

OFFICIAL STATEMENT

NEW AND RENEWAL ISSUE

BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES

In the opinion of Whiteman Osterman & Hanna LLP, Albany, New York ("Bond Counsel"), assuming continuing compliance by the District with its covenants relating to certain requirements in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") and the accuracy of certain representations, interest on the Notes is excludable from gross income of the owners thereof for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Code. In the further opinion of Bond Counsel, interest on the Notes is not a specific preference item for purposes of the federal individual or corporate alternative minimum taxes imposed by the Code; however, Bond Counsel notes that such interest is included in adjusted current earnings in calculating corporate alternative minimum taxable income. See "Tax Matters" herein for a discussion of certain federal taxes applicable to corporate owners of the Notes. In the opinion of Bond Counsel, interest on the Notes is exempt from personal income taxes imposed by the State of New York or any political subdivision thereof (including the City of New York). Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding any other tax consequences related to the ownership or disposition of or the receipt or accrual of interest, on the Notes.

The Notes will be designated by the District as "qualified tax-exempt obligations" pursuant to Section 265(b)(3) of the Code.

BETHLEHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT ALBANY COUNTY, NEW YORK (the "District")

\$3,808,000 BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES, 2017 (the "Notes")

Date of Issue: July 19, 2017

Date of Maturity: July 19, 2018

The Notes are general obligations of the Bethlehem Central School District, Albany County, New York, all the taxable real property within which is subject to the levy of ad valorem taxes to pay the Notes and interest thereon subject to statutory limits. See "The Tax Levy Limit Law" herein.

The Notes will not be subject to redemption prior to maturity and shall be issued in bearer form in denominations of \$5,000 or multiples thereof except for one necessary odd denomination maturing in 2018. Interest on the Notes will be calculated on the basis of a 360-day year and a 30-day month. Principal and interest will be payable in Federal funds at such bank or trust company located and authorized to do business in the State of New York as may be selected by the purchaser.

At the option of the purchaser, the Notes will be registered as registered notes, and, if so issued, will be issued as registered in the name of Cede & Co. as nominee of The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), New York, New York, which will act as the securities depository for the Notes. Noteholders will not receive certificates representing their ownership interest in the Notes purchased. See "Book-Entry-Only-System" herein.

Under this option, payment of the principal of and interest on the Notes to the Beneficial Owner of the Notes will be made by DTC Participants and Indirect Participants in accordance with standing instructions and customary practices. Payment will be the responsibility of the DTC, subject to any statutory and regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. See "Book-Entry-Only System" herein.

The Notes are offered when, as and if issued and received by the purchaser and subject to the receipt of the final approving opinion as to the validity of the Notes of Whiteman Osterman & Hanna LLP, Albany, New York, Bond Counsel. It is anticipated that the Notes will be available for delivery in New York, New York, or as may be agreed upon, on or about July 19, 2017.

THE DISTRICT DEEMS THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT TO BE FINAL FOR PURPOSES OF SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION RULE 15c2-12 ("THE RULE"), EXCEPT FOR CERTAIN INFORMATION THAT HAS BEEN OMITTED HEREFROM IN ACCORDANCE WITH SAID RULE AND THAT WILL BE SUPPLIED WHEN THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT IS UPDATED FOLLOWING THE SALE OF THE OBLIGATIONS HEREIN DESCRIBED. THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT WILL BE SO UPDATED UPON REQUEST OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER, AS MORE FULLY DESCRIBED IN THE NOTICE OF SALE WITH RESPECT TO THE OBLIGATIONS HEREIN DESCRIBED. THE DISTRICT WILL COVENANT IN AN UNDERTAKING TO PROVIDE NOTICE OF CERTAIN MATERIAL EVENTS AS DEFINED IN THE RULE. SEE "DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING FOR THE NOTES" HEREIN.

Dated: June 30, 2017

**BETHLEHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT
ALBANY COUNTY, NEW YORK**

BOARD OF EDUCATION

Mr. Matt Downey*	President
Mrs. Lynne L. Lenhardt	Vice President
Ms. Christine Beck	Board Member
Mr. Michael W. Cooper	Board Member
Ms. Joanne Cunningham*	Board Member
Mr. Jonathan S. Fishbein	Board Member
Dr. Charmaine Wijeyesinghe	Board Member

*Term expires on June 30, 2017. New Board members will be seated at the July 12, 2017 Reorganization Meeting, and board officers will be determined.

DISTRICT OFFICIALS

Ms. Jody Monroe	Superintendent of Schools
Ms. Judith E. Kehoe	Chief Business and Financial Officer
Ms. Phyllis Albano	School Business Administrator/Treasurer
Ms. Brittany Barrett	District Deputy Treasurer/District Clerk

BOND COUNSEL

**WHITEMAN OSTERMAN & HANNA LLP
Albany, New York**

MUNICIPAL ADVISOR



**Capital Markets Advisors, LLC
Hudson Valley * Long Island * Southern Tier * Western New York
(716) 662-3910**

No dealer, broker, salesman or other person has been authorized by the District to give any information or to make any representations, other than those contained in this Official Statement, and if given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon as having been authorized by the District. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy, nor shall there be any sale of the Notes by any person in any jurisdiction in which it is unlawful for such person to make such offer, solicitation or sale. The information set forth herein has been obtained by the District from sources which are believed to be reliable, but it is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness. The information and expressions of opinion herein are subject to change without notice, and neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the District since the date hereof.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<p>THE NOTES 1</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Description..... 1</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Authority for and Purpose of Issue..... 2</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Nature of Obligations..... 2</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Book-Entry-Only System..... 2</p> <p>MARKET FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCINGS OF THE STATE AND SCHOOL DISTRICTS OF THE STATE..... 4</p> <p>TAX EXEMPTION..... 5</p> <p>DOCUMENTS ACCOMPANYING DELIVERY OF THE NOTES 6</p>	<p>Absence of Litigation Relating to the Notes..... 6</p> <p>Legal Matters..... 6</p> <p>Closing Certificates 6</p> <p>Certificated Notes..... 7</p> <p>DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING FOR THE NOTES 7</p> <p>MUNICIPAL ADVISOR..... 8</p> <p>RATING 8</p> <p>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION 9</p>
---	--

APPENDIX A

<p>THE DISTRICT A-1</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">General Information..... A-1</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">District Organization..... A-1</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Financial Organization..... A-1</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">District Facilities..... A-1</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Employees..... A-2</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Employee Pension Benefits..... A-2</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Other Post-Employment Benefits..... A-4</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Investment Policy/Permitted Investments A-5</p> <p>FINANCIAL FACTORS..... A-5</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Revenues..... A-5</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Recent Events Affecting New York Districts..... A-7</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">The State Comptroller’s Fiscal Stress Monitoring System..... A-8</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">New York State Comptroller’s Audit..... A-8</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Budgetary Procedure..... A-8</p> <p>TAX INFORMATION..... A-9</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Real Property Tax Assessment and Rates A-9</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">The Tax Levy Limit Law A-9</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Tax Limit A-11</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Tax Collection Procedure A-11</p>	<p>STAR - School Tax Exemption..... A-11</p> <p>Ten of the Largest Taxpayers for 2015-16 A-12</p> <p>DISTRICT INDEBTEDNESS A-13</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Constitutional Requirements A-13</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Statutory Procedure A-13</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Debt Limit A-13</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Statutory Debt Limit and Net Indebtedness..... A-14</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Remedies Upon Default A-14</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Bond Anticipation Notes A-15</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Revenue and Tax Anticipation Notes A-15</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Outstanding Indebtedness..... A-15</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Overlapping and Underlying Debt A-16</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Debt Ratios..... A-16</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Debt Service Schedule..... A-17</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Authorized but Unissued Indebtedness A-17</p> <p>ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC DATA A-17</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">School Enrollment Trends..... A-17</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Population A-18</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Employment and Unemployment A-18</p> <p>LITIGATION A-19</p>
--	---

APPENDIX B – Financial and Budget Summaries

APPENDIX C – Link to Financial Audit for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

APPENDIX D – Form of Bond Counsel’s Opinion

OFFICIAL STATEMENT

BETHLEHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT ALBANY COUNTY, NEW YORK

Relating To

\$3,808,000 BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES, 2017 (the "Notes")

This Official Statement, including the cover page and appendices hereto, presents certain information relating to the Bethlehem Central School District, County of Albany, State of New York (the "District," "County," and "State," respectively) in connection with the sale of the District's \$3,808,000 Bond Anticipation Notes, 2017 (the "Notes").

The factors affecting the District's financial condition and the Notes are described throughout this Official Statement. Inasmuch as many of these factors, including economic and demographic factors, are complex and may influence the District tax base, revenues, and expenditures, this Official Statement should be read in its entirety, and no one factor should be considered more or less important than any other by reason of its relative position in this Official Statement.

All quotations from and summaries and explanations of provisions of the Constitution and laws of the State and acts and proceedings of the District contained herein do not purport to be complete and are qualified in their entirety by reference to the official compilations thereof, and all references to the Notes and the proceedings of the District relating thereto are qualified in their entirety by reference to the definitive form of the Notes and such proceedings.

THE NOTES

Description

The Notes are general obligations of the District, and will contain a pledge of its faith and credit for the payment of the principal thereof and interest thereon as required by the laws of the State of New York. All the taxable real property within the District is subject to the levy of ad valorem taxes to pay the Notes and interest thereon subject to applicable statutory limitations. See "The Tax Levy Limit Law" herein.

Under Article VIII of the Constitution of the State, the District is required to pledge its faith and credit for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Notes and the State is specifically precluded from restricting the power of the District to levy taxes on real property for the payment of such indebtedness.

The Notes will be dated July 19, 2017 and mature, without option of prior redemption, on July 19, 2018. The Notes will be issued in registered form or in bearer form, at the option of the Purchaser.

If the Notes will be issued through the Depository Trust Company ("DTC" or the "Securities Depository"), the Notes will be registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee of DTC in New York, New York, which will act as Securities Depository for the Notes (see "Book-Entry-Only System" herein). Individual purchases will be made in book-entry-only form, in the principal amount of \$5,000 or integral multiples thereof, except for one necessary odd denomination. Purchasers of the Notes will not receive certificates representing their ownership interest in the Notes. Payments of principal of and interest on the Notes will be made by the District to DTC, which will in turn remit such principal and interest to its Participants, for subsequent distribution to the Beneficial Owners of the Notes.

If the Notes are registered in the name of the purchaser, principal of and interest on the Notes will be payable in Federal Funds at such bank or trust company located and authorized to do business in the State of New York as may be selected by the successful bidder. In such case, the Notes will be issued in registered form in denominations of \$5,000 or integral multiples thereof, except for one necessary odd denomination, as may be determined by such successful bidder.

Authority for and Purpose of Issue

The Notes are authorized to be issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State, including the Education Law and the Local Finance Law.

The Notes are being issued pursuant to the Constitution and Laws of the State, including the Local Finance Law, Education Law and bond resolutions duly adopted by the District's Board of Education to purchase various transportation vehicles from various dates of approval. See the chart below for details regarding each purpose.

Date of BOE Resolution	BAN Outstanding	New Money	BAN Paydown	The Notes
07/10/2012	\$ 120,000	\$ 0	\$ 120,000	\$ 0
07/02/2013	255,000	0	128,000	127,000
07/01/2014	527,000	0	176,000	351,000
06/17/2015	808,000	0	202,000	606,000
06/15/2016	1,413,000	0	283,000	1,130,000
06/21/2017	0	1,594,000	0	1,594,000
	<u>\$ 3,123,000</u>	<u>\$1,594,000</u>	<u>\$ 909,000</u>	<u>\$ 3,808,000</u>

Nature of Obligations

The Notes, when duly issued and paid for, will constitute a contract between the District and the holder thereof.

The Notes will be general obligations of the District and will contain a pledge of the faith and credit of the District for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon. For the payment of such principal and interest, the District has the power and statutory authorization to levy *ad valorem* taxes on all taxable real property in the District, without limitation as to rate or amount (subject to certain statutory limitations imposed by the Tax Levy Limit Law); see "The Tax Levy Limit Law," herein.

Under the Constitution of the State, the District is required to pledge its faith and credit for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Notes, and the State is specifically precluded from restricting the power of the District to levy taxes on real estate therefor. On June 24, 2011, the Tax Levy Limit Law was adopted in the State. The Tax Levy Limit Law established certain limitations on the power of local governments and school districts to increase the property tax levy beyond certain prescribed limits (without following certain prescribed procedures). The Tax Levy Limit Law had its first application with respect to the District's budget for 2012-13. The Tax Levy Limit Law does make certain allowances for the exclusion of tax levy increases associated with capital expenses by school districts. See "TAX INFORMATION-Tax Levy Limit Law," herein. Also, certain special protective procedures and remedies available to holders of school district debt remain in place and are not affected by the Tax Levy Limit Law. See "Remedies Upon Default" herein.

Book-Entry-Only System

The following section is applicable to the Notes only to the extent such Notes are registered to Cede & Co.

The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), New York, New York, will act as securities depository for the Notes. The Notes will be issued as fully-registered notes registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC's partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered certificate will be issued for each maturity of the Notes and will be deposited with DTC. DTC, the world's largest depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a "banking organization" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC's participants ("Direct Participants") deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry

transfers and pledges between Direct Participants' accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation ("DTCC"). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly ("Indirect Participants"). The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com and www.dtc.org.

Purchases of the Notes under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Notes on DTC's records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each bond ("Beneficial Owner") is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants' records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Notes are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in the Notes, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Notes is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Notes deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC's partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of the Notes with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Notes; DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Notes are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Redemption notices shall be sent to DTC. If less than all of the Securities within an issue are being redeemed, DTC's practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such issue to be redeemed.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to the Notes unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC's MMI Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the District as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts the Notes are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

Principal and interest payments on the Notes will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from the District, on payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC or the District, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time.

Payment of principal and interest payments to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of the District, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as depository with respect to the Notes at any time by giving reasonable notice to the District. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, note certificates are required to be printed and delivered.

The District may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry-only transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, note certificates will be printed and delivered to DTC.

The information in this section concerning DTC and DTC's book-entry system has been obtained from sources that the District believes to be reliable, but the District takes no responsibility for the accuracy thereof.

Source: The Depository Trust Company

THE DISTRICT CANNOT AND DOES NOT GIVE ANY ASSURANCES THAT DTC, DIRECT PARTICIPANTS OR INDIRECT PARTICIPANTS OF DTC WILL DISTRIBUTE TO THE BENEFICIAL OWNERS OF THE NOTES (1) PAYMENTS OF PRINCIPAL OF OR INTEREST OR REDEMPTION PREMIUM ON THE NOTES (2) CONFIRMATIONS OF THEIR OWNERSHIP INTERESTS IN THE NOTES OR (3) OTHER NOTICES SENT TO DTC OR CEDE & CO., ITS PARTNERSHIP NOMINEE, AS THE REGISTERED OWNER OF THE NOTES, OR THAT THEY WILL DO SO ON A TIMELY BASIS, OR THAT DTC, DIRECT PARTICIPANTS OR INDIRECT PARTICIPANTS WILL SERVE AND ACT IN THE MANNER DESCRIBED IN THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT.

THE DISTRICT WILL NOT HAVE ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR OBLIGATIONS TO DTC, THE DIRECT PARTICIPANTS, THE INDIRECT PARTICIPANTS OF DTC OR THE BENEFICIAL OWNERS WITH RESPECT TO (1) THE ACCURACY OF ANY RECORDS MAINTAINED BY DTC OR ANY DIRECT PARTICIPANTS OR INDIRECT PARTICIPANTS OF DTC; (2) THE PAYMENT BY DTC OR ANY DIRECT PARTICIPANTS OR INDIRECT PARTICIPANTS OF DTC OF ANY AMOUNT DUE TO ANY BENEFICIAL OWNER IN RESPECT OF THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF OR INTEREST OR REDEMPTION PREMIUM ON THE NOTES; (3) THE DELIVERY BY DTC OR ANY DIRECT PARTICIPANTS OR INDIRECT PARTICIPANTS OF DTC OF ANY NOTICE TO ANY BENEFICIAL OWNER THAT IS REQUIRED OR PERMITTED TO BE GIVEN TO OWNERS; OR (4) ANY CONSENT GIVEN OR OTHER ACTION TAKEN BY DTC AS THE REGISTERED HOLDER OF THE NOTES.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN CONCERNING DTC AND ITS BOOK-ENTRY SYSTEM HAS BEEN OBTAINED FROM DTC AND THE DISTRICT MAKES NO REPRESENTATION AS TO THE COMPLETENESS OR THE ACCURACY OF SUCH INFORMATION OR AS TO THE ABSENCE OF MATERIAL ADVERSE CHANGES IN SUCH INFORMATION SUBSEQUENT TO THE DATE HEREOF.

MARKET FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCINGS OF THE STATE AND SCHOOL DISTRICTS OF THE STATE

The financial condition of the District as well as the market for the Notes could be affected by a variety of factors, some of which are beyond the District's control. There can be no assurance that adverse events in the State, including, for example, the seeking by a municipality or large taxable property owner of remedies pursuant to the Federal Bankruptcy Code or otherwise, will not occur which might affect the market price of and the market for the Notes. If a significant default or other financial crisis should occur in the affairs of the State or any of its agencies or political subdivisions thereby further impairing the acceptability of obligations issued by borrowers within the State, both the ability of the District to arrange for additional borrowings, and the market for and market value of outstanding debt obligations, including the Notes, could be adversely affected.

The District is dependent in part on financial assistance from the State. However, the State is not constitutionally obligated to maintain or continue State aid to municipalities and school districts in the State, including the District, and, as a result, no assurance can be given that present State aid levels will be maintained in the future, particularly if the State should experience financial difficulty of its own. Additionally, if the State should experience difficulty in borrowing funds in anticipation of the receipt of State taxes and revenues in order to pay State aid to municipalities and school districts in the State, including the District, in any year, the District may be affected by a delay, until sufficient taxes have been received by the State to make State aid payments to the District. In several recent years, the District has received delayed payments of State aid which resulted from the State's delay in adopting its budget and appropriating State aid to municipalities and school districts, and consequent delay in State borrowing to finance such appropriations. (See also "Revenues" herein). No assurance can be given that there will not be a delay in State aid payments in the future.

Should the District fail to receive moneys expected from the State in the amounts and at the times expected, the District is authorized by the Local Finance Law to provide operating funds by borrowing in anticipation of the receipt of uncollected State aid.

TAX EXEMPTION

In the opinion of Bond Counsel, based on existing statutes, regulations, administrative rulings and court decisions, and assuming compliance by the District with certain covenants and the accuracy of certain representations, interest on the Notes is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes.

The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), imposes various limitations, conditions and other requirements which must be met at and subsequent to the date of issue of the Notes in order that interest on the Notes will be and remain excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes. Included among these requirements are restrictions on the investment and use of proceeds of the Notes and in certain circumstances, payment of amounts in respect of such proceeds to the United States. Failure to comply with the requirement of the Code may cause interest on the Notes to be includable in gross income for purposes of federal income tax, possibly from the date of issuance of the Notes. The District has covenanted to comply with certain procedures, and it has made certain representations and certifications, designed to assure satisfaction of the requirements of the Code in respect to the Notes. The opinion of Bond Counsel assumes compliance with such covenants and the accuracy, in all material respects, of such representations and certificates

In the opinion of Whiteman Osterman & Hanna LLP, Albany, New York ("Bond Counsel") to the District, assuming continuing compliance by the District with the covenants, and the accuracy of the representations and certifications, referenced above, under existing law interest on the Notes is excludable from gross income of the owners thereof for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Code. In the further opinion of Bond Counsel, interest on the Notes is not a specific preference item for purposes of the federal individual or corporate alternative minimum taxes. However, Bond Counsel notes that interest is included in adjusted current earnings when calculating corporate alternative minimum taxable income. Bond Counsel is also of the opinion that interest on the Notes is exempt from personal income taxes imposed by the State of New York or any political subdivision thereof including the City of New York. Bond Counsel will express no opinion regarding any other tax consequences related to the ownership or disposition of, or the accrual or receipt of interest on, the Notes. A complete copy of the proposed form of the Opinion of Bond Counsel is set forth in "APPENDIX D – Form of Bond Counsel Opinion" hereto.

Prospective purchasers of the Notes should be aware that ownership of, accrual or receipt of interest on, or disposition of tax-exempt obligations may have collateral federal income tax consequences for certain taxpayers, including but not limited to, financial institutions, certain subchapter S corporations, United States branches of foreign corporations, property and casualty insurance companies, individual recipients of Social Security or Railroad Retirement benefits, taxpayers eligible for the earned income credit and taxpayers who may be deemed to have incurred or continued indebtedness to purchase or carry tax-exemption obligations. The foregoing is not intended as an exhaustive list of potential tax consequences. Prospective purchasers should consult their tax advisors as to any possible collateral consequences in respect of the Notes. Bond Counsel will not express any opinion regarding any such collateral consequences.

The American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 (responding to the so-called "fiscal cliff" situation) did not include any restrictions on the tax exemption for interest on municipal debt. However, the agreement set up additional rounds of negotiations focused on deficit reduction, spending and the debt limit. President Obama stated on December 31, 2012 that deficit reduction would not be accomplished solely by spending cuts but would include taxes. Therefore, these additional rounds of negotiations continue the risk to the tax-exempt status of interest on municipal debt. It is impossible to predict at this time the actual outcome of the complex and ongoing negotiations at the federal level with respect to the federal government's taxation and spending policies. The treatment, at least prospectively, of interest on municipal debt could be affected by the outcome of such negotiations.

In early 2016, President Obama released his budget proposals. The President included a number of bond-related proposals in his budget, most of which have been proposed (but not adopted) in previous budgets. As expected, the President included in his budget the so-called "28 percent cap" on the benefit of certain tax preferences, including tax-exempt interest on municipal bonds and notes. If approved, the measure would mean that investors in tax brackets higher than 28% would have to pay income taxes on municipal bond interest at a marginal rate equal to their tax bracket minus 28%. For an investor in the 35% federal tax bracket, this means that municipal bond interest would be taxed at an effective rate of 7%. The 28% cap proposal was originally introduced in September of 2011 as part of the President's proposed American Jobs Act. While that

bill failed to advance in Congress, it put on the table the possibility that municipal interest could be a target for administration budget writers. The proposed cap would apply to single taxpayers with income greater than \$200,000 and joint filers with income greater than \$250,000. As before, the proposal would apply to interest paid on all bonds and notes, even those issued before the effective date of the provision. State and local governments and their supporters in Congress have recently stepped up their efforts to defend the exemption. The most recent development in the federal government's continuing series of fiscal disputes was Congress' passage of a suspension of the debt ceiling through at least March of 2017. This resulted in a deferral of further significant changes in tax and fiscal policy.

Rep. Dave Camp, the now-retired Chairman of the House Committee on Ways and Means, unveiled in 2014 draft legislation on tax reform. The proposal would impose a ten percent tax on municipal bond interest received by certain upper-income taxpayers (including joint filers with income over \$450,000), eliminate advance refundings, eliminate private activity bonds, and eliminate bank-qualified bonds. The proposal would make many other changes to the tax code and it appears that the chances of the bill being enacted this year (at least as proposed) are slim. But, given its author, the proposal is being viewed as a serious one, and it at least raises the likelihood that the proposed changes (or some version of them) could be used as offsets for spending or incorporated in future tax reform proposals, one of which may eventually be enacted.

The Notes will be designated by the District as "qualified tax-exempt obligations" within the meaning of Section 265(b)(3) of the Code.

DOCUMENTS ACCOMPANYING DELIVERY OF THE NOTES

Absence of Litigation Relating to the Notes

Upon delivery of the Notes, the District shall furnish a certificate of the School District Attorney, dated the date of delivery of the Notes, to the effect that there is no action, suit, proceedings or investigation, at law or in equity, before or by any court, public board or body pending or, to the best knowledge of the attorney's knowledge, based upon a review of the District's files and discussions District Officials, threatened against or affecting the District to restrain or enjoin the issuance, sale or delivery of the Notes or the levy and collection of taxes or assessments to pay same, or in any way contesting or affecting the validity of the Notes or any proceedings or authority of the District taken with respect to the authorization, issuance or sale of the Notes or contesting the corporate existence or boundaries of the District.

Legal Matters

The legality of the authorization and issuance of the Notes is covered by the final approving opinion of Bond Counsel. The proposed Form of Bond Counsel's Opinion is attached hereto at Appendix D.

There is not now pending or threatened, to the best of the District's knowledge, any litigation restraining or enjoining the issuance or delivery of the Notes or questioning or affecting the validity of the Notes or the proceedings and authority under which they are to be issued.

Closing Certificates

Upon the delivery of the Notes, the purchaser will be furnished with the following items: (i) a Certificate of the President of the Board of Education of the District to the effect that as of the date of this Official Statement and at all times subsequent thereto, up to and including the time of the delivery of the Notes, this Official Statement did not and does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements herein, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, and further stating that there has been no adverse material change in the financial condition of the District since the date of this Official Statement to the date of issuance of the Notes; and having attached thereto a copy of this Official Statement; (ii) a Certificate signed by an officer of the District evidencing payment for the Notes; (iii) a Closing Certificate evidencing the due execution of the Notes, including statements that (a) no litigation of any nature is pending or, to the knowledge of the signers, threatened, restraining or enjoining the issuance and delivery of the Notes or the levy and collection of taxes to pay the principal of and interest thereon, nor in any manner questioning the proceedings and authority under which the Notes were authorized or affecting the validity of the Notes thereunder, (b) neither the corporate existence or boundaries of the District nor the title of the signers to their respective offices is being contested, (c) no authority or proceedings for the issuance of the Notes have been repealed, revoked or rescinded; and (iv) a Nonarbitrage Certificate and a Tax Certificate executed by the President of the Board of Education, as described under "Tax Exemption" herein.

Certificated Notes

DTC may discontinue providing its services with respect to the Notes at any time by giving notice to the District and discharging its responsibilities with respect thereto under applicable law, or the District may terminate its participation in the system of book-entry-only system transfers through DTC at any time. In the event that such book-entry-only system is discontinued, the following provisions will apply:

The Notes will be issued in registered form in denominations of \$5,000 or integral multiples thereof, except for one necessary odd denomination. Principal of and interest on the Notes will be payable at a principal corporate trust office of a bank or trust company located and authorized to do business in the State of New York to be named by the District. The Notes will remain not subject to redemption prior to their stated final maturity date.

DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING FOR THE NOTES

This Official Statement is in a form “deemed final” by the District for the purposes of Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12 (the “Rule”). At the time of the delivery of the Notes, the District will provide an executed copy of its “Continuing Disclosure Undertaking Certificate” (the “Undertaking”). Such Undertaking will constitute a written agreement or contract of the District for the benefit of holders of and owners of beneficial interests in the Notes, to provide, or cause to be provided, to (i) the Electronic Municipal Market Access system established and operated by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (“MSRB”) currently at <http://www.emma.msrb.org>, or such other similar system established and operated by the MSRB, and (ii) the appropriate state information depository (“SID”), if any, for the State of New York, as designated by the Commission in accordance with the Rule, in a timely manner not in excess of ten (10) business days notice of the occurrence of any of the following events with respect to the Notes:

- (a) principal and interest payment delinquencies;
- (b) non-payment related defaults, if material;
- (c) unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties;
- (d) in the case of credit enhancement, if any, provided in connection with the issuance of the Notes, unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties;
- (e) substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform;
- (f) adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701 TEB) or other material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the Notes, or other material events affecting the tax status of the Notes;
- (g) modifications to rights of Noteholders, if material;
- (h) note calls, if material, and tender offers;
- (i) defeasances;
- (j) release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the Notes;
- (k) rating changes;
- (l) bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the District;
- (m) the consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the District or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the District, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material; and
- (n) appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of the name of a trustee, if material.

Event (c) is included pursuant to a letter from the SEC staff to the National Association of Bond Lawyers dated September 19, 1995. However, event (c) is not applicable, since no “debt service reserves” will be established for the Notes.

With respect to event (d) the District does not undertake to provide any notice with respect to credit enhancement added after the primary offering of the securities.

With respect to event (1), the event is considered to occur when any of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent or similar officer for the District in a proceeding under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or in any other proceeding under state or federal law in which a court or governmental authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the District, or if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the existing governing body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and orders of a court or governmental authority, or the entry if an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the District.

The District may provide notice of the occurrence of certain other events, in addition to those listed above, if it determines that any such other event is material with respect to the Notes; but the District does not undertake to commit to provide any such notice of the occurrence of any material event except those events listed above.

The District's Undertaking shall remain in full force and effect until such time as the principal of, redemption premiums, if any, and interest on the Notes shall have been paid in full. The sole and exclusive remedy for breach or default under the Undertaking is an action to compel specific performance of the Undertaking of the District, and no person or entity, including a holder of the Notes, shall be entitled to recover monetary damages thereunder under any circumstances. Any failure by the District to comply with the Undertaking will not constitute a default with respect to the Notes.

The District reserves the right to amend or modify the Undertaking under certain circumstances set forth therein; provided that any such amendment or modification will be done in a manner consistent with the Rule as then in effect.

Certain municipal bond insurance companies have had a variety of ratings changes over the past five years. The District filed event notices for these changes on EMMA on July 8, 2014.

Other than the foregoing, the District is in compliance in all material respects with all previous undertakings made pursuant to Rule 15c2-12 for the past five years.

MUNICIPAL ADVISOR

Capital Markets Advisors, LLC has served as Municipal Advisor to the District in connection with the sale of the Notes.

In preparing the Official Statement, the Financial Advisor has relied upon governmental officials, and other sources, who have access to relevant data to provide accurate information for the Official Statement, and the Financial Advisor has not been engaged, nor has it undertaken, to independently verify the accuracy of such information. The Financial Advisor is not a public accounting firm and has not been engaged by the District to compile, review, examine or audit any information in the Official Statement in accordance with accounting standards. The Financial Advisor is an independent advisory firm and is not engaged in the business of underwriting, trading or distributing municipal securities or other public securities and therefore will not participate in the underwriting of the Notes.

RATING

The District did not apply for a rating on the Notes.

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services ("S&P") has assigned a rating of "AA" (stable outlook) to the uninsured outstanding bonded indebtedness of the District.

With respect to the Moody's rating applicable to uninsured debt, such rating reflects only the view of such organization, and an explanation of the significance of such rating may be obtained only from such rating agency. There can be no assurance that such rating will continue for any specified period of time or that such rating will not be revised or withdrawn, if in the judgment of Moody's circumstances so warrant. Any such change or withdrawal of such rating may have an adverse effect on the market price of the Notes or the availability of a secondary market for the Notes.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information may be obtained from the District's Chief Business & Financial Officer Judith Kehoe, at 700 Delaware Avenue., Delmar, New York 12054, phone: (518) 439-7481, email: jkehoe@bcasd.neric.org or from the District's Financial Advisor, Capital Markets Advisors, LLC, (716) 662-3910.

This Official Statement is submitted only in connection with the sale of the Notes by the District and may not be reproduced or used in whole or in part for any other purpose.

Capital Markets Advisors, LLC may place a copy of this Official Statement on its website at www.capmark.org. Unless this Official Statement specifically indicates otherwise, no statement on such website is included by specific reference or constitutes a part of this Official Statement. Capital Markets Advisors, LLC has prepared such website information for convenience, but no decisions should be made in reliance upon that information. Typographical or other errors may have occurred in converting original source documents to digital format, and neither the District nor Capital Markets Advisors, LLC assumes any liability or responsibility for errors or omissions on such website. Further, Capital Markets Advisors, LLC and the District disclaim any duty or obligation either to update or to maintain that information or any responsibility or liability for any damages caused by viruses in the electronic files on the website. Capital Markets Advisors, LLC and the District also assume no liability or responsibility for any errors or omissions or for any updates to dated website information.

This Official Statement has been duly executed and delivered by the Vice President of the Board of Education.

By: /s/ Lynne L. Lendardt
Lynne L. Lenhardt
Vice President of the Board of Education

Dated: June 30, 2017

APPENDIX A
THE DISTRICT

THE DISTRICT

General Information

The District, with an area of approximately 52 square miles and an estimated population of 27,844, is located in upstate New York in Albany County, adjacent to and south of the City of Albany.

The District is primarily suburban residential. Residents find employment in various professional, industrial and commercial concerns located in Albany and the other nearby cities of the region.

Based on recent projections, the District does not anticipate any major residential growth within the District boundaries in the next three years, which will result in relatively stable (slightly declining) enrollment levels.

The District has available all the usual commercial services in the hamlet of Delmar as well as at various shopping centers. Fire protection is supplied by various volunteer fire departments. Police protection is furnished by local and State agencies. Gas and electric are furnished by National Grid.

District Organization

Subject to the provisions of the State Constitution, the District operates pursuant to the Education Law, the Local Finance Law, other laws generally applicable to the District, and any special laws applicable to the District. Under such laws, there is no authority for the District to have a charter or adopt local laws.

The legislative power of the District is vested in the Board of Education. On the third Tuesday of May of each year an election is held within the District boundaries to elect members to the Board. They are elected for a term of three years. There are seven members of the Board of Education and two or three members are elected each year.

Within the first fifteen days of July of each year, the Board meets for the purposes of reorganization. At that time an election is held within the Board to elect a president and vice president. The president of the Board is the chief fiscal officer of the District.

Financial Organization

Pursuant to the Local Finance Law, the President of the Board of Education is the chief fiscal officer of the District. However, certain of the financial functions of the District are the responsibility of the Superintendent, Chief Business and Financial Officer and the District Treasurer.

District Facilities

The District operates nine buildings throughout the District as follows:

TABLE 1
School Statistics

<u>Name</u>	<u>Grades</u>	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Year Built</u>
Elementary Schools:			
Clarksville ⁽¹⁾	K-5	350	1948, 1954, 1966, 1968, 2007
Eagle	K-5	625	2008, 2009
Elsmere	K-5	425	1927, 1948, 1965
Glenmont	K-5	575	1957, 1991
Hamagrael	K-5	575	1954, 1991
Slingerlands	K-5	675	1940, 1954, 1991, 1997
Middle Schools:			
Bethlehem	6-8	2,075	1932, 1958, 1991, 1997, 2006
Senior High Schools:			
Bethlehem	9-12	2,675	1952, 1961, 1968, 1987, 2000, 2006

(1) *Building currently closed. It is owned and maintained by the District and leased to the Albany County Sheriff's Department since July 2012.*

Source: School Officials

Employees

The District provides services through 854 full and part-time employees, who are represented by the following units of organized labor.

TABLE 2
Employees

<u>Number of Employees</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>Contract Expiration Date</u>
420	Bethlehem Central Teachers' Association	6/30/20
421	Bethlehem Central United Employees' Association	6/30/18
13	Bethlehem Central Principals' Association	6/30/19

Source: School Officials

Employee Pension Benefits

All non-teaching and non-certified administrative employees of the School District eligible for pension or retirement benefits under the Retirement and Social Security Law of the State of New York are members of the New York and Local Employees' Retirement System ("ERS").

Teachers and certified administrators are members of the New York State Teachers' Retirement System ("TRS"). Payments to the Retirement System are deducted from the School District's State aid payments.

Both the ERS and the TRS (together, the "Retirement Systems") are non-contributing with respect to members hired prior to July 27, 1976. The Retirement Systems are non-contributory with respect to members working ten or more years except for Tiers V and VI as described below. Employees hired on or after April 1, 2013 have a variable contribution amount. See further details herein.

The following schedule reflects the District's contribution to ERS and TRS for the last three audited fiscal years and the prior and current budgeted fiscal years:

<u>Year ended</u>	<u>ERS</u>	<u>TRS</u>
2018 <i>Budgeted</i>	\$2,250,000	\$3,300,000
2017 <i>Budgeted</i>	2,300,000	3,800,000
2016	2,360,540	4,083,988
2015	2,635,119	5,012,353
2014	2,883,954	3,515,806

In 2003, Chapter 49 of the Laws of 2003 amended the Retirement and Social Security Law and the Local Finance Law. The amendments empowered the State Comptroller to implement a comprehensive structural reform program for the ERS. The reform program established a minimum contribution for any local governmental employer equal to 4.5% of pensionable salaries for bills which were due December 15, 2003 and for all fiscal years thereafter, as a minimum annual contribution where the actual rate would otherwise be 4.5% or less due to the investment performance of the fund. In addition, the reform program instituted a billing system to match the budget cycle of municipalities and school districts that will advise such employers over one year in advance concerning actual pension contribution rates for the next annual billing cycle. Under the previous method, the requisite ERS contributions for a fiscal year could not be determined until after the local budget adoption process was complete.

Under the new system, a contribution for a given fiscal year is based on the valuation of the pension fund on the prior April 1 of the calendar year proceeding the contribution due date instead of the following April 1 in the year of contribution so that the exact amount may now be included in a budget.

On December 10, 2009, the Governor signed into law pension reform legislation that will provide (according to a Division of the Budget analysis) more than \$35 billion in long-term savings to State taxpayers over the next thirty years. The legislation creates a new Tier V pension level, the most significant reform of the State's pension system in more than a quarter-century. Key components of Tier V include:

- Raising the minimum age of which most civilians can retire without penalty from 55 to 62 and imposing a penalty of up to 38 percent for any civilian who retires prior to age 62.

- Requiring employees to continue contributing three percent of their salaries toward pension costs so long as they accumulate additional pension credits.
- Increasing the minimum years of service required to draw a pension from five years to 10 years.
- Capping the amount of overtime that can be considered in the calculation of pension benefits for civilians at \$15,000 per year, and for police and firefighters at 15 percent of non-overtime wages.

Members of the TRS will have a separate Tier V benefit structure that will achieve equivalent savings as other civilian public employees. It includes:

- Raising the minimum age an individual can retire without penalty from 55 to 57 years.
- Contributing 3.5 percent of their annual wages to pension costs rather than 3.0 percent and continuing this increased contribution so long as they accumulate additional pension credits.
- Increasing the two percent multiplier threshold for final pension calculations from 20 to 25 years.

In accordance with constitutional requirements, these new pension reforms would apply only to public employees hired after January 1, 2010 and before April 1, 2012.

On March 16, 2012, Governor Cuomo signed into law the new Tier 6 pension program, effective for new ERS and TRS employees hired after April 1, 2012. The Tier 6 legislation provides for increased employee contribution rates of between 3% and 6%, an increase in the retirement age from 62 years to 63 years, a readjustment of the pension multiplier, and a change in the time period for final average salary calculation from 3 years to 5 years. Tier 6 employees will vest in the system after ten years of employment and will continue to make employee contributions throughout employment.

Pension reform legislation enacted in 2003 and 2004 changed the cycle of ERS billing to match budget cycles of the District. Under the previous method, the District was unsure of how much it paid to the system until after its budget was implemented. Under the current method the contribution for a given fiscal year will be based on the value of the pension fund on the prior April 1 instead of the following April 1 so that the District will be able to more accurately include the cost of the contribution into its budget. The reform legislation also (i) required the District to make a minimum contribution of 4.5% of payroll every year, including years in which the investment performance of the fund would make a lower contribution possible and (ii) moved the annual payment date for contributions from December 15th to February 1st, effective December 15, 2004.

The New York State TRS has adopted an Employer Contribution Rate of 11.72%, applicable to 2016-17 salaries. This is a decrease from the 2015-16 fiscal year's rate of 13.26%.

Previously, due to poor performance of the investment portfolio of the State Retirement System, New York State Comptroller Thomas DiNapoli has announced that the employer contribution rates for required pension contributions to the SRS will continue to increase. To help mitigate the impact of their ERS increases, legislation has been enacted that permits local governments and school district to amortize a portion of such contributions. Under such legislation, local governments and school district that choose to amortize a portion of their ERS contributions will be required to set aside and reserve funds with the SRS for certain future rate increases.

In Spring 2013, the State and TRS approved a Stable Contribution Option (“SCO”) that gives school districts the ability to better manage the spikes in Actuarially Required Contribution rates (“ARCs”). ERS followed suit and modified its existing SCO, which was adopted in 2010. Each plan allows school districts to pay the SCO amount in lieu of the ARC amount, which is higher, and defer the difference in payment amounts as described below. The plan, which was approved in Governor Cuomo’s 2016-17 budget would let districts contribute 14.13% of employee costs toward pensions. The District has not opted into the pension smoothing plan.

The TRS SCO deferral plan is available to school districts for the next 7 years. Under the TRS SCO plan, payment of the deferred amount will commence in year six of the program (2018-19) and continue for five years. School districts can elect to no longer participate in the plan at any time, resume paying the ARC and begin repayment of deferred amounts over five years. Under the ERS SCO, payment of deferred amounts begins the year immediately following the deferral and the repayment period is 12 years. Once made, the election to participate in the ERS SCO is permanent. However, the school districts can choose not to defer payment in any given year. In both plans, interest on the deferred amounts is based on the yield of 10-year U.S. Treasury securities plus 1%.

The primary benefit of participation in the SCO plans is the elimination of the uncertainty in the volatility of future pension contribution ARCs in the near term, thereby providing school districts with significant assistance in its ability to create a stable and reliable fiscal plan.

Other Post-Employment Benefits

GASB 45 and OPEB. OPEB refers to "other post-employment benefits," meaning other than pension benefits, disability benefits and OPEB consist primarily of health care benefits, and may include other benefits such as disability benefits and life insurance. Until now, these benefits have generally been administered on a pay-as-you-go basis and have not been reported as a liability on governmental financial statements.

GASB 45 requires municipalities and school districts to account for OPEB liabilities much like they already account for pension liabilities, generally adopting the actuarial methodologies used for pensions, with adjustments for the different characteristics of OPEB and the fact that most municipalities and school districts have not set aside any funds against this liability. Unlike GASB 27, which covers accounting for pensions, GASB 45 does not require municipalities or school districts to report a net OPEB obligation at the start.

School Districts and boards of cooperative education services, unlike other municipal units of government in the State, have been prohibited from reducing retiree health benefits or increasing health care contributions received or paid by retirees below the level of benefits or contributions afforded to or required from active employees since the implementation of Chapter 729 of the Laws of 1994. Legislative attempts to provide similar protection to retirees of other local units of government in the State have not succeeded as of this date. Nevertheless, many such retirees of all varieties of municipal units in the State do presently receive such benefits.

Under GASB 45, based on actuarial valuation, an annual required contribution ("ARC") will be determined for each municipality or school district. The ARC is the sum of (a) the normal cost for the year (the present value of future benefits being earned by current employees) plus (b) amortization of the unfunded accrued liability (benefits already earned by current and former employees but not yet provided for), using an amortization period of not more than 30 years. If a municipality or school district contributes an amount less than the ARC, a net OPEB obligation will result, which is required to be recorded as a liability on its financial statements.

GASB 45 does not require that the unfunded liability actually be amortized nor that it be advance funded, only that the municipality or school district account for its unfunded accrued liability and compliance in meeting its ARC. The District was in compliance with the requirements of GASB 45 by the applicable effective date, and a summary of the actuarial valuation is included in the District’s June 30, 2015 Financial Audit attached herein.

There is no authority in current State law to establish a trust account or reserve fund for their liability.

The following table shows the components of the District’s annual OPEB cost for the past two audited fiscal years, the amount actually contributed to the plan, and changes in the net OPEB obligation:

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>
Interest		
ARC	\$10,179,669	\$9,656,056
Interest on OPEB obligation	2,438,302	2,056,165
Adjustment to annual required contribution	<u>(1,826,512)</u>	<u>(1,540,257)</u>
Annual OPEB cost (expense)	10,791,459	10,171,964
Contributions made	<u>(2,436,917)</u>	<u>(2,529,219)</u>
Increase (decrease) in net OPEB obligation	8,354,542	7,642,745
Net OPEB obligation – beginning of year	<u>48,766,047</u>	<u>41,123,302</u>
Net OPEB obligation – end of year	<u>\$57,120,589</u>	<u>\$48,766,047</u>

As of July 1, 2016, the plan was not funded. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability for benefits for governmental activities was \$134,025,003.

Actuarial valuation will be required every two years for OPEB plans with more than 200 members, and every three years if there are less than 200 members.

Should the District be required to fund its unfunded actuarial accrued OPEB liability, it could have a material adverse impact upon the District's finances and could force the District to reduce services, raise taxes or both. At the present time, however, there is no current or planned requirement for the District to partially fund its actuarial accrued OPEB liability. At this time, New York State has not developed guidelines for the creation and use of irrevocable trusts for the funding of OPEB. As a result, the District has decided to continue funding the expenditure on a pay-as-you-go basis.

Investment Policy/Permitted Investments

Pursuant to State law, including Sections 10 and 11 of the General Municipal Law (the "GML"), the District is generally permitted to deposit moneys in banks and trust companies located and authorized to do business in the State. All such deposits, including special time deposit accounts and certificates of deposit, in excess of the amount insured under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, are required to be secured in accordance with the provisions of and subject to the limitations of Section 10 of the GML.

The District may also temporarily invest moneys in: (1) obligations of the United States of America; (2) obligations guaranteed by agencies of the United States of America where the payment of principal and interest are guaranteed by the United States of America; (3) obligations of the State of New York; (4) with the approval of the New York State Comptroller, in tax anticipation notes or revenue anticipation notes issued by any municipality, school district, or district corporation, other than those bonds issued by the District; (5) certificates of participation issued by political subdivisions of the State pursuant to Section 109-b(10) of the GML; (6) obligations of a New York public benefit corporation which are made lawful investments for municipalities pursuant to the enabling statute of such public benefit corporation; or (7) in the case of moneys held in certain reserve funds established by the District pursuant to law, in obligations of the District.

All of the foregoing instruments and investments are required to be payable or redeemable at the option of the owner within such times as the proceeds will be needed to meet expenditures for purposes for which the moneys were provided and, in the case of instruments and investments purchased with the proceeds of bonds or notes, shall be payable or redeemable in any event, at the option of the owner, within two years of the date of purchase. Unless registered or inscribed in the name of the District, such instruments and investments must be purchased through, delivered to and held in custody of a bank or trust company in the State pursuant to a written custodial agreement as provided in Section 10 of the GML.

The Board of Education has adopted an investment policy and such policy conforms with applicable laws of the State governing the deposit and investment of public moneys. All deposits and investments of the District are made in accordance with such policy.

FINANCIAL FACTORS

Revenues

More than 97% of all District revenues come from three sources: property taxes, state aid and non-property taxes. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016 property taxes accounted for 61.1% of total general fund revenues while state aid accounted for 27.3%.

Property Taxes. The District derives a major portion of its revenues from a tax on real property taxes. For purposes of the following table, real property taxes include the tax levy, payments received in lieu of taxes and the interest and penalties collected on delinquent taxes.

The following table sets forth total general fund revenues and real property taxes and tax item revenues received during the last five audited fiscal years and budgeted for the prior and current fiscal years.

Table 3
Real Property Taxes and Tax Items

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>General Fund Revenues</u>	<u>Real Property Taxes and Tax Item Revenues</u>	<u>Real Property Taxes To Revenues</u>
2012	\$83,291,485	\$59,818,366	71.8%
2013	86,398,599	62,106,137	71.9%
2014	88,784,964	64,393,376	72.5%
2015	91,814,220	66,340,464	72.3%
2016	94,628,987	66,747,656	70.5%
2017 <i>Budget</i>	96,540,000	67,371,000	69.7%
2018 <i>Budget</i>	97,123,000	67,549,000	69.5%

Source: Financial Statements for the Fiscal Years ended June 30, 2012-2016, and 2017 & 2018 Adopted Budgets.

State Aid. The District receives State aid. However, there is no assurance that the State appropriation for State Aid to Districts will continue, either pursuant to existing formulas or in any form whatsoever. The State is not constitutionally obligated to maintain or continue such aid. State budgetary restrictions which eliminate or substantially reduce State aid could have a material adverse effect upon the District, requiring either a counterbalancing increase in revenues from other sources to the extent available, or a curtailment of expenditures.

No delay in payment of State aid for the District’s future fiscal years is presently anticipated although no assurance can be given that there will not be a delay in payment thereof. Should the District fail to receive moneys expected from the State in the amounts and at the times expected, the District is permitted to issue revenue anticipation notes in anticipation of the receipt of delayed State aid, after adoption by the Board of a resolution authorizing same.

The following table sets forth total general fund revenues and State aid received during the last five audited fiscal years and budgeted for the prior and current fiscal years.

Table 4
State Aid

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>General Fund Revenues</u>	<u>State Aid</u>	<u>State Aid To Revenues</u>
2012	\$83,291,485	\$22,063,065	26.5%
2013	86,398,599	22,811,385	26.4%
2014	88,784,964	22,500,749	25.3%
2015	91,814,220	23,313,420	25.4%
2016	94,628,987	25,869,770	27.3%
2017 <i>Budget</i>	96,540,000	27,816,000	28.8%
2018 <i>Budget</i>	97,123,000	28,110,000	28.9%

Source: Financial Statements for the Fiscal Years ended June 30, 2012-2016, and 2017 & 2018 Adopted Budgets.

In addition to the amount of State Aid budgeted by the District in its 2016-17 fiscal year, the State is expected to make payments of STAR aid representing tax savings provided by Districts to their taxpayers under the STAR (see “*STAR-School Tax Exemption*”) Program. The District has received timely receipt of STAR aid from the State for the current fiscal year.

The Gap Elimination Adjustment (“GEA”) law was first introduced for the 2010-11 fiscal year (although it existed in 2009-10 and was called “Deficit Reduction Assessment”) as a way to help close the State’s then \$10 billion budget deficit. Under legislation, a portion of the funding shortfall at the state level is divided among all school districts throughout the State and reflected as a reduction in school district state aid. The GEA is a negative number, money that is deducted from the aid originally due to the District. Since the program began, the GEA and Deficit Reduction Assessment reduction in State aid for the District has amounted to approximately \$3.67 million annually. As a result, the District has been forced to reduce programs, services, and staff accordingly. Beginning in the 2014-15 fiscal year, the State made modest restorations to the GEA. In the 2014-15 fiscal year, the GEA was reduced by \$511,000, dropping the total GEA to \$3.1 million. In the 2015-16 fiscal year, it has been further reduced by \$1.1 million, yielding a remaining GEA of \$1.98 million. In the 2016-17 fiscal year, the GEA has been eliminated.

The Smart Schools Bond Act was passed as part of the Enacted 2014-15 State Budget. The Smart Schools Bond Act authorizes the issuance of \$2 billion of general obligation bonds to finance improved educational technology and infrastructure to improve learning and opportunity for students throughout the State. The District's estimated allocation of funds is \$2,039,178.

There can be no assurance that the State appropriation for State aid to school districts will be continued in future years, either pursuant to existing formulas or in any form whatsoever. The availability of such monies and the timeliness of such payment could be affected by a delay in the adoption of the State budget. In any event, State aid appropriated and apportioned to the District can be paid only if the State has such monies available therefor.

No delays in payment of State aid for the District's 2015-16 fiscal year is presently anticipated, although no assurance can be given that there will not be a delay in payment thereof. Should the District fail to receive monies expected from the State in the amounts and at the times expected, the District is permitted to issue revenue anticipation notes in anticipation of the receipt of delayed State aid.

Recent Events Affecting New York Districts

School district fiscal year (2012-13): The State Legislature adopted the State budget on March 30, 2012. The budget included an increase of \$751 million in State aid for school districts.

School district fiscal year (2013-14): The State Legislature adopted the State budget on March 29, 2013. The budget included an increase of \$1.0 billion in State aid for school districts.

School district fiscal year (2014-15): The State Legislature adopted the State budget on April 1, 2014. The budget included an increase of \$807 million in State aid for school districts totaling \$21.88 billion in State aid for New York school districts.

School district fiscal year (2015-16): The State Legislature adopted the State budget on April 1, 2015. The budget provides for school aid of approximately \$23.5 billion, which represents an increase of approximately \$1.3 billion, or 7.4%, in total school aid spending from the 2014-15 school year. The budget continues a three-year appropriation methodology established in the 2011-12 State fiscal year and limits future school aid increases to growth as measured by the total personal income of residents of the State.

School district fiscal year (2016-17): The State Legislature adopted the State budget on March 31, 2016. The budget includes an increase of \$991 million in State aid for school districts over the 2015-16 budget, \$863 million of which consists of traditional operating aid. In addition to the \$408 million of expense based aid, the budget includes a \$266 million increase in Foundation Aid and a \$189 million restoration to the Gap Elimination Adjustment. The majority of the remaining increase includes \$100 million in Community Schools Aid, a newly adopted aid category, to support school districts that wish to create community schools. The funds may only be used for certain purposes such as providing health, mental health and nutritional services to students and their families. The budget includes School Aid spending of \$24.8 billion, a \$1.5 billion increase (6.5% increase) from the prior fiscal year.

School district fiscal year (2017-18): Governor Cuomo presented his Executive Budget for the fiscal year 2017-2018 to the public on January 17, 2017. The Executive Budget allocates \$961 million in State Aid to school districts. The Executive Budget recommends that Foundation Aid be increased by \$428 million. The Governor's proposal essentially replaces the foundation aid formula with a new formula based on prior year aid plus a base increase and a community schools aid increase for districts with failing or persistently failing schools or a concentration of English language learners. The Executive Budget sets aside \$50 million of the Foundation Aid increase to be used for community schools, putting the total amount set aside for community schools at \$150 million. The Executive Budget also allocates \$22 million to be used to create a new tier of Charter School Transitional Aid to reimburse school districts for tuition payments made to charter schools. The Governor also proposes that the State allocate an additional \$35 million for public after-school programs in the State's 16 Empire State Poverty Reduction Initiative communities, which would bring the total funding of these programs to \$100 million annually. In addition, the Executive Budget includes a \$5 million increase to expand prekindergarten programs in high need school districts. Preference for these funds will be given to high need districts which currently do not have prekindergarten programs.

The State budget provisions relating to school districts for the forthcoming School District fiscal year were adopted on April 9, 2017 and signed by the Governor on April 20, 2017.

The budget increases Education Aid by \$1.1 billion, including a \$700 million increase in Foundation Aid, without revision to the formula, bringing the new Education Aid total to \$25.8 billion or an increase of 4.4 percent. It is reported in the press that approximately \$3.6 billion in Foundation Aid will continue to be due in order to fully phase-in and implement the existing formula.

Expense-based aids to support school construction, pupil transportation, BOCES and special education were continued in full, as has been customary in recent years. Transportation Aid increased 5.5 percent and Building Aid increased 4.8 percent.

The budget continues to link school aid increases for 2017-18 and 2018-19 with teacher and principal evaluation plans approved by September 1 of the current year in compliance with Education Law section 3012-d.

The budget includes a provision to permit school districts to authorize and establish conditions for the partial payment of property taxes.

The School District presently anticipates an increase in its State Aid not related to building aid for its 2017-18 fiscal year of approximately \$500,000.

It should also be noted that the School District receives federal aid for certain programs. In its last audited fiscal year, the School District received \$210,157 in such direct federal aid. It is not possible to predict whether such aid will continue in the future, or if continued, whether it will be funded at present levels.

The District cannot predict at this time whether there will be any reductions in and/or delays in the receipt of State aid during the District's 2016-17 fiscal year. The District believes that it would mitigate the impact of any delays or the reduction in State aid by reducing expenditures, increasing revenues, appropriating other available funds on hand, and/or by any combination of the foregoing.

The State Comptroller's Fiscal Stress Monitoring System

The New York State Comptroller has reported that New York State's school districts and municipalities are facing significant fiscal challenges. As a result, the Office of the State Comptroller has developed a Fiscal Stress Monitoring System ("FSMS") to provide independent, objectively measured and quantifiable information to school district and municipal officials, taxpayers and policy makers regarding the various levels of fiscal stress under which the State's school districts and municipalities are operating.

The fiscal stress scores are based on financial information submitted as part of each school district's ST-3 report filed with the State Education Department annually, and each municipality's annual report filed with the State Comptroller. Using financial indicators that include year-end fund balance, cash position and patterns of operating deficits, the system creates an overall fiscal stress score which classifies whether a school district or municipality is in "significant fiscal stress", in "moderate fiscal stress," as "susceptible to fiscal stress" or "no designation". Entities that do not accumulate the number of points that would place them in a stress category will receive a financial score but will be classified in a category of "no designation." This classification should not be interpreted to imply that the entity is completely free of fiscal stress conditions. Rather, the entity's financial information, when objectively scored according to the FSMS criteria, did not generate sufficient points to place them in one of the three established stress categories.

The most current applicable report of the State Comptroller designates the District as "No Designation." (See <http://www.osc.state.ny.us/localgov/fiscalmonitoring/schools/pdf/summarylist.pdf>)

New York State Comptroller's Audit

Many school districts throughout the state can be subject to an audit of the New York State Office of the Comptroller ("OSC") pursuant to Article V, Section 1 of the State Constitution and the State Comptroller's authority as set forth in Article 3 of the New York State General Municipal Law.

In June 2017, OSC, Division of Local Government and School Accountability released an audit of the District in which they reviewed the District's use of Credit Cards. The audit found that the claims auditor did not audit all credit card claims prior to payment, and that the issuing bank directly withdrew the monthly payment from the District's bank account (issuing bank same as district's bank). There were no findings relative to the appropriateness of how district funds were spent. The full report and the District's response can be found on the District's web page.

Budgetary Procedure

The District's fiscal year begins on July 1 and ends on June 30. Starting in the fall or winter of each year, the District's financial plan and enrollment projection are reviewed and updated and the first draft of the next year's proposed budget is developed by the central office staff. During the winter and early spring the budget is developed and refined in conjunction with the school building principals and department supervisors. The District's budget is subject to the provisions of the Tax Levy Limit Law, which imposes a limitation on the amount of real property taxes that a District may levy, and by law is submitted to voter referendum on the third Tuesday of May each year. (See "Tax Levy Limit Law," herein.)

On May 16, 2017, a majority of the voters of the District approved the District's budget for the 2017-18 fiscal year. Summaries of the District's Adopted Budgets for the fiscal years 2015-16 and 2016-17 may be found in Appendix B, herein.

TAX INFORMATION

Real Property Tax Assessment and Rates

Table 5
Real Property Tax Assessment and Rates
(Fiscal Year Ending June 30)

Roll Year:	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
Tax Year:	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>
Town of Bethlehem					
Assessed Value	\$2,616,901,921	\$2,619,707,037	\$2,821,824,839	\$2,823,268,702	\$2,842,929,990
Equalization Rate	98.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Full Value	\$2,670,308,083	\$2,619,728,471	\$2,821,844,339	\$2,823,268,702	\$2,842,947,990
Tax Rate ⁽¹⁾	\$20.90	\$21.60	\$20.76	\$20.85	\$20.88
Town of New Scotland					
Assessed Value	\$221,070,398	\$221,352,688	\$221,231,063	\$220,424,232	\$221,513,867
Equalization Rate	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Full Value	\$221,070,398	\$221,352,688	\$221,231,063	\$220,424,232	\$221,513,867
Tax Rate ⁽¹⁾	\$20.48	\$21.60	\$20.76	\$20.85	\$20.88
Total:					
Assessed Value	\$2,837,972,319	\$2,841,059,725	\$3,043,055,902	\$3,043,692,934	\$3,064,443,857
Full Value	\$2,891,378,481	\$2,841,081,159	\$3,043,075,402	\$3,043,692,934	\$3,064,461,857
Tax Levy	\$59,231,650	\$61,358,000	\$63,193,000	\$63,480,000	\$63,992,000

⁽¹⁾ Composite rate for the Towns of Bethlehem and New Scotland. Per \$1,000 taxable assessed value.

Source: District Officials.

The Tax Levy Limit Law

On June 24, 2011, Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011 was signed into law by the Governor (the "Tax Levy Limitation Law"). The Tax Levy Limitation Law applies to all local governments, including school districts (with the exception of New York City, and the counties comprising New York City). The discussion herein does not include school districts in New York City, Buffalo, Rochester, Syracuse, or Yonkers.

Prior to the enactment of the Tax Levy Limitation Law, there was no statutory limitation on the amount of real property taxes that a school district could levy as part of its budget if its budget had been approved by a simple majority of its voters. In the event the budget had been defeated by the voters, the school district was required to adopt a contingency budget. Under a contingency budget, school budget increases were limited to the lesser of four percent (4%) of the prior year's budget or one hundred twenty percent (120%) of the consumer price index ("CPI").

The Tax Levy Limitation Law now requires that a school district submit its proposed tax levy to the voters each year beginning with the 2012-13 fiscal year.

The Tax Levy Limitation Law restricts, among other things, the amount of real property taxes that may be levied by or on behalf of a school district in a particular year. It expires on June 15, 2020 unless other legislation is extended. Pursuant to the Tax Levy Limitation Law, the tax levy of a school district cannot increase by more than the lesser of (i) two percent (2%) or (ii) the annual increase in the CPI, over the amount of the prior year's tax levy. Certain adjustments would be permitted for taxable real property full valuation increases due to changes in physical or quantity growth in the real property base as defined in Section 1220 of the Real Property Tax Law. A school district could exceed the tax levy limitation for the coming fiscal year only if the voters of such school district first approve a budget by at least 60% affirmative vote of those voting to override such limitation for such coming fiscal year only. Tax levies that do not exceed the limitation will only require approval by at least 50% of those voting.

A school district's calculation of each fiscal year's tax levy limit is subject to review by the Commissioner of Education and the Commissioner of Taxation and Finance prior to adoption of each fiscal year budget. It should be noted that the permissible amount of increase for the District's 2015-16 budget year is likely to be less than 2 percent (based on the prevailing low rate of inflation). The District's 2015-16 maximum allowable tax levy was 0.45%. The approved budget by the District includes a 0.45% increase in the tax levy.

There are exceptions for school districts to the tax levy limitation provided in the Tax Levy Limitation Law, including expenditures made on account of certain tort settlements and certain increases in the average actuarial contribution rates of the New York State and Local Employees' Retirement System, and the Teachers' Retirement System. School districts are also permitted to carry forward a certain portion of their unused levy limitation from a prior year.

There is also an exception for school districts for "Capital Local Expenditures" subject to voter approval where required by law. This term is defined in a manner that does not include certain items for which a school district may issue debt including the payment of judgments or settled claims, including tax certiorari payments, and cashflow borrowings including tax anticipation notes, revenue anticipation notes, budget notes and deficiency notes. "Capital Local Expenditures" are defined as "the taxes associated with budgeted expenditures resulting from the financing, refinancing, acquisition, design, construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, improvement, furnishing and equipping of or otherwise providing for school district capital facilities or school district capital equipment, including debt service and lease expenditures, and transportation capital debt service, subject to the approval of the qualified voters where required by law". The portion of the tax levy necessary to support "Capital Local Expenditures" is defined as the "Capital Tax Levy", and this is an exclusion from the tax levy limitation.

While the Tax Levy Limitation Law may constrict a school district's power to levy real property taxes for the payment of debt service on debt contracted after the effective date of the Tax Levy Limitation Law (June 24, 2011), it is clear that no statute is able (1) to limit a school district's pledge of its faith and credit to the payment of any of its general obligation indebtedness or (2) to limit a school district's levy of real property taxes to pay debt service on general obligation debt contracted prior to the effective date of the Tax Levy Limitation Law. Whether the Constitution grants a school district authority to treat debt service payments as a constitutional exception to any such statutory tax levy limitation is not clear.

On February 20, 2013, the New York State United Teachers ("NYSUT") organization filed a lawsuit against the State challenging the Tax Levy Limitation Law as applied to school districts on multiple federal and state constitutional grounds. The Board of Education of the District did not join the NYSUT lawsuit as a plaintiff. On September 23, 2014, a justice of the New York State Supreme Court dismissed each of NYSUT's causes of action but granted NYSUT's motion to amend the complaint. After the ruling, NYSUT amended its complaint to include a challenge to the Chapter 59 Real Property Tax Rebate, also on federal and state constitutional grounds. On March 16, 2015, all causes of action contained in the amended complaint were dismissed. NYSUT has stated that the organization will appeal the decision;

therefore, the ultimate outcome of this litigation cannot be determined at this time. On May 5, 2016, the Third Department of the State Supreme Court Appellate Division upheld the lower court's decision to dismiss the suit. An appeal by NYSUT was dismissed on October 20, 2016 by the Court of Appeals, New York's highest court, on the ground that no substantial constitutional question was directly involved and thereafter leave to appeal was denied on January 14, 2017 by the Court of Appeals. See also "State Aid" for a discussion of the *New Yorkers for Students' Educational Rights v. State of New York* case which includes a challenge to the supermajority requirements regarding school district property tax increases.

Real Property Tax Rebate (Chapter 59). Chapter 59 of the Laws of 2014 ("Chapter 59"), a newly adopted State budget bill includes provisions which provide a refundable personal income tax credit to real property taxpayers in school districts and certain municipal units of government. Real property owners in school districts are eligible for this credit in the 2014 and 2015 taxable years of those property owners. Real property taxpayers in certain other municipal units of government are eligible for this credit in the 2015 and 2016 taxable years of those real property taxpayers. The eligibility of real property taxpayers for the tax credit in each year depends on such jurisdiction's compliance with the provisions of the Tax Levy Limitation Law. School districts budgets must comply in their 2014-15 and 2015-16 fiscal years. Other municipal units of government must have their budgets in compliance for their 2015 and 2016 fiscal years. Such budgets must be within the tax cap limits set by the Tax Levy Limitation Law for the real property taxpayers to be eligible for this personal income tax credit. The affected jurisdictions include counties, cities (other than any city with a population of one million or more and its counties), towns, villages, school districts (other than the dependent school districts of New York City, Buffalo, Rochester, Syracuse and Yonkers, the latter four of which are indirectly affected by applicability to their respective city) and independent special districts.

Certain additional restrictions on the amount of the personal income tax credit are set forth in Chapter 59 in order for the tax cap to qualify as one which will provide the tax credit benefit to such real property taxpayers. The refundable personal income tax credit amount is increased in the second year if compliance occurs in both taxable years.

For the second taxable year of the program, the refundable personal income tax credit for real property taxpayers is additionally contingent upon adoption by the school district or municipal unit of a state approved "government efficiency plan" which demonstrates "three year savings and efficiencies of at least one per cent per year from shared services, cooperation agreements and/or mergers or efficiencies".

Municipalities, school districts and independent special districts must provide certification of compliance with the requirements of the new provisions to certain state officials in order to render their real property taxpayers eligible for the personal income tax credit.

While the provisions of Chapter 59 do not directly further restrict the taxing power of the affected municipalities, school districts and special districts, they do provide an incentive for such tax levies to remain within the tax cap limits established by the Tax Levy Limitation Law. The implications of this for future tax levies and for operations and services of the District are uncertain at this time.

Real Property Tax Rebate (Chapter 20). Chapter 20 introduced a new real property tax rebate program that will provide state-financed tax rebate checks and credits to taxpayers who are eligible for the STAR exemption (see "STAR - School Tax Exemption," herein) in the years 2016-2019. Residents of New York City are not eligible for the Chapter 20 Real Property Tax Rebate. For 2016, eligible taxpayers who reside outside New York City but within the Metropolitan Commuter Transportation District ("MCTD") will receive \$130, and eligible taxpayers who reside outside the MCTD will receive \$185. Credits in 2017-2019 vary based on a taxpayer's personal income level and STAR tax savings.

Similarly to the Chapter 59 Real Property Tax Rebate, under Chapter 20 the eligibility of real property taxpayers for the tax credit in each year depends on such jurisdiction's compliance with the provisions of the Tax Levy Limitation Law. Unlike Chapter 59, however, for many taxpayers only the compliance of the school district in which the taxpayer resides is relevant. Municipal compliance with the Tax Levy Limitation Law is only required in the case of the "Big 4" cities that have fiscally dependent school districts. In such cases, the joint school/city levy must remain in compliance with the Tax Levy Limitation Law. In either scenario, the relevant jurisdiction (independent school district or joint city/school district) must certify its compliance with the provisions of the Tax Levy Limitation Law.

While the provisions of Chapter 59 and Chapter 20 do not directly further restrict the taxing power of the affected municipalities, school districts and special districts, they do provide an incentive for such tax levies to remain within the tax cap limits established by the Tax Levy Limitation Law. The implications of this for future tax levies and for operations and services of the District are uncertain at this time.

Tax Limit

The Constitution does not limit the amount that may be raised by the District-wide tax levy on real estate in any fiscal year. However, the Tax Levy Limit Law imposes a statutory limit on the amount of real property taxes that a District may levy. (See “*Tax Levy Limit Law*” herein).

Tax Collection Procedure

Taxpayers are billed for real property taxes in the month of August. Beginning in the 2014-15 fiscal year, school taxes are collected by the Capital Region BOCES. Taxes are due during the month of September. Taxes paid in October carry a 2% penalty for the Town of New Scotland and the Town of Bethlehem. On November 15, any unpaid taxes are returned to the Albany County Treasurer for collection.

The District is reimbursed by Albany County for all unpaid taxes in April of each year and is thus assured of 100% of its annual levy.

STAR - School Tax Exemption

The Basic and Enhanced STAR (School Tax Relief) Property Tax Exemptions are homestead exemptions. Basic STAR is available to anyone who owns and lives in his or her own home. Enhanced STAR is available to senior homeowners whose incomes do not exceed the statewide standard.

For the 2016-17 school levy year, homeowners subject to certain household income limitations are eligible for an enhanced exemption and basic exemption as follows:

<u>Towns of:</u>	<u>Enhanced Exemption</u>	<u>Basic Exemption</u>
Bethlehem	\$65,500	\$30,000
New Scotland	\$65,500	\$30,000

Date Certified: 04/07/2017

The enhanced or basic STAR exemption is the amount that an assessment will be reduced prior to the levy of school taxes. For example, if a home is assessed at \$150,000 and the enhanced STAR exemption for a municipality is \$50,000, the school taxes on the property would be paid on a taxable assessment of \$100,000 (\$150,000 - \$50,000 = \$100,000).

Since the 2011-12 school tax bills, there has been a 2% limit on STAR savings increases. When school district initially calculates their tax bills, for each municipal segment they will compare the amount of STAR savings to the maximum. If the STAR savings exceeded the maximum, the school district will use the maximum when calculating tax bills for the segment.

The maximum savings for each of the municipalities within the District for the 2016-17 are as follows:

<u>Towns of:</u>	<u>Basic Maximum Savings</u>	<u>Enhanced Maximum Savings</u>
Bethlehem	\$639	\$1,304
New Scotland	\$639	\$1,360

Date Certified: 04/06/2017

The District received full reimbursement of such exempt taxes from the State during the current fiscal year and expects to receive full reimbursement of such exempt taxes from the State during the 2016-17 fiscal year.

Ten Largest Taxpayers for 2016-17

The following table presents the District's top ten taxpayers for the 2016-17 fiscal year.

Table 6
Taxable Assessments

<u>Taxpayer</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Assessed Valuation</u>	<u>% of Total Assessed Valuation</u> ⁽¹⁾
National Grid	Utility	95,958,953	3.13%
Tennessee Gas and Pipe	Utility	22,174,065	0.72%
Bethlehem Associates LLC	Retail	23,465,000	0.77%
Mansions at Delmar Investors	Apartments	22,000,000	0.00%
Adams Station	Apartments	11,600,000	0.38%
Victoria Estates LTD	Apartments	11,060,000	0.36%
Windsor Properties CO	Apartments	10,800,000	0.35%
VTR Delmar Place	Apartments	10,600,000	0.35%
ARHC SCBTHNY01	Apartments	10,200,000	0.33%
Delaware Plaza	Retail	<u>10,200,000</u>	<u>0.33%</u>
		\$228,058,018	<u>7.44%</u>

⁽¹⁾ The District's assessed value for fiscal year 2016-17 was \$3,064,461,857.

Source: Town Assessors.

DISTRICT INDEBTEDNESS

Constitutional Requirements

The New York State Constitution limits the power of the District (and other municipalities and Districts of the State) to issue obligations and to otherwise contract indebtedness. Such constitutional limitations include the following, in summary form, and are generally applicable to the District.

Purpose and Pledge. The District shall not give or loan any money or property to or in aid of any individual or private corporation or private undertaking or give or loan its credit to or in aid of any of the foregoing or any public corporation.

The District may contract indebtedness only for a District purpose and shall pledge its faith and credit for the payment of principal of and interest hereon.

Payment and Maturity. Except for certain short-term indebtedness contracted in anticipation of taxes, indebtedness shall be paid in annual installments commencing no later than two years after the date such indebtedness shall have been contracted and ending no later than the expiration of the period of probable usefulness of the object or purpose as determined by statute. No installment may be more than fifty per centum in excess of the smallest prior installment unless the District determines to issue a particular debt obligation amortizing on the basis of substantially level or declining annual debt service. The District is required to provide an annual appropriation for the payment of interest due during the year on indebtedness and for the amounts required in such year for amortization and redemption of its serial bonds and bond anticipation notes.

General. The District is further subject to constitutional limitation by the general constitutionally imposed duty on the State Legislature to restrict the power of taxation and contracting indebtedness to prevent abuses in the exercise of such power; however, the State Legislature is prohibited by a specific constitutional provision from restricting the power of the District to levy taxes on real estate for the payment of interest on or principal of indebtedness theretofore contracted. There is no constitutional limitation on the amount that may be raised by the District by tax on real estate in any fiscal

year to pay principal of and interest on all indebtedness. However, the Tax Levy Limit Law imposes a statutory limitation on the power of the District to increase its annual tax levy. The amount of such increase is limited by the formulas set forth in such law. (See “*The Tax Levy Limit Law*,” herein.)

Statutory Procedure

In general, the State Legislature has, by enactment of the Local Finance Law, authorized the power and procedure for the District to borrow and incur indebtedness subject, of course, to the constitutional provisions set forth above. The power to spend money, however, generally derives from other law, including the Education Law.

The District is generally required by such laws to submit propositions for the expenditure of money for capital purposes to the qualified electors of the District. Upon approval thereby, the Board of Education may adopt a bond resolution authorizing the issuance of bonds or notes in anticipation of bonds. With respect to certain school building construction projects, the District is not permitted to spend in excess of \$100,000 until the plans and specifications for such project have been approved by the Commissioner of Education of the State.

The Local Finance Law also provides a twenty-day statute of limitations after publication of a bond resolution, together with a statutory form of notice which, in effect, estops legal challenges to the validity of obligations authorized by such bond resolution except for alleged constitutional violations. The District has complied with such procedures with respect to the Bonds.

The Board of Education, as the finance board of the District, also has the power to authorize the sale and issuance of bonds and notes. However, such finance board may delegate the power to sell such securities to the President of the Board of Education, the chief fiscal officer of the District, pursuant to the Local Finance Law.

Debt Limit

Pursuant to the Local Finance Law, the District has the power to contract indebtedness for any District purpose authorized by the Legislature of the State of New York so long as the principal amount thereof shall not exceed ten per centum of the full valuation of taxable real estate of the District and subject to certain enumerated deductions such as State aid for building purposes. The constitutional and statutory method for determining full valuation is by taking the assessed valuation of taxable real estate for the last completed assessment roll and applying thereto the ratio, which such assessed valuation bears to the full valuation as determined by the State Board of Real Property Services. The State Legislature is required to prescribe the manner by which such ratio shall be determined.

Statutory Debt Limit and Net Indebtedness

The debt limit of the District is \$306,446,185. This is calculated by taking 10% of the current full value of the District.

Table 7
Statutory Debt Limit and Net Indebtedness

<i>As of June 30, 2017</i>	
Full Valuation of Taxable Real Property	\$3,064,461,857
Debt Limit (10% of Full Valuation)	<u>306,446,185</u>
Outstanding Indebtedness:	
Bonds	57,960,000
Bond Anticipation Notes	<u>3,123,000</u>
Gross Indebtedness	\$ 61,083,000
Exclusions:	
Estimated Building Aid ⁽¹⁾	<u>0</u>
Net Indebtedness of the District	<u>\$ 61,083,000</u>
Net Debt-Contracting Margin	<u>\$ 245,363,185</u>
Percentage of Debt-Contracting Margin Exhausted	<u>19.93%</u>

(1) The District has received and expects to continue to receive State Aid on a portion of existing indebtedness contracted for school building purposes pursuant to Section 121.20 of the Local Finance Law. However, since the District has not applied for a building aid exclusion certificate from the Commissioner of Education, the District may not exclude such portion from the gross indebtedness. State aid for qualifying building purposes is currently estimated by District officials at 67.8%.

Remedies Upon Default

Section 99-b of the State Finance Law (the "SFL") provides for a covenant between the State of New York (the "State") and the purchasers and the holders and owners from time to time of the bonds and notes issued by Districts in the State for school purposes that it will not repeal, revoke or rescind the provisions of Section 99-b of the SFL, or amend or modify the same so as to limit, impair or impede the rights and remedies granted thereby.

Said section provides that in the event a holder or owner of any bond or note issued by a District for school purposes shall file with the State Comptroller, a verified statement describing such bond or note and alleging default in the payment thereof or the interest thereon or both, it shall be the duty of the State Comptroller to immediately investigate the circumstances of the alleged default and prepare and file in his office a certificate setting forth his determinations with respect thereto and to serve a copy thereof by registered mail upon the chief fiscal officer of the District which issued the bond or note. Such investigation by the State Comptroller shall set forth a description of all such bonds and notes of the District found to be in default and the amount of principal and interest thereon past due.

Upon the filing of such a certificate in the office of the State Comptroller, he shall thereafter deduct and withhold from the next succeeding allotment, apportionment or payment of such State aid or assistance due to such District such amount thereof as may be required to pay (a) the District's contribution to the State Teachers' Retirement System, and (b) the principal of and interest on such bonds and notes of such District then in default. In the event such State aid or assistance initially so withheld shall be insufficient to pay said amounts in full, the State Comptroller shall similarly deduct and withhold from each succeeding allotment, apportionment or payment of such State aid or assistance due such District such amount or amounts thereof as may be required to cure such default. Allotments, apportionments and payments of such State aid so deducted or withheld by the State Comptroller for the payment of principal and interest on the bonds and notes shall be forwarded promptly to the paying agent or agents for the bonds and notes in default of such District for the sole purpose of the payment of defaulted principal of and interest on such bonds or notes. If any such successive allotments, apportionments or payment of such State aid so deducted or withheld shall be less than the amount of all principal and interest on the bonds and notes in default with respect to which the same was so deducted or withheld, then the State Comptroller shall promptly forward to each paying agent an amount in the proportion that the amount of such bonds and notes in default payable to such paying agent bears to the total amount of the principal and interest then in default on such bonds and notes of such District. The State Comptroller shall promptly notify the chief fiscal officer of such District of any payment or payments made to any paying agent or agents of defaulted bonds or notes pursuant to said section SFL.

Under current law, provision is made for contract creditors (including the Noteholders) of the District to enforce payments upon such contracts, if necessary, through court action, although the present statute limits interest on the amount adjudged due to creditors to nine per centum per annum from the date due to the date of payment. As a general rule, property and funds of a municipal corporation servicing the public welfare and interest have not been judicially subjected to execution or attachment to satisfy a judgment, although judicial mandates have been issued to officials to appropriate and pay judgments out of current funds or the proceeds of a tax levy.

Remedies for enforcement of payment are not expressly included in the District's contract with holders of its notes or bonds, although any permanent repeal by statute or constitutional amendment of a bondholders and noteholders remedial right to judicial enforcement of the contract could be held unconstitutional.

In recent times, certain events and legislation affecting remedies on default have resulted in litigation. While courts of final jurisdiction have upheld and sustained the rights of bondholders and noteholders, such courts might hold that future events including financial crises as they may occur in the State and in municipalities of the State require the exercise by the State of its emergency and police powers to assure the continuation of essential public services.

Bond Anticipation Notes

The District has \$3,123,000 of bond anticipation notes outstanding which will mature on July 20, 2017 which will be redeemed with a portion of The Notes.

Revenue and Tax Anticipation Notes

The District has not issued revenue anticipation notes in recent years.

Most recently, the District issued tax anticipation notes in the amount of \$2,500,000 in 2014, which matured on October 1, 2014. Currently the District does not have any tax anticipation notes outstanding.

Energy Performance Contracts

On June 30, 2016, the District entered into an Energy Performance Contract in the amount of \$4,241,984 which is accounted for as a capital lease. The leased assets and related obligations are accounted for in Capital Assets and Long-Term Debt, respectively. The District has agreed to make quarterly payments of \$86,133 of combined principal and interest beginning on December 1, 2017.

Outstanding Indebtedness

The following table provides information relating indebtedness outstanding at year-end for the five prior fiscal years.

Table 8
Outstanding Indebtedness

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
Bonds	\$78,800,000	\$71,560,000	\$64,505,000	\$56,615,000	\$67,149,224
Bond Anticipation Notes	2,253,000	2,090,000	18,890,000	21,567,134	3,123,000
Bus Capital Leases	546,800	278,050	0	0	0
Energy Performance Contracts	<u>1,519,779</u>	<u>1,035,075</u>	<u>528,802</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>4,241,984</u>
Total:	<u>\$83,119,579</u>	<u>\$74,963,125</u>	<u>\$83,923,802</u>	<u>\$78,182,134</u>	<u>\$74,514,208</u>

Overlapping and Underlying Debt

In addition to the District, other political subdivisions have the power to issue bonds and to levy taxes or cause taxes to be levied on taxable real property in the District. The real property taxpayers of the District are responsible for a proportionate share of outstanding debt obligations of these subdivisions. Such taxpayers' share of overlapping and underlying debt is based on the amount of the District's equalized property values taken as a percentage of each separate unit's total values. The following table presents an estimated amount of overlapping and underlying debt and the District's share of this debt as of the dates shown. Authorized but unissued debt has not been included.

(The remainder of this page was intentionally left blank)

Table 9
Statement of Direct and Overlapping Indebtedness

<u>Issuer</u>	<u>Net Debt</u>			<u>Amount Applicable to District</u>
	<u>Outstanding</u>	<u>As of:</u>	<u>District Share</u>	
Albany County	\$232,929,641	05/05/16	12.51%	\$ 29,139,498
Bethlehem Town	10,945,364	10/11/16	76.63%	8,387,432
New Scotland Town	4,891,498	12/31/15	23.04%	<u>1,127,001</u>
Total Net Overlapping Debt				\$ 38,653,931
Total Net Direct Debt				<u>61,083,000</u>
Net Direct and Overlapping Debt				<u>\$99,736,931</u>

Source: Data provided by County, Town and Village officials and the Office of the State Comptroller.

Debt Ratios

The following table presents certain debt ratios relating to the District's direct and overlapping indebtedness.

Table 10
Debt Ratios

	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Debt Per Capita</u> ⁽¹⁾	<u>Debt to Full Value</u> ⁽²⁾
Net Direct Debt	\$ 61,083,000	\$2,193	1.99%
Net Direct and Overlapping Debt	\$ 99,736,931	\$3,581	3.25%

⁽¹⁾ The population of the District is estimated by District officials to be approximately 27,844.

⁽²⁾ The District's full value of taxable real property for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$3,064,461,857.

Debt Service Schedule

The following table shows the debt service requirements to maturity on the District's outstanding bonded indebtedness (as of November 14, 2016).

Table 11
Annual Maturity Schedule⁽¹⁾

<u>FYE</u> <u>June 30th</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2018	\$ 8,200,000	\$ 2,259,997	\$ 10,459,997
2019	8,535,000	1,901,959	10,436,959
2020	8,835,000	1,529,344	10,364,344
2021	9,215,000	1,142,481	10,357,481
2022	5,410,000	767,469	6,177,469
2023	1,920,000	567,019	2,487,019
2024	1,835,000	478,350	2,313,350
2025	1,820,000	390,106	2,210,106
2026	1,875,000	317,594	2,192,594
2027	1,920,000	247,881	2,167,881
2028	1,965,000	204,209	2,169,209
2029	2,020,000	158,300	2,178,300
2030	2,000,000	112,469	2,112,469
2031	455,000	67,925	522,925
2032	465,000	54,125	519,125
2033	480,000	39,350	519,350
2034	500,000	23,425	523,425
2035	510,000	7,650	517,650
	<u>\$57,960,000</u>	<u>\$10,269,653</u>	<u>\$68,229,653</u>

Authorized but Unissued Indebtedness

The District has \$32,165,551 of authorized but unissued indebtedness to pay the cost of the construction of improvements to all District school buildings and sites, pursuant to a vote on November 29, 2016. The District expects to issue debt over the next three years to finance this project.

ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

School Enrollment Trends

The following table presents the past and projected school enrollment for the District.

Table 12
School Enrollment Trends

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Actual Enrollment</u>	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Projected Enrollment</u>
2014-15	4,683	2017-18	4,500
2015-16	4,611	2018-19	4,416
2016-17	4,607	2019-20	4,333

Source: District Officials

Population

The District estimates its population to be approximately 27,844. The following table presents population trends for the Towns of Bethlehem and New Scotland, Albany County and New York State, based upon recent census data.

Table 13

<u>Population Trend</u>	<u>2000</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>Percentage Change</u>
Town Bethlehem	34,918	33,066	5.6%
New Scotland	9,061	8,840	2.5%
County	311,203	304,206	2.3%
State	18,976,457	19,378,104	2.1%

Source: US Census Bureau

Figures not necessarily representative of the District.

Employment and Unemployment

The following tables provide information concerning employment and unemployment in the County and State. Unemployment rates are not compiled for the Town or the District and data provided for the County and State is not necessarily representative of the District.

Table 14
Civilian Labor Force

(Thousands)

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
Town	17.9	18.0	17.8	18.0	18.0
County	160.7	160.3	158.1	158.9	158.8
State	9,612.2	9,623.1	9,570.7	9,591.2	9,584.5

Source: New York State Department of Commerce; New York State Department of Economic Development.

Table 15
Yearly Average Unemployment Rates

<u>Year</u>	<u>Town</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>State</u>
2012	5.5%	7.1%	8.5%

2013	4.8%	6.0%	7.7%
2014	3.8%	4.9%	6.3%
2015	3.5%	4.3%	5.3%
2016	3.0%	4.0%	4.8%

Source: New York State Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Information not seasonally adjusted.

Table 16
Monthly Unemployment Rates

<u>Month</u>	<u>Town</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>State</u>
May 2016	2.8%	3.7%	4.3%
June	3.0%	4.2%	4.7%
July	3.0%	4.3%	5.0%
August	2.9%	4.1%	4.9%
September	3.1%	4.2%	4.9%
October	2.9%	3.9%	4.8%
November	2.9%	3.8%	4.5%
December	2.9%	3.8%	4.5%
January 2017	3.4%	4.3%	4.9%
February	3.6%	4.4%	5.0%
March	3.2%	3.8%	4.4%
April	N/A	N/A	4.2%

Source: New York State Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Information not seasonally adjusted.

TABLE 17
Top Employers

<u>Name</u>	<u>Type of Product or Service</u>	<u>Approximate Number of Employees</u>
Bethlehem Central Schools	Education	868
Farm Family Insurance	Insurance	554
Wal-Mart	Retail	550
Town of Bethlehem	Government	220
Slingerland I/II LaSalle Med. Offices	Medical Offices	207
Lowe's	Retail	200

Source: Bethlehem Chamber of Commerce

LITIGATION

In common with other school districts, the District from time to time receives notices of claim and is party to litigation. In the opinion of the District after consultations with its attorneys, unless otherwise set forth herein and apart from matters provided for by applicable insurance coverage, there are no claims or action pending which, if determined against the District, would have an adverse material effect on the financial condition of the District.

APPENDIX B
FINANCIALS

BETHLEHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT
Statement of Budgeted Appropriations, Estimated Revenues and
Appropriated Fund Balance
General Fund
Fiscal Year Ending June 30

	Adopted Budget <u>2016-17</u>	Adopted Budget <u>2017-18</u>
Estimated Revenues:		
Real Property Tax	\$63,992,000	\$64,267,000
Real Property Tax Items	3,379,000	3,282,000
Miscellaneous	1,353,000	1,464,000
State Aid	<u>27,816,000</u>	<u>28,110,000</u>
Total Estimated Revenues	<u><u>96,540,000</u></u>	<u><u>97,123,000</u></u>
Appropriations:		
General Support	\$2,500,222	\$2,595,084
Instruction	47,166,800	48,279,177
Pupil Transportation	6,242,661	6,399,091
Operations/Maintenance	5,390,043	5,440,248
Employee Benefits	22,520,119	22,399,600
Debt Service	12,370,155	11,659,800
Interfund Transfers	<u>350,000</u>	<u>350,000</u>
Total Appropriations	<u><u>\$96,540,000</u></u>	<u><u>\$97,123,000</u></u>

Source: Adopted Budgets

BETHLEHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance
General Fund
Fiscal Year Ending June 30

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
<u>Revenues:</u>					
Real Property Taxes	\$51,578,263	\$53,722,428	\$55,814,112	\$57,548,434	\$57,803,038
Other Tax Items	8,240,103	8,383,709	8,579,264	8,792,030	8,944,618
Charges for Services	422,400	304,031	386,907	526,120	444,355
Use of Money & Property	181,806	235,827	227,024	252,505	259,135
Sale of Prop & Comp for Loss	218,420	270,541	591,429	196,538	117,245
State Aid	22,063,065	22,811,385	22,500,749	23,313,420	25,869,770
Federal Sources	381,018	136,778	105,046	230,498	210,157
Miscellaneous	206,410	533,900	580,433	954,675	980,669
Total Revenues	<u>83,291,485</u>	<u>86,398,599</u>	<u>88,784,964</u>	<u>91,814,220</u>	<u>94,628,987</u>
<u>Expenditures:</u>					
General Support	\$7,011,053	\$6,730,837	\$6,869,567	\$6,742,290	\$7,547,250
Instruction	40,851,020	41,192,314	42,135,755	42,711,170	44,327,965
Pupil Transportation	5,755,966	5,220,631	5,308,482	5,112,890	5,225,817
Community Services	50,276	54,980	55,406	57,204	57,930
Employee Benefits	19,283,316	19,650,540	22,078,768	22,468,298	21,535,526
Debt Service	11,444,639	11,462,562	11,408,993	11,469,055	11,631,084
Capital Outlay	0	0	0	380,908	569,971
Total Expenditures	<u>84,396,270</u>	<u>84,311,864</u>	<u>87,856,971</u>	<u>88,941,815</u>	<u>90,895,543</u>
Excess (deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures	(1,104,785)	2,086,735	927,993	2,872,405	3,733,444
<u>Other Sources and Uses:</u>					
Operating Transfers (Out)	<u>(160,374)</u>	<u>(375,970)</u>	<u>(388,305)</u>	<u>(642,610)</u>	<u>(883,285)</u>
Total Other Sources and Uses	(160,374)	(375,970)	(388,305)	(642,610)	(883,285)
Excess (deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures and Other Uses	(1,265,159)	1,710,765	539,688	2,229,795	2,850,159
Fund Balance - Beg. Of Year	<u>8,826,745</u>	<u>7,561,586</u>	<u>9,272,351</u>	<u>9,812,039</u>	<u>12,041,834</u>
Fund Balance - End of Year	<u><u>\$7,561,586</u></u>	<u><u>\$9,272,351</u></u>	<u><u>\$9,812,039</u></u>	<u><u>\$12,041,834</u></u>	<u><u>\$14,891,993</u></u>

Source: Audited Financial Statements
Summary not audited

BETHLEHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT
Balance Sheet
General Fund
Fiscal Year Ending June 30

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
Assets:		
Unrestricted Cash	\$10,033,898	\$9,620,339
Restricted Cash	7,959,843	11,189,878
Due From Other Funds	1,233,162	763,775
State and Federal Aid Receivables	1,787,952	1,469,389
Due from Other Governments	72,618	105,409
Other Receivables	95,594	89,529
Prepaid Expenses	262,631	0
Total Assets	<u><u>\$21,445,698</u></u>	<u><u>\$23,238,319</u></u>
Liabilities:		
Accounts Payable	\$697,398	\$272,191
Accrued Liabilities	372,046	533,850
Due to Other Funds	0	1,738,386
Due to Other Governments	850,135	210,661
Due to Teachers Retirement System	5,442,271	4,271,894
Due to Employees Retirement System	691,861	601,433
Refundable advances	0	16,170
Total Liabilities	<u><u>8,053,711</u></u>	<u><u>7,644,585</u></u>
 Deferred Inflow of Resources	 <u>1,350,153</u>	 <u>0</u>
Fund Balance:		
Restricted	7,959,843	11,189,878
Assigned	433,287	61,068
Unassigned	3,648,704	3,641,047
Total Fund Balance	<u><u>12,041,834</u></u>	<u><u>14,891,993</u></u>
 Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	 <u><u>\$21,445,698</u></u>	 <u><u>\$22,536,578</u></u>

Source: Audited Financial Statements
Summary not audited

APPENDIX C

**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 2016**

**Can be accessed on the Electronic Municipal Market Access (“EMMA”) website
of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (“MSRB”)
at the following link:**

<https://emma.msrb.org/EP1149205.pdf>

**The audited financial statements referenced above are hereby incorporated into the
attached Official Statement.**

*** Such Financial Statements and opinion are intended to be representative only as
of the date thereof. Cusack & Company, CPA’s LLC has not been requested by the
District to further review and/or update such Financial Statements or opinion in
connection with the preparation and dissemination of this Official Statement.**

July 19, 2017

Bethlehem Central School District
700 Delaware Ave.
Delmar, NY 12054

Re: \$3,808,000 Bethlehem Central School District, Albany County, New York
Bond Anticipation Notes, 2017

Dear Sirs:

We have acted as Bond Counsel to the Bethlehem Central School District, New York (the "District") in connection with the issuance by the District of its \$3,808,000 Bond Anticipation Notes, 2017 (the "Notes").

The Notes are dated July 19, 2017 and are issued in [fully registered form][bearer form], bear interest from the date of their issuance at [__ percent per annum][the rates set forth on Schedule A attached hereto and made a part hereof] and are in the [denomination of \$3,808,000] [denominations shown on Schedule A]. Interest on the Notes is payable at maturity and the Notes mature on July 19, 2018.

The Notes are authorized to be issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State, including the Education Law and the Local Finance Law.

The Notes are being issued to finance the purchase of various school vehicles and to repay portions of bond anticipation notes previously issued for such purpose.

The Arbitrage and Use of Proceeds Certificate made pursuant to Section 148 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), executed by the President of the Board of Education of the District with respect to the Notes, is dated the date hereof (the "Arbitrage Certificate").

We have examined the law and such certified proceedings and other papers as we deem necessary to render this opinion.

Based upon the foregoing and subject to the limitations contained herein, we are of the opinion that, under existing law:

(i) The Notes have been authorized and issued in accordance with the Constitution and statutes of the State of New York and constitute the valid and legally binding general obligations of the District for which the District has validly pledged its faith and credit for the payment thereof. Unless paid from other sources, all the taxable real property within the District is subject to the levy of ad valorem taxes to pay the principal of and interest on the Note subject to applicable statutory limitations; however, the power of the District to levy unlimited real estate taxes on all the real property within the District may be subject to the statutory limitations imposed by Chapter 97 of the Laws of 2011 of the State of New York, depending upon the interpretation of such statute by a court of competent jurisdiction in the event of legal challenge. Additionally, the enforceability (but not the validity) of the Notes may be limited by any applicable existing or future bankruptcy, insolvency, moratorium, reorganization, or other law (State or Federal) affecting creditors' rights and by general principles of equity (whether applied at law or in equity).

(ii) The interest on the Notes is excludable from gross income of the owners thereof for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Code. Further, the interest on the Notes is not a specific preference item for purposes of the federal individual or corporate alternative minimum taxes imposed by the Code. However, we note that interest on the Notes is included in adjusted

current earnings in calculating corporate alternative minimum taxable income. The opinions set forth in the preceding sentences of this paragraph are subject to the condition that the District comply with all requirements of the Code that must be satisfied subsequent to the issuance of the Notes in order that interest thereon be, or continue to be, excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes. The District has covenanted to comply with all such requirements. Failure to comply with certain of such requirements may cause the inclusion of interest on the Notes in gross income for federal income tax purposes to be retroactive to the date of issuance of the Notes. We express no opinion regarding other federal tax consequences relating to the ownership or disposition of, or the accrual or receipt of interest on, the Notes.

(iii) Interest on the Notes is exempt from personal income taxes imposed by the State of New York or any political subdivision thereof (including the City of New York).

In rendering the opinions expressed herein, we have assumed the accuracy and truthfulness of all public records, documents and proceedings examined by us which have been executed or certified by public officials acting within the scope of their official capacity, and have not verified the accuracy or truthfulness thereof, and we have also assumed the genuineness of the signatures appearing upon such public records, documents and proceedings and such certificates. Furthermore, we have assumed compliance with all covenants and agreements contained in the Arbitrage Certificate, including, without limitation, covenants and agreements, compliance with which is necessary to assure that future actions, omissions or events will not cause interest on the Notes to be included in gross income for federal income tax purposes. We call attention to the fact that the rights and obligations under the Notes, the Arbitrage Certificate and related documents and their enforceability may be subject to bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, arrangement, fraudulent conveyance, moratorium or other laws relating to or affecting creditors' rights, to the application of equitable principles, to the exercise of judicial discretion in appropriate cases and to the limitations on legal remedies against municipal corporations such as the District in the State of New York.

The scope of our engagement in relation to the issuance of the Notes has extended solely to the examination of the facts and law incident to rendering the opinions expressed herein. Such opinions are not intended and should not be construed to express or imply any conclusion that the amount of real property subject to taxation within the boundaries of the District, together with other legally available sources of revenue, if any, will be sufficient to enable the District to pay the principal of or interest on the Notes as the same respectively become due and payable. Reference should be made to the Official Statement prepared by the District in relation to the Notes for factual information which, in the judgment of the District, could materially affect the ability of the District to pay such principal and interest. While we have participated in the preparation of such Official Statement, we have not verified the accuracy, completeness or fairness of the factual information contained therein and, accordingly, we express no opinion as to whether the District, in connection with the sale of the Notes, has made any untrue statement of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make any statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.

This opinion is given as of the date hereof and we assume no obligation to update, revise or supplement this opinion to reflect any facts or circumstances that may hereafter come to our attention or any changes in law that may hereafter occur.

Very truly yours,

WHITEMAN OSTERMAN & HANNA LLP