to be resources of the District. Full copies of the State audit may be obtained by visiting the Office of the State Comptroller’s official website.

(The remainder of this page has been left intentionally blank.)

REAL PROPERTY TAXES

Assessed and Full Valuations

The following is the Real Property Tax Assessment and Rates for the last five fiscal years ending June 30:

Real Property Tax Assessments, Rates and Collections					
<u>Fiscal Year Ending June 30:</u>					
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>
Assessed Values:					
Southeast	\$2,472,055,627	\$2,457,682,912	\$ 2,436,978,323	\$ 2,462,080,200	\$2,440,656,617
Patterson	555,507,336	556,019,931	539,733,468	545,423,722	527,975,629
Carmel	<u>15,467,233</u>	<u>15,328,024</u>	<u>15,214,181</u>	<u>14,819,857</u>	<u>14,784,049</u>
Total Assessed Values	<u>\$3,043,030,196</u>	<u>\$3,029,030,867</u>	<u>\$2,991,925,972</u>	<u>\$3,022,323,779</u>	<u>\$2,983,416,295</u>
Equalization Rates: ⁽¹⁾					
Southeast	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Patterson	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Carmel	60.00	62.60	62.60	60.00	59.00
Full Values:					
Southeast	\$2,472,055,627	\$2,457,682,912	\$2,436,978,323	\$2,462,080,200	\$2,440,656,617
Patterson	555,507,336	556,019,931	539,733,468	545,423,722	527,975,629
Carmel	<u>25,778,722</u>	<u>24,485,661</u>	<u>24,303,804</u>	<u>24,699,761</u>	<u>25,057,710</u>
Total Full Values	<u>\$3,053,341,685</u>	<u>\$3,038,188,504</u>	<u>\$3,001,015,595</u>	<u>\$3,032,203,683</u>	<u>\$2,993,689,956</u>
Tax Rate Per \$1,000 AV:					
Southeast:					
Homestead	\$19.59	\$20.29	\$20.98	\$21.26	\$21.30
Non-Homestead	27.06	28.15	29.22	29.58	29.69
Patterson:					
Homestead	19.72	20.42	21.09	21.33	21.39
Non-Homestead	26.97	28.05	28.97	29.29	29.55
Carmel:					
Homestead	33.43	29.36	32.64	34.05	34.96
Non-Homestead	44.89	44.63	46.20	48.81	49.82
Tax levy ⁽²⁾	<u>\$66,076,292</u>	<u>\$67,358,172</u>	<u>\$68,215,605</u>	<u>\$69,702,103</u>	<u>\$70,984,558</u>
Levy Uncollected at End of Fiscal Year ⁽³⁾	None	None	None	None	N/A

(1) State Board. All equalization rates shown are final.

(2) Gross Levy, prior to additions to or deletions from tax roll and STAR exemption.

(3) See "Tax Collection Procedures."

Tax Limit

The Constitution does not limit the amount that may be raised by the District-wide tax levy on real estate in any fiscal year. However, Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011, as amended, imposes a statutory limit on the amount of real property taxes that a school district may levy. (See "*The Tax Levy Limit Law*" herein.)

The Tax Levy Limit Law

Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011, as amended, (herein referred to as the “Tax Levy Limit Law” or “Law”) modified previous law by imposing a limit on the amount of real property taxes that a school district may levy. Prior to the enactment of the Law, there was no statutory limitation on the amount of real property taxes that a school district could levy if its budget had been approved by a simple majority of its voters. In the event the budget had been defeated by the voters, the school district was required to adopt a contingency budget. Under a contingency budget, school budget increases were limited to the lesser of four percent (4%) of the prior year’s budget or one hundred twenty percent (120%) of the consumer price index (“CPI”).

The Tax Levy Limit Law imposes a limitation on the amount of tax levy growth from one fiscal year to the next. Such limitation is the lesser of (i) 2% or (ii) the annual percentage increase in the consumer price index, as described in the Law. A budget with a tax levy that does not exceed such limit will require approval by at least 50% of the voters. Approval by at least 60% of the voters will be required for a budget with a tax levy increase in excess of the limit. In the event the voters reject the budget, or a subsequent resubmitted budget, the tax levy for the school district’s budget for the ensuing fiscal year may not exceed the amount of the tax levy for the prior fiscal year.

The Law permits certain significant exclusions to the tax levy limit for school districts. These include taxes to pay the local share of debt service on bonds or notes issued to finance voter approved capital expenditures and the refinancing or refunding of such bonds or notes, certain pension cost increases, and other items enumerated in the Law. However, such exclusion does NOT apply to taxes to pay debt service on tax anticipation notes, revenue anticipation notes, budget notes and deficiency notes; and any obligations issued to finance deficits and certain judgments, including tax certiorari refund payments. (See “*Nature of Obligation*” herein).

On February 20, 2013, the New York State United Teachers (“NYSUT”) and several individuals filed a lawsuit in State Supreme Court in Albany County seeking a declaratory judgment and a preliminary injunction that the Tax Levy Limitation Law is unconstitutional as it applies to public school districts. On September 23, 2014, a justice of the New York State Supreme Court dismissed each of NYSUT’s causes of action but granted NYSUT’s motion to amend the complaint. NYSUT subsequently served a second amended complaint seeking a preliminary injunction and challenging the Tax Levy Limitation Law as violative of the Education Article of the New York State Constitution, the Equal Protection and Due Process clauses and the First Amendment. On March 16, 2015 a New York State Supreme Court Justice denied NYSUT’s motion for a preliminary injunction and dismissed all causes of action contained in NYSUT’s second amended complaint. NYSUT appealed the decision to continue its challenge to the constitutionality of the Tax Levy Limitation Law. On May 5, 2016 the Appellate Division upheld the lower court dismissal, noting that while the State is required to provide the opportunity of a sound basic education, the Constitution “does not require that equal educational offerings be provided to every student”, and further noted “the legitimate government interest of restraining crippling property tax increases”. Press reports indicate that NYSUT is reviewing the decision and is likely to appeal to the Court of Appeals.

Tax Collection Procedures

The Board of Education of the District levies real property taxes after such taxes have been approved by the District's voters. School taxes become a lien upon the final adoption of the school tax roll by the Board of Education. Unpaid interest on school taxes is deemed part of the tax and together with such original tax remain a lien until paid.

School taxes are collected by the component towns of the District between September 1 and November 1. Such taxes may be paid during the month of September without interest. Generally, payments received on or after October 1 must include interest computed at 1% per month from September 1. On or about November 1, the various school tax collecting officers transmit a listing of unpaid taxes to the District. A certified listing of unpaid taxes must be transmitted to the County not later than November 15.

Unpaid school taxes with 7% added thereto are relieved by the County and thereafter collected and enforced in the same manner as real property taxes levied for County purposes. The County must remit the full amount of unpaid taxes to the District by April 1 of the succeeding calendar year. Thus the District is guaranteed 100% of its taxes in the year of levy.

STAR - School Tax Exemption

The STAR (School Tax Relief) program provides State-funded exemptions from school property taxes to homeowners for their primary residences. Homeowners over 65 years of age with household adjusted gross incomes, less the taxable amount of total distributions from individual retirement accounts and individual retirement annuities (“STAR Adjusted Gross Income”) of \$86,000 or less, increased annually according to a cost of living adjustment, are eligible for a “full value” exemption of the first \$65,300 for the 2016-17 school year (adjusted annually). Other homeowners with household STAR Adjusted Gross income not in excess of \$500,000 are eligible for a \$30,000 “full value” exemption on their primary residence. School districts receive full reimbursement from the State for real property taxes exempted pursuant to the STAR program by the first business day in January of each year.

Part A of Chapter 60 of the Laws of 2016 of the State of New York (“Chapter 60”) gradually converts the STAR program from a real property tax exemption to a personal income tax credit. Chapter 60 prohibits new STAR exemptions from being granted unless at least one of the applicants held title to the property on the taxable status date of the assessment roll that was used to levy school district taxes for the 2015-2016 school year (generally, March 1, 2015), and the property was granted a STAR exemption on that assessment roll. However, a new homeowner may receive a new personal income tax credit in the form of a check. The dollar benefit to eligible taxpayers will not change. A taxpayer who is eligible for the new credit will receive a check from the State equal to the amount by which the STAR exemption would have reduced his or her school tax bill. A homeowner who owned his or her home on the taxable status date for the assessment roll used to levy taxes for the 2015-2016 school year, and who received a STAR exemption on that roll, may continue to receive a STAR exemption on that home as long as he or she still owns and primarily resides in it. No further action is required (unless the homeowner has been receiving Basic STAR and wants to apply for Enhanced STAR, which is permissible).

The State 2017-18 Enacted Budget includes changes to Chapter 60. STAR checks are now expected to be mailed out prior to the date that school taxes are payable. The amount of the check will be based on the previous year’s amount adjusted by the levy growth factor used for the property tax cap. Any changes that must be made based on the final STAR credit compared to the estimate used will be factored into the subsequent year’s STAR credit check or taxpayers also may account for those changes in their State income taxes.

Approximately 9.4% of the District’s 2016-17 school tax levy was exempted by the STAR program and the District has received full reimbursement of such exempt taxes from the State. (See “*State Aid*” herein).

Ten of the Largest Taxpayers

The following table presents the taxable assessments of ten of the District’s largest taxpayers for the 2016-2017 fiscal year.

Name	Nature of Business	Assessed Valuation	% of Assessed Valuation ⁽¹⁾
City of New York	Municipal Utility	\$219,207,249	7.32%
Algonquin Gas	Utility	75,806,104	2.53
NYS Electric & Gas	Utility	43,585,451	1.46
Highlands Center ⁽²⁾	Retail Center	22,038,000	0.74
Lakeview Plaza LLC	Shopping Center	21,308,200	0.71
Putnam Ridge Real Estate LLC	Nursing Home	20,200,000	0.67
Glickenhau Brewster Dev. Inc. ⁽²⁾	Real Estate	10,535,023	0.35
Putnam County IDA	Other	10,172,000	0.34
Orchard Ridge Associates	Warehouse	8,882,025	0.30
Garden Homes Brewster	Property Manag.	8,312,103	0.28
Total		<u><u>\$440,046,155</u></u>	<u><u>14.70%</u></u>

(1) The District’s assessed value for the 2016-2017 fiscal year is \$2,993,689,956.

(2) Pending tax certiorari.

DISTRICT INDEBTEDNESS

Constitutional Requirements

The New York State Constitution and Local Finance Law limit the power of the District (and other municipalities and school districts of the State) to issue obligations and to contract indebtedness. Such constitutional and statutory limitations include the following, in summary form, and are generally applicable to the District:

Purpose and Pledge. The District shall not give or loan any money or property to or in aid of any individual, or private corporation or private undertaking or give or loan its credit to or in aid of any of the foregoing or any public corporation.

The District may contract indebtedness only for a District purpose and shall pledge its faith and credit for the payment of principal of and interest thereon.

Payment and Maturity. Except for certain short-term indebtedness contracted in anticipation of taxes, or to be paid within three fiscal year periods, indebtedness shall be paid in annual installments commencing no later than two years after the date such indebtedness shall have been contracted and ending no later than the periods of probable usefulness of the objects or purposes determined by statute or the weighted average period of usefulness of the several objects or purposes contracted therefore; no installment may be more than fifty per centum in excess of the smallest prior installment, unless the District has authorized the issuance of indebtedness having substantially level or declining annual debt service. The District is required to provide an annual appropriation for the payment of interest due during the year on its indebtedness and for the amounts required in such year for amortization and redemption of its serial bonds, bond anticipation notes and capital notes.

General. The District is further subject to constitutional limitation by the general constitutionally imposed duty on the State Legislature to restrict the power of taxation and contracting indebtedness to prevent abuses in the exercise of such power; however, the State Legislature is prohibited by a specific constitutional provision from restricting the power of the District to levy taxes on real estate for the payment of interest on or principal of indebtedness theretofore contracted. There is no constitutional limitation on the amount that may be raised by the District by tax on real estate in any fiscal year to pay principal of and interest on all indebtedness. However, the Tax Levy Limit Law imposes a statutory limitation on the power of the District to increase its annual tax levy. (See “*Nature of the Obligation*” and “*The Tax Levy Limit Law*” herein).

Statutory Procedure

In general, the State Legislature has, by enactment of the Local Finance Law, authorized the power and procedure for the District to borrow and incur indebtedness subject, of course, to the constitutional and provisions set forth above. The power to spend money, however, generally derives from other law, including the Education Law.

The District is generally required by such laws to submit propositions for the expenditure of money for capital purposes to the qualified electors of the District. Upon approval thereby, the Board of Education may adopt a bond resolution authorizing the issuance of bonds and notes in anticipation of the bonds. With respect to certain school building construction projects, the District is not permitted to spend in excess of \$100,000 for construction costs until the plans and specification for such project have been approved by the Commissioner of Education of the State.

The Local Finance Law also provides a twenty-day statute of limitations after publication of a bond resolution, together with a statutory form of notice which, in effect, stops legal challenges to the validity of obligations authorized by such bond resolution except for alleged constitutional violations.

The Board of Education, as the finance board of the District, also has the power to authorize the sale and issuance of bonds and notes. However, such finance board may delegate the power to sell debt obligations to the President of the Board of Education, the chief fiscal officer of the District, pursuant to the Local Finance Law.

Debt Limit. Pursuant to the Local Finance Law, the District has the power to contract indebtedness for any District purpose authorized by the Legislature of the State of New York provided the aggregate amount thereof shall not exceed ten per centum of the full valuation of taxable real estate of the District and subject to certain enumerated exclusions and deductions such as State aid for building purposes. The constitutional and statutory method for determining full valuation consists of taking the assessed valuation of taxable real estate for the last completed assessment roll and applying thereto the ratio (equalization rate) which such assessed valuation bears to the full valuation; such ratio is determined by the State Board of Real Property Services. The State Legislature is required to prescribe the manner by which such ratio shall be determined by such authority.

Statutory Debt Limit and Net Indebtedness

The following table presents the debt-incurring power of the District and shows that the District is within its constitutional debt limit.

Computation of Statutory Debt Contracting Limitation As of June 16, 2017			
Town	Assessed Valuations (1)	Equalization Rate (2)	Full Valuations
Southeast	\$2,440,656,617	100.00%	\$2,440,656,617
Patterson	527,975,629	100.00	527,975,629
Carmel	14,784,049	59.00	25,057,710
Total Full Valuation			2,993,689,956
Debt-Contracting Limitation: (10% of Full Valuation)			\$ 299,368,995

- (1) Town assessment rolls completed in 2016 for taxes levied in 2017.
(2) ORPTS.

**Statutory Debt Limit and Net Indebtedness
As of June 16, 2017**

	Amount	Percentage
Debt Contracting Limitation:	\$299,368,995	100.0%
Gross Indebtedness ⁽¹⁾ :		
Serial Bonds	32,740,000	10.94
Bond Anticipation Notes	35,940,936	12.00
Gross Indebtedness	68,680,936	22.94
Exclusions and Deductions ⁽²⁾	-0-	0.00
Net Direct Debt	68,680,936	22.94
Net Debt-Contracting Margin	\$230,688,059	77.06%

- (1) Excludes \$1,226,008 outstanding in an energy performance contract lease that is not included in gross direct debt.
(2) The District estimates that it will receive approximately \$24.8 million of State school building aid for outstanding bonds and notes. Such estimate, however, has not been certified by the State and, therefore, no deduction has been taken to compute the District's debt limit. The District has no reason to believe that it will not ultimately receive all of the school building aid it anticipates, however, no assurance can be given as to when and how much building aid the District will receive in relation to outstanding capital debt.

Short-Term Indebtedness

Pursuant to the Local Finance Law, the District is authorized to issue short-term indebtedness, in the form of notes as specified by such statute, to finance both capital and operating purposes.

Bond anticipation notes may be sold to provide moneys for capital projects once a bond resolution has been adopted. Generally, bond anticipation notes are issued in the anticipation of the sale of bonds at some future date and may be renewed from time to time up to five years from the date of the first note. Bond anticipation notes may not be renewed after the second year unless there is a principal payment on such notes from a source other than the proceeds of bonds. In no event, may bond anticipation notes be renewed after the sale of bonds in anticipation of which the notes were originally issued.

The District is also authorized by law to issue tax anticipation notes and revenue anticipation notes to provide cash to pay operating expenditures. Borrowings for this purpose are restricted by formulas contained in the Local Finance Law and Regulations issued under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. Bonds may be renewed from time-to-time, generally not beyond three years in the case of revenue anticipation notes, and five years for tax anticipation notes. Budget notes may be issued to finance current operating expenditures for which there is no appropriation or the amount so appropriated is not sufficient. Generally, the amount of budget notes issued may not exceed 5% of the budget and must be redeemed in the next fiscal year.

Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes

The District has not issued tax anticipation notes or revenue anticipation notes in more than ten years.

Energy Performance Contract Lease

The District from time-to-time enters into financing leases or installment purchase contracts as such leases are described under State law. Under State law, installment purchase contracts are deemed to be executory only to the extent that moneys have been appropriated and are available therefor. Such contracts do not constitute general obligations of the District secured by a faith and credit pledge of the District's taxing powers. The total amount of periodic payments, exclusive of interest, due on installment purchase contracts may not exceed 40% of the District's constitutional debt limit. Such obligations presently represent approximately 0.25% of the District's maximum debt authority. At June 16, 2017, the balance for such contracts was \$1,226,008.

Bond Anticipation Notes

The District currently has the following bond anticipation notes outstanding:

<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Amount Outstanding</u>	<u>Maturity Date</u>
Buses	\$ 1,335,966 ⁽¹⁾	07-14-2017
Building Improvements	<u>34,604,970 ⁽¹⁾</u>	07-14-2017
	<u><u>\$35,940,936</u></u>	

(1) To be renewed at maturity with available funds and a portion of the proceeds of the Notes. See "Authority for and Purpose of the Notes," herein.

Trend of Capital Indebtedness

The following table provides information relating to direct indebtedness outstanding as of June 30 for the 2012 through 2016 fiscal years. Energy performance contract debt is not included in the below calculations.

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
Bonds	\$18,720,000	\$16,325,000	\$13,805,000	\$11,295,000	\$35,735,000
Bond Anticipation Notes	<u>29,183,000</u>	<u>29,100,230</u>	<u>28,008,669</u>	<u>29,023,981</u>	<u>10,174,614</u>
Total Outstanding	<u><u>\$47,903,000</u></u>	<u><u>\$45,425,230</u></u>	<u><u>\$43,101,103</u></u>	<u><u>\$40,318,981</u></u>	<u><u>\$45,909,614</u></u>

Overlapping and Underlying Debt

In addition to the District, other political subdivisions have the power to issue bonds and to levy taxes or cause taxes to be levied on taxable real property in the District. The real property taxpayers of the District are responsible for a proportionate share of outstanding debt obligations of these subdivisions. Such taxpayers' share of overlapping and underlying debt is based on the amount of the District's equalized property values taken as a percentage of each separate unit's total equalized values. The following table presents the amount of overlapping and underlying debt and the District's estimated share of this debt. Authorized but unissued debt has not been included.

Statement of Direct and Overlapping Indebtedness As of June 16, 2017

Gross Direct Indebtedness	\$68,680,936
Exclusions and Deductions	<u>0</u>
Net Direct Indebtedness	<u><u>\$68,680,936</u></u>

	<u>Date</u>	<u>Net Debt Outstanding</u>	<u>Percent Applicable to District</u>	<u>Net Amount Applicable To District</u>
County ⁽¹⁾	06-22-16	\$ 56,275,000	23.1%	\$12,999,525
Towns:				
Southeast ⁽²⁾	12-31-16	10,282,000	82.2	7,228,668
Patterson ⁽³⁾	06-15-16	5,805,000	45.9	2,664,495
Carmel ⁽⁴⁾	09-02-16	20,167,700	0.6	121,006
Village:				
Brewster ⁽⁵⁾	10-03-16	55,000	100.00	<u>55,000</u>
				<u><u>\$23,068,694</u></u>

- (1) Excludes budgetary appropriations (\$2,643,274).
(2) Excludes water debt (\$1,806,574).
(3) Excludes budgetary appropriations (\$355,000) and water debt (\$125,000).
(4) Excludes water debt (\$4,575,610) and budgetary appropriations (\$888,000).
(5) Excludes water debt (\$12,920,000), sewer debt (\$4,110,000), and budgetary appropriations (\$70,380).

Debt Ratios

The following table presents certain debt ratios relating to the District's indebtedness as of June 16, 2017. Energy performance contract debt has been excluded, however the net effect of estimated building aid has not been included in these calculations.

	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Debt Per Capita ⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Debt to Full Value⁽²⁾</u>
Net Direct Debt	\$68,680,936	\$3,121	2.29%
Net Direct & Overlapping Debt	91,749,630	4,169	3.06

(1) The population of the District is estimated by District officials to be approximately 22,003.

(2) The District's estimated full value of taxable real property for fiscal 2016-17 is \$2,993,689,956.

Authorized But Unissued Debt

The voters of the District approved a \$38,903,240 capital improvement project on December 9, 2014 to provide financing for the construction of improvements and alterations to various district buildings and/or sites and the Board of Education adopted a bond resolution for this amount on January 13, 2015. On July 16, 2015 the District issued \$9,050,000 of the authorized amount to commence work on the project and an additional \$25,554,975 in July of 2016 to continue work. The District will issue the remainder of funds in connection with the Notes (see "Authority for and Purpose of the Notes," herein). District officials expect to utilize the full authorization and will issue further bond anticipation notes or serial bonds as is required by the projects cash flow needs. In addition, the District issues bond anticipation notes annually for buses.

Debt Service Schedule

The following table presents the debt service requirements to maturity on the District's outstanding general obligation bonded indebtedness. Installment purchase contract debt has been excluded from the table.

<u>Years Ending June 30:</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total Debt Service</u>	<u>Cumulative % Principal Paid</u>
2017 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 3,950,000	\$ 1,228,235	\$ 5,178,235	11.05%
2018	4,375,000	799,763	5,174,763	23.30
2019	4,485,000	632,300	5,117,300	35.85
2020	4,640,000	488,809	5,128,809	48.83
2021	2,565,000	386,763	2,951,763	56.01
2022	2,495,000	336,050	2,831,050	62.99
2023	2,545,000	287,250	2,832,250	70.11
2024	2,600,000	237,606	2,837,606	77.39
2025	2,650,000	186,859	2,836,859	84.80
2026	2,720,000	121,875	2,841,875	92.42
2027	2,710,000	40,650	2,750,650	100.00
Total	<u>\$ 35,735,000</u>	<u>\$ 4,746,160</u>	<u>\$ 40,481,160</u>	

(1) As of June 16, 2017, the District has paid \$3,950,000 in principal and \$1,228,235 in interest due on serial bonds for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2017.

ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

Population

Population trends for the Town of Southeast (which comprises most of the District) are presented below, together with comparative trends for the County and State.

Population Trends (2000 – 2015)

	2000	2010	2015	% Change	
				'00 - '10	'10 - '15
Southeast (Town)	17,316	18,404	18,234	6.3%	(0.9)%
County	95,745	99,710	99,488	4.1	(0.2)
State	18,976,457	19,378,102	19,594,330	2.1	1.1

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

Income

The following table indicates comparative income statistics for the Town of Southeast, County, and State. The information presented for the Town of Southeast, the County and the State are not necessarily representative of the District as a whole.

Per Capita Money Income

	2010	2015	% Increase
Southeast (Town)	\$41,051	\$41,300	0.6%
County	37,915	41,173	8.6
State	30,948	33,236	7.4

Source: The U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census (American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates).

Median Income of Families 2015

	Median Income	Under \$25,000	\$25,000 -49,999	\$50,000 -74,999	\$75,000 -99,999	\$100,000 or More
Southeast (Town)	\$114,826	5.3%	9.3%	14.7%	14.2%	56.5%
County	111,425	4.7	10.3	13.8	14.8	56.4
State	71,913	16.0	19.1	16.8	13.2	34.9

Source: The U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census (American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates).

Employment

Employment information is not available for the District. The smallest area for which such information is available is the County. It should not be construed, however, that such information is necessarily representative of the District.

Average Employed Civilian Labor Force

	<u>2000</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>% Change</u>	
				<u>2000-2010</u>	<u>2010-2016</u>
County	50,500	48,800	48,400	(3.4)%	(0.8)%
State	8,718,700	8,769,700	9,121,300	0.6	4.0

Source: New York State Department of Labor.

Average Unemployment Rates

<u>Year</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>United States</u>
2012	7.2%	8.5%	8.1%
2013	6.1	7.7	7.4
2014	4.9	6.3	6.2
2015	4.3	5.3	5.3
2016	4.0	4.8	4.9
2017: ⁽¹⁾			
Jan	4.3	4.9	5.1
Feb	4.6	5.0	4.9
Mar	3.9	4.4	4.6
Apr	3.8	4.2	4.1

(1) Monthly rates.

Source: New York State Department of Labor and U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Major Employers in the County **(PUBLIC SECTOR ONLY)**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Industry or Business</u>	<u>Number of Employees</u>
Mahopac Central School District	Public Education	803
Carmel Central School District	Public Education	793
Putnam County	County Government	747
Brewster Central School District	Public Education	620
Putnam Valley Central School District	Public Education	281
Haldane Central School District	Public Education	185
Town of Carmel	Town Government	159

Source: Putnam County Official Statement dated November 2014.

**Major Employers in the County
(PRIVATE SECTOR ONLY)**

Name	Industry or Business	Number of Employees
Putnam Hospital Center	Health Services	900
Green Chimneys	Services	570
Putnam Associated Resource Center	Services	375
Putnam Precision Products, Inc.	Manufacturing	349
Arms Acres, Inc.	Services	296
Cerebral Palsy of Putnam and Dutchess	Services	273
A&P (3 locations)	Retail	260
ShopRite Supermarket	Retail	229
Ace Endico	Food Service & Retail	207
Home Depot	Retail	200
Graymoor	Services	180
Power Fasteners, Inc.	Manufacturing	151
Kohl's Department Store	Retail	131
MKMG	Health Services	125
DeCiccio Family Market	Retail	115
McDonald's Restaurants (3 stores)	Retail	102
Hipotronics, Inc.	Manufacturing	100
K-Mart	Retail	100
Dairy Conveyor Corp.	Manufacturing	100
Lamothermic Corporation	Manufacturing	100
NYS Electric and Gas Corp.	Public Utility	97
Putnam County Savings Bank (4 locations)	Banking	84
Clancy Moving Systems	Services	75
Akzo Nobel Corp.	Manufacturing	75
Materion Brewster LLC	Manufacturing	71
MV Contract Transportation	Transportation	61
Brewster Plastics	Manufacturing	60

Source: Putnam County Official Statement dated November 2014.

Financial Institutions

First Niagara Bank, JPMorgan Chase, Mahopac National Bank and M&T Bank maintain branch offices in the District.

Transportation

The District is served by all major forms of transportation. Major highways serving the District include Interstate 84 (linking Hartford, Connecticut and Scranton, Pennsylvania) and Interstate 684 (linking Brewster and the City of White Plains). The District is also served by a network of County and Town roads. Rail passenger service is available from the Metro North Commuter Railroad (Brewster and Southeast stations). Commercial air transportation is available at Stewart Airport in Newburgh (approximately 40 miles to the west).

Utilities

New York State Electric and Gas and Verizon provide residents of the District with basic utilities. Water and sewer services are comprised of both municipal and private systems.

Housing Data

**Housing Units
2000-2015**

	Number of Units			% Change	% Change
	2000	2010	2015	2000-2010	2010-2015
Southeast (Town)	6,412	7,095	6,859	10.7%	(3.3)%
County	35,030	38,224	38,289	9.1	0.2
State	7,679,307	8,108,103	8,171,725	5.6	0.8

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

**Median Values, Rents and Occupancy Status
2015**

	% Constructed 2010-2015	Median Value	Median Rents	Occupancy Status		
		Owner Occupied Units	Renter Occupied Units	Owner Occupied	Renter Occupied	Vacant
Southeast (Town)	0.8%	\$343,200	1,279	70.0%	22.5%	6.2%
County	0.8	354,900	1,234	72.9	15.1	11.0
State	0.8	283,400	1,132	47.7	39.8	11.1

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

END OF APPENDIX A

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX B

UNAUDITED SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND BUDGETS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

BREWSTER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT
GENERAL FUND
BALANCE SHEET
UNAUDITED PRESENTATION

	As of June 30:				
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
ASSETS					
Cash And Equivalents	\$ 13,083,899	\$ 15,271,367	\$ 15,149,944	\$ 14,144,947	\$ 16,557,592
Investments	12,406	5	19	28	72
Receivables:					
Accounts	37,351	76,927	23,965	100,281	58,736
State and Federal Aid	971,039	917,055	1,074,352	1,598,516	1,013,953
Due From Other Governments	102,237	93,141	134,947	70,674	59,516
Due From Other Funds	1,485,646	984,177	1,198,949	2,134,681	330,121
Prepaid Expenditures	441,641	230,473	7,959	334,227	379,423
Total Assets	\$ 16,134,219	\$ 17,573,145	\$ 17,590,135	\$ 18,383,354	\$ 18,399,413
LIABILITIES AND FUND EQUITY					
Liabilities:					
Accounts Payable	\$ 684,821	\$ 1,669,053	\$ 877,537	\$ 1,414,473	\$ 2,621,684
Due To Other Funds	122,176	1,238,937	54,699	380	0
Due To Other Governments	0	0	0	0	30,764
Unearned Revenues	31,954	177,958	36,193	38,046	54,324
Due To Retirement System	4,804,544	4,911,935	6,296,375	6,874,302	5,362,931
Total Liabilities	5,643,495	7,997,883	7,264,804	8,327,201	8,069,703
Fund Balances (Deficits):					
Nonspendable	441,641	230,473	7,959	334,227	379,423
Restricted	2,959,650	2,221,325	2,985,613	2,892,385	3,194,413
Assigned	3,748,184	3,719,927	3,816,653	3,231,633	3,046,191
Unassigned	3,341,249	3,403,537	3,515,106	3,597,908	3,709,683
Total Fund Equity	10,490,724	9,575,262	10,325,331	10,056,153	10,329,710
Total Liabilities and Fund Equity	\$ 16,134,219	\$ 17,573,145	\$ 17,590,135	\$ 18,383,354	\$ 18,399,413

The financial data presented on this page has been excerpted from the audited financial statements of the District. Such presentation, however, has not been audited. Complete copies of the District's audited financial statements are available upon request to the District.

**BREWSTER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT
GENERAL FUND
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
UNAUDITED PRESENTATION**

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30:

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
REVENUES:					
Real Property Taxes	\$ 57,347,679	\$ 58,470,495	\$ 59,841,501	\$ 61,003,857	\$ 62,509,690
Other Tax Items	7,876,307	8,117,399	8,069,400	7,884,860	7,699,750
Charges For Services	156,772	58,763	230,460	72,296	59,539
Use Of Money And Property	141,985	137,638	115,338	59,983	118,374
Sale Of Property And Compensation For Loss	83,699	457	304	12,644	1,525
State Aid	13,671,264	14,291,259	15,686,574	15,916,392	17,290,746
Federal Aid	32,475	40,089	129,385	31,159	0
Miscellaneous	801,539	427,370	520,459	455,643	487,243
Total Revenues	80,111,720	81,543,470	84,593,421	85,436,834	88,166,867
EXPENDITURES:					
Current:					
General Government Support	7,818,141	7,365,652	7,859,782	7,392,971	7,813,472
Instruction	42,288,875	43,802,334	43,603,633	45,389,204	47,679,710
Pupil Transportation	5,037,122	5,562,202	5,890,337	5,629,627	5,272,752
Employee Benefits	19,518,390	19,992,778	21,972,743	23,140,471	22,554,154
Debt Service	3,775,848	3,944,591	3,958,205	3,696,495	3,565,925
Total Expenditures	78,438,376	80,667,557	83,284,700	85,248,768	86,886,013
Excess of Revenues Over Expenditures	1,673,344	875,913	1,308,721	188,066	1,280,854
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):					
Insurance Recoveries	0	139,175	108,937	94,990	64,198
Operating Transfers - In	145,517	100,000	188,000	609,529	72,143
Operating Transfers - Out (a)	(875,956)	(2,030,550)	(855,589)	(1,161,763)	(1,143,438)
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	(730,439)	(1,791,375)	(558,652)	(457,244)	(1,007,097)
Excess of Revenues and Other Sources Over (Under) Expenditures and Other Uses	942,905	(915,462)	750,069	(269,178)	273,757
Fund Equity - Beginning of Year	9,547,819	10,490,724	9,575,262	10,325,331	10,056,153
Prior Year Adjustment	0	0	0	0	0
Fund Equity - End of Year	10,490,724	9,575,262	10,325,331	10,056,153	10,329,910

(a) Includes transfer to the Debt Service Fund and Capital Fund.

The financial data presented on this page has been excerpted from the audited financial statements of the District. Such presentation, however, has not been audited. Complete copies of the District's audited financial statements are available upon request to the District.

BREWSTER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT
SUMMARY OF ADOPTED BUDGET
GENERAL FUND (1)

	Adopted Budget	Adopted Budget
	2016-2017	2017-2018
ESTIMATED REVENUES:		
Real Property Taxes (2)	\$ 71,054,324	\$ 72,502,849
Real Property Tax Items	274,895	10,602
Other Revenue (3)	229,000	433,000
State and Federal Sources	18,127,767	19,338,895
Interfund Transfers	400,000	472,955
TOTAL REVENUES	90,085,986	92,758,301
 APPROPRIATED FUND BALANCE	 2,681,080	 2,681,080
 TOTAL ESTIMATED REVENUES AND APPROPRIATED FUND BALANCE	 92,767,066	 95,439,381
 APPROPRIATIONS:		
General Support	8,619,917	8,945,074
Instruction	48,835,298	49,640,533
Pupil Transportation	6,136,145	6,245,361
Home and Community Services	0	177,962
Employee Benefits	22,800,000	23,398,616
Debt Service	5,834,559	6,611,835
Interfund Transfers	420,000	420,000
 TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS	 \$ 92,767,066	 \$ 95,439,381

(1) Does not include prior year encumbrances

(2) Inclusive of STAR

(3) Includes: Charges for Service, Interest, Donations, Refunds, Insurance Recoveries and Miscellaneous

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX C

**LINK TO
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 2016**

**Can be accessed on the Electronic Municipal Market Access (“EMMA”) website
of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (“MSRB”)
at the following link:**

<https://emma.msrb.org/ER996767-ER779858-ER1181117.pdf>

**The audited financial statements referenced above are hereby incorporated into the
attached Official Statement.**

*** Such Financial Statements and opinion are intended to be representative only as of the
date thereof. PKF O'Connor Davies, LLP has not been requested by the District to further
review and/or update such Financial Statements or opinion in connection with the
preparation and dissemination of this Official Statement.**

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX D

FORM OF BOND COUNSEL OPINION

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

FORM OF BOND COUNSEL OPINION

July 13, 2017

The Board of Education of
Brewster Central School District,
in the County of Putnam, New York

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We have acted as Bond Counsel to the Brewster Central School District (the "School District"), in the County of Putnam, a school district of the State of New York in connection with the authorization, sale and issuance of the \$38,903,240 Bond Anticipation Notes for Various School Purposes - 2017 (the "Notes"), dated and delivered on the date hereof.

We have examined a record of proceedings relating to the Notes for purposes of this opinion. In such examination, we have assumed the genuineness of all signatures, the authenticity of all documents submitted to us as originals and the conformity with originals of all documents submitted to us as copies thereof.

Based on and subject to the foregoing, and in reliance thereon, as of the date hereof, we are of the following opinions:

1. The Notes are valid and legally binding general obligations of the School District for which the School District has validly pledged its faith and credit and, unless paid from other sources, all the taxable real property within the School District is subject to the levy of ad valorem real estate taxes to pay the Notes and interest thereon without limitation as to rate or amount. The enforceability of rights or remedies with respect to such Notes may be limited by bankruptcy, insolvency, or other laws affecting creditors' rights or remedies heretofore or hereafter enacted.

2. Under existing statutes and court decisions and assuming continuing compliance with certain tax representations described herein, (i) interest on the Notes is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes pursuant to Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and (ii) interest on the Notes is not treated as a preference item in calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations under the Code; such interest, however, is included in the adjusted current earnings of certain corporations for purposes of calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed on such corporations.

The Code establishes certain requirements that must be met subsequent to the issuance of the Notes in order that the interest on the Notes be and remain excludable from gross income under Section 103 of the Code. These requirements include, but are not limited to, requirements relating to the use and expenditure of proceeds of the Notes, restrictions on the investment of proceeds of the Notes prior to expenditure and the requirement that certain earnings be rebated to the federal government. Noncompliance with such requirements may cause the interest on the Notes to become subject to federal income taxation retroactive to the date of issuance thereof, irrespective of the date on which such noncompliance occurs or is ascertained.

On the date of issuance of the Notes, the School District will execute a Tax Certificate relating to the Notes containing provisions and procedures pursuant to which such requirements can be satisfied. In executing the Tax Certificate, the School District represents that it will comply with the provisions and procedures set forth therein and that it will do and perform all acts and things necessary or desirable to assure that the interest on the Notes will, for federal income tax purposes, be excluded from gross income.

In rendering the opinion in this paragraph 2, we have relied upon and assumed (i) the material accuracy of the School District's representations, statements of intention and reasonable expectations, and certifications of fact contained in the Tax Certificate with respect to matters affecting the status of the interest on the Notes, and (ii) compliance by the School District with the procedures and representations set forth in the Tax Certificate as to such tax matters.

3. Under existing statutes, interest on the Notes is exempt from personal income taxes of New York State and its political subdivisions, including The City of New York.

Except as stated in paragraphs 2 and 3 above, we express no opinion as to any other federal, state or local tax consequences with respect to the Notes or the ownership or disposition thereof. Further, we express no opinion herein as to the effect of any action hereafter taken or not taken in reliance upon an opinion of other counsel on the exclusion from gross income for federal income tax purposes of the interest on the Notes, or under state and local tax law.

We render our opinion under existing statutes and court decisions as of the date of issuance of the Notes, and we assume no obligation to update, revise or supplement this opinion after the issue date to reflect any action hereafter taken or not taken, or any facts or circumstances, or any change in law or in interpretations thereof, or otherwise, that may hereafter arise or occur, or for any other reason.

We give no assurances as to the adequacy, sufficiency or completeness of the Preliminary Official Statement, Official Statement or any proceedings, reports, correspondence, financial statements or other documents, containing financial or other information relative to the School District which have been or may hereafter be furnished or disclosed to purchasers of ownership interests in said Notes.

Very truly yours,

APPENDIX E

FORM OF UNDERTAKING TO PROVIDE NOTICES OF EVENTS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

UNDERTAKING TO PROVIDE NOTICES OF EVENTS

Section 1. Definitions

“EMMA” shall mean Electronic Municipal Market Access System implemented by the MSRB.

“GAAP” shall mean generally accepted accounting principles as in effect from time to time in the United States.

“Holder” shall mean any registered owner of the Securities and any beneficial owner of Securities within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

“Issuer” shall mean Brewster Central School District, in the County of Putnam, a School District of the State of New York.

“MSRB” shall mean the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board established in accordance with the provisions of Section 15B(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

“Purchaser” shall mean the financial institution referred to in the Certificate of Determination, executed by the President of the Board of Education as of July 13, 2017.

“Rule 15c2-12” shall mean Rule 15c2-12 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended through the date of this Undertaking, including any official interpretations thereof.

“Securities” shall mean the Issuer’s \$38,903,240 Bond Anticipation Notes for Various Purposes - 2017, dated July 13, 2017, maturing on July 13, 2018, and delivered on the date hereof.

Section 2. Obligation to Provide Notices of Events. (a) The Issuer hereby undertakes, for the benefit of Holders of the Securities, to provide or cause to be provided to the Electronic Municipal Market Access (“EMMA”) System implemented by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board established pursuant to Section 15B(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or any successor thereto or to the functions of such Board contemplated by the Undertaking, in a timely manner, not in excess of ten (10) business days after the occurrence of any such event, notice of any of the following events with respect to the Securities:

- (1) principal and interest payment delinquencies;
- (2) non-payment related defaults, if material;
- (3) unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties;
- (4) unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties;
- (5) substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform;
- (6) adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB) or other material notices of determinations with respect to the tax status of the Securities, or other material events affecting the tax status of the Securities;
- (7) modifications to rights of Securities holders, if material;
- (8) Bond calls, if material, and tender offers;
- (9) defeasances;

- (10) release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the Securities, if material;
- (11) rating changes;
- (12) bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the Issuer;

Note to clause (12): For the purposes of the event identified in clause (12) above, the event is considered to occur when any of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent or similar officer for the Issuer in a proceeding under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or in any other proceeding under state or federal law in which a court or government authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the Issuer, or if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the existing governing body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and orders of a court or governmental authority, or the entry of an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the Issuer;

- (13) the consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the Issuer or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Issuer, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material; and
- (14) appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a trustee, if material.

(b) Nothing herein shall be deemed to prevent the Issuer from disseminating any other information in addition to that required hereby in the manner set forth herein or in any other manner. If the Issuer disseminates any such additional information, the Issuer shall have no obligation to update such information or include it in any future materials disseminated hereunder.

(c) Nothing herein shall be deemed to prevent the Issuer from providing notice of the occurrence of certain other events, in addition to those listed above, if the Issuer determines that any such other event is material with respect to the Securities; but the Issuer does not undertake to commit to provide any such notice of the occurrence of any event except those events listed above.

Section 3. Remedies. If the Issuer shall fail to comply with any provision of this Undertaking, then any Holder of Securities may enforce, for the equal benefit and protection of all Holders similarly situated, by mandamus or other suit or proceeding at law or in equity, this Undertaking against the Issuer and any of the officers, agents and employees of the Issuer, and may compel the Issuer or any such officers, agents or employees to perform and carry out their duties under this Undertaking; provided that the sole and exclusive remedy for breach of this Undertaking shall be an action to compel specific performance of the obligations of the Issuer hereunder and no person or entity shall be entitled to recover monetary damages hereunder under any circumstances. Failure to comply with any provision of this Undertaking shall not constitute an event of default on the Securities.

Section 4. Parties in Interest. This Undertaking is executed to assist the Purchaser to comply with (b)(5) of the Rule and is delivered for the benefit of the Holders. No other person shall have any right to enforce the provisions hereof or any other rights hereunder.

Section 5. Amendments. Without the consent of any holders of Securities, the Issuer at any time and from time to time may enter into any amendments or changes to this Undertaking for any of the following purposes:

- (a) to comply with or conform to any changes in Rule 15c2-12 (whether required or optional);
- (b) to add a dissemination agent for the information required to be provided hereby and to make any necessary or desirable provisions with respect thereto;
- (c) to evidence the succession of another person to the Issuer and the assumption of any such successor of the duties of the Issuer hereunder;
- (d) to add to the duties of the Issuer for the benefit of the Holders, or to surrender any right or power herein conferred upon the Issuer;
- (e) to cure any ambiguity, to correct or supplement any provision hereof which may be inconsistent with any other provision hereof, or to make any other provisions with respect to matters or questions arising under this Undertaking which, in each case, comply with Rule 15c2-12 or Rule 15c2-12 as in effect at the time of such amendment or change;

provided that no such action pursuant to this Section 5 shall adversely affect the interests of the Holders in any material respect. In making such determination, the Issuer shall rely upon an opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel.

Section 6. Termination. This Undertaking shall remain in full force and effect until such time as all principal, redemption premiums, if any, and interest on the Securities shall have been paid in full or the Securities shall have otherwise been paid or legally defeased in accordance with their terms. Upon any such legal defeasance, the Issuer shall provide notice of such defeasance to the EMMA System. Such notice shall state whether the Securities have been defeased to maturity or to redemption and the timing of such maturity or redemption.

Section 7. Undertaking to Constitute Written Agreement or Contract. This Undertaking shall constitute the written agreement or contract for the benefit of Holders of Securities, as contemplated under Rule 15c2-12.

Section 8. Governing Law. This Undertaking shall be governed by the laws of the State of New York determined without regard to principles of conflict of law.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has duly authorized, executed and delivered this Undertaking as of **July 13, 2017**.

BREWSTER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

By _____
President of the Board of Education and Chief Fiscal Officer

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK